350 Fifth Avenue, 34<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10118-3299 Tel: +1-212-290-4700

Fax: +1-212-736-1300; 917-591-3452

Kenneth Roth, Executive Director

#### DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS

Michele Alexander, Development and Global Initiatives Nicholas Dawes, Media Iain Levine, Program Chuck Lustig, Operations

Emma Daly, Communications Director Dinah PoKempner, General Counsel James Ross, Legal and Policy Director

Bruno Stagno Ugarte, Advocacy

### DIVISION AND PROGRAM DIRECTORS

Brad Adams, Asia
Daniel Bekele, Africa

Maria McFarland Sánchez-Moreno, United States

Alison Parker, United States José Miguel Vivanco, Americas

Sarah Leah Whitson, Middle East and North Africa Hugh Williamson, Europe and Central Asia

Shantha Rau Barriga, Disability Rights
Peter Bouckaert, Emergencies
Zama Coursen-Neff, Children's Rights
Richard Dicker, International Justice
Bill Frelick, Refugees' Rights
Arvind Gamesan, Business and Human Rights
Liesl Gerntholtz, Women's Rights

Diederik Lohman, acting, Health and Human Rights
Graeme Reid, Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Rights

### ADVOCACY DIRECTORS

Maria Laura Canineu, Brazil

Louis Charbonneau, United Nations, New York Kanae Doi, Japan

John Fisher, United Nations, Geneva

Meenakshi Ganguly, South Asia

Bénédicte Jeannerod, France Lotte Leicht, European Union

Sarah Margon, Washington, DC

David Mepham, United Kingdom Wenzel Michalski. Germany

Elaine Pearson, Australia

### BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Hassan Elmasry, Co-Chair Robert Kissane, Co-Chair

Michael Fisch, Vice-Chair

Oki Matsumoto, Vice-Chair

Amy Rao, Vice-Chair

Amy Towers, Vice-Chair

Catherine Zennström, Vice-Chair

Michael Fisch, Treasurer
Bruce Rabb, Secretary

Karen Herskovitz Ackman

Akwasi Aidoo Jorge Castañeda

Michael E. Gellert

Leslie Gilbert-Lurie

Paul Gray

Betsy Karel David Lakhdhir

Kimberly Marteau Emerson

Joan R. Platt Neil Rimer

Shelley Frost Rubin

Ambassador Robin Sanders Jean-Louis Servan-Schreiber

Sidney Sheinberg

Donna Slaight

Siri Stolt-Nielsen Darian W. Swig

Makoto Takano

Peter Visser Marie Warburg



**HRW.org** 

Commandant (CG-611)
FOIA Officer
United States Coast Guard
Stop 7710
2703 Martin Luther King, Jr. Avenue SE
Washington, DC 20593

January 18, 2017

To Whom It May Concern:

Through this letter, Human Rights Watch ("HRW") requests copies of documents pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552.

We request these documents on an expedited basis; we also seek a public interest fee waiver and news media fee status.

As explained below, our request concerns policy and other final or working documents that relate to the ability of the US Coast Guard to obtain access to communications and related data that the US government has acquired under 50 U.S.C. § 1881a (also known as Section 702 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act, "FISA") or Executive Order 12333 ("EO 12333"). In the context of this request, "related data," also commonly known as "metadata," refers to data that describe a communication: for example, the date, time, and duration of a telephone conversation, or the date, time, sender, and recipients of an email. For our purposes, "related data" also includes location data.

Below, we list our specific requests, followed by an explanation of the relevant laws. We also provide details regarding our requests for expedited processing, a public interest fee waiver, and news media fee status.

For the purposes of this request, references to the Coast Guard include Coast Guard Intelligence.

## I. Requested records

Human Rights Watch respectfully requests copies of the following documents, preferably in electronic format and on a rolling basis as the Coast Guard locates them:

1. Formal, final, or implemented legal opinions and determinations, procedures, policies, training materials, and memoranda of agreement

that meaningfully discuss or address the Coast Guard's authority to acquire or collect communications or related data pursuant to Section 702 (50 U.S.C. § 1881a) or Executive Order 12333, including pursuant to a delegation of authority by the National Security Agency/Central Security Service ("NSA").

- a. Please note that some materials may refer to Section 702 simply as the "FISA Amendments Act" (or "FAA"), of which it was a part.
- 2. Formal, final, or implemented legal opinions and determinations, policies, procedures, and training materials that meaningfully discuss or address the Coast Guard's authority to receive, disseminate, retain, query, or obtain authorization to query communications or related data that other US government entities have obtained pursuant to Section 702 or Executive Order 12333.
  - a. This request includes (but is not limited to) records meaningfully discussing the Coast Guard's treatment of data accessible to or made available to it by fusion centers, joint task forces, and databases to which the Coast Guard contributes or otherwise has access.
- 3. Formal, final, or implemented legal opinions and determinations, procedures, policies, training materials, and memoranda of agreement (with appendices where applicable) concerning the Coast Guard's ability to request raw signals intelligence the NSA has acquired pursuant to Executive Order 12333.
- 4. Formal, final, or implemented legal opinions and determinations, procedures, policies, training materials, and memoranda of agreement concerning the Coast Guard's treatment of communications and related data belonging to United States persons that has been acquired pursuant to Section 702 or Executive Order 12333.
- 5. Formal, final, or implemented legal opinions and determinations, policies, procedures, and training materials that concern any ability on the part of the Coast Guard to nominate targets for communications surveillance under Section 702 or Executive Order 12333 to the NSA or Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI").
- 6. Formal, final, or implemented legal opinions and determinations, policies, procedures, and training materials that concern the Coast Guard's treatment of attorney-client communications or related data that the US government originally obtained pursuant to Section 702 or Executive Order 12333.
- 7. Formal, final, or implemented legal opinions and determinations, as well as policies, concerning whether information related to drug offenses, immigration, or other matters that fall within the scope of the Coast Guard's mission qualifies as "foreign intelligence" or "foreign intelligence information" for the purposes of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act or Executive Order 12333.
- 8. Formal, final, or implemented legal opinions and determinations, policies, procedures, and training materials that concern the Coast Guard's ability to request or conduct pretextual stops (potentially also known as "whisper" or

- "wall"/"wall-off"/"walled-off" stops) of persons or vessels, or other forms of "parallel construction" or "parallel reconstruction."
- 9. Any reports or other conclusions of relevant Inspectors General concerning activities of the nature described in (1)-(8) above.

## II. Background

a. Section 702 of the FISA Amendments Act

In 2005, the *New York Times* reported that the US executive branch had been monitoring the international telephone and Internet communications of people in the United States, based on an executive order and without obtaining judicial warrants.<sup>2</sup> Congress ultimately responded to these revelations by adopting the FISA Amendments Act ("FAA") of 2008, which added a set of provisions to FISA.

One of these new provisions, Section 702, is now found at 50 U.S.C. § 1881a and empowers the Attorney General and the Director of National Intelligence to authorize surveillance that "target[s] persons reasonably believed to be located outside the United States," so long as a "significant purpose" of the surveillance is to obtain "foreign intelligence information." Elsewhere, FISA defines the latter term as including, among other things, information related to "the conduct of the foreign affairs of the United States."

Section 702 requires the Attorney General to adopt "targeting" and "minimization" procedures that are "reasonably designed" to provide certain protections to "United States persons." (The latter term includes US citizens, aliens lawfully admitted for permanent residence, and certain corporations and unincorporated associations.<sup>5</sup>) The Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court must review these targeting and minimization procedures annually; however, the Court does not authorize or approve any individual surveillance targets.<sup>6</sup> The Office of the Director of National Intelligence has declassified and released the 2015 minimization procedures for the NSA, FBI, Central Intelligence Agency, and National Counterterrorism Center.<sup>7</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See John Shiffman and Kristina Cooke, "Exclusive: U.S. directs agents to cover up program used to investigate Americans," Reuters, Aug. 5, 2013, <a href="http://www.reuters.com/article/us-dea-sod-idUSBRE97409R20130805">http://www.reuters.com/article/us-dea-sod-idUSBRE97409R20130805</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> James Risen and Eric Lichtblau, "Bush Lets U.S. Spy on Callers Without Courts," N.Y. TIMES, Dec. 16, 2005, available at <a href="http://www.nytimes.com/2005/12/16/politics/bush-lets-us-spy-on-callers-without-courts.html">http://www.nytimes.com/2005/12/16/politics/bush-lets-us-spy-on-callers-without-courts.html</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>50 U.S.C. § 1881a(a), (g)(2)(v).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>50 U.S.C. § 1801(e)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>50 U.S.C. § 1801(i).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>50 U.S.C. § 1881(a), (i).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See Office of the Director of National Intelligence, "Release of 2015 Section 702 Minimization Procedures," *IC on the Record*, Aug. 11, 2016,

https://icontherecord.tumblr.com/post/148797010498/release-of-2015-section-702-minimization.

Following former Booz Allen Hamilton contractor Edward Snowden's disclosure of materials concerning NSA surveillance, the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board ("PCLOB") confirmed and described, in a public report whose contents are entirely unclassified, two types of surveillance activity the executive branch conducts pursuant to Section 702. Through the first of these two activities, "PRISM collection," the executive branch "sends a selector, such as an email address, to a United States-based electronic communications service provider"; the provider is then "compelled to give the communications sent to or from that selector to the government."

Through the second Section 702 surveillance activity described by PCLOB, "upstream collection," the government compels communications companies to search the telephone and Internet communications that flow over certain basic pieces of communications infrastructure (including the circuits that are known as the "Internet backbone").<sup>9</sup>

After the NSA or FBI has acquired data through Section 702 surveillance, these agencies have the ability to "query" (i.e., search) the data in a manner that is similar to searches an Internet user conducts using a search engine, including by using terms such as "a key word or phrase." Although individuals may only view unminimized data acquired through Section 702 surveillance if the government has authorized them to do so, the relevant PCLOB report and other documents indicate that individuals without such authorization may nevertheless query databases that contain Section 702 data, and that these databases will indicate (in response to the query) that such data exists. The individual conducting the search may then ask someone with the appropriate authorization to reveal the Section 702 data itself. Applicable policies that have been declassified permit elements of the Intelligence Community to query Section 702 data using identifiers associated with United States persons under some circumstances. "13

Where the dissemination of US domestic communications acquired through Section 702 surveillance is concerned, the NSA's minimization procedures allow the Agency to share with "appropriate Federal law enforcement authorities" any such communication that "is reasonably believed to contain evidence of a crime that has been, is being, or is about to be committed." Meanwhile, the FBI's minimization procedures generally grant the

Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board, REPORT ON THE SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM OPERATED PURSUANT TO SECTION 702 OF THE FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SURVEILLANCE ACT (2014), p. 7 (hereinafter "PCLOB Report").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> *Id.* at pp. 35-37.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> *Id.* at p. 55.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> *Id.* at pp. 55-56.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Id.; Memorandum Opinion and Order, Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court, Nov. 6, 2015, pp. 28-29 (hereinafter "FISC Opinion"). This opinion has been declassified and released; see Office of the Director of National Intelligence, "Release of Three Opinions Issued by the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court," *IC on the Record*, Apr. 19, 2016,

https://icontherecord.tumblr.com/post/143070924983/release-of-three-opinions-issued-by-the-foreign.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> PCLOB Report, supra n. 8, pp. 56-59; FISC Opinion, supra n. 12, pp. 26-27.

<sup>14 &</sup>quot;Minimization Procedures Used by the National Security Agency in Connection With Acquisitions of Foreign Intelligence Information Pursuant to Section 702 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978, as Amended," July 10, 2015, p. 12, available at <a href="https://www.dni.gov/files/documents/2015NSAMinimizationProcedures">https://www.dni.gov/files/documents/2015NSAMinimizationProcedures</a> Redacted.pdf.

Bureau broad powers to disseminate "information that is assessed to be evidence of a crime."15

Section 702, along with other provisions of Title VII of the FAA, is scheduled to expire on December 31, 2017 unless Congress renews it. 16

### b. Executive Order 12333

Originally issued in 1981, EO 12333 governs United States intelligence activities and was most recently amended in 2008. <sup>17</sup> It provides, inter alia, that the US Intelligence Community must "collect information concerning, and conduct activities to protect against ... international criminal drug activities." The order also authorizes the Intelligence Community to collect, retain, and disseminate "[i]nformation obtained in the course of a lawful foreign intelligence" or "international drug" investigation, as well as "[i]ncidentally obtained information that may indicate involvement in activities that may violate Federal, state, local, or foreign laws."<sup>19</sup>

On January 12, 2017, the Office of the Director of National Intelligence publicly released procedures permitting the NSA to disseminate raw signals intelligence obtained pursuant to EO 12333—including communications of US persons—to other Intelligence Community elements in some circumstances.<sup>20</sup>

#### III. Request for expedited processing

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E) and 6 C.F.R. § 5.5(d)(1)(ii), HRW seeks expedited processing of this request.

There is a "compelling need" for the information we have requested, as HRW is "primarily engaged in disseminating information" and there is an urgent need for the organization to "inform the public concerning actual or alleged Federal Government activity" in the area of surveillance practices (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(v)(ii); 6 C.F.R. § 5.5(d)(1)(ii)).

a. Human Rights Watch is primarily engaged in disseminating information

<sup>19</sup> *Id.* at §§ 2.3(c), (f), (j).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> "Minimization Procedures Used by the Federal Bureau of Investigation in Connection With Acquisitions of Foreign Intelligence Information Pursuant to Section 702 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978, as Amended," July 10, 2015, pp. 9, 20, 30-32.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> FISA Amendments Act Reauthorization Act of 2012 (P.L. 112-238), § 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Executive Order 12333: United States Intelligence Activities (as amended by Executive Orders 13284, 13355, and 13470), available at http://fas.org/irp/offdocs/eo/eo-12333-2008.pdf. <sup>18</sup> *Id.* at § 1.4(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> "Procedures for the Availability or Dissemination of Raw Signals Intelligence Information by the National Security Agency under Section 2.3 of Executive Order 12333 (Raw SIGINT Availability Procedures)," undated, available at <a href="https://www.dni.gov/files/documents/icotr/RawSIGINTGuidelines-as-">https://www.dni.gov/files/documents/icotr/RawSIGINTGuidelines-as-</a> approved-redacted.pdf.

Human Rights Watch is a nonprofit organization comprised of human rights professionals who engage in extensive fact-finding and reporting on human rights conditions in the United States and elsewhere, providing original factual information and analyses to the US and global public and to media outlets. Where the practices of the United States' Federal and state governments are concerned, for example, HRW has investigated and reported on interrogation techniques allegedly used by the Central Intelligence Agency, the impact of clandestine US surveillance on journalists and attorneys, consequences of the criminalization of the possession of drugs for personal use, and the harms of US border prosecutions.<sup>21</sup>

HRW's fact-finding work regularly forms the basis of reports by print, broadcast, and Internet media.<sup>22</sup> The organization also disseminates original reports and other factual content to the public, including through its website, <a href="www.hrw.org">www.hrw.org</a>, which receives approximately 15 million unique visitors per year.

HRW therefore meets the statutory definition of a "representative of the news media" as an "entity that gathers information of potential interest to a segment of the public, uses its editorial skills to turn the raw materials into a distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience." 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii); see also Nat'l Sec. Archive v. Dep't of Def., 880 F.2d 1381, 1387 (D.C. Cir. 1989). The Department of Homeland Security recognized HRW as a representative of the news media when responding to a Freedom of Information Act request in 2008 (reference number DHS/OS/PRIV 08-832 PoKempner request).

b. There is an urgent need to inform the public concerning actual or alleged Federal Government surveillance activity

As noted above, Section 702 of FISA is scheduled to expire on December 31, 2017, unless Congress renews it. Particularly following the Snowden disclosures that began in June 2013, there has been intense media and public interest, as well as reporting by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Laura Pitter, "US: Ex-Detainees Describe Unreported CIA Torture," Oct. 3, 2016, https://www.hrw.org/news/2016/10/03/us-ex-detainees-describe-unreported-cia-torture; Human Rights Watch, WITH LIBERTY TO MONITOR ALL (2014), available at https://www.hrw.org/report/2014/07/28/liberty-monitor-all/how-large-scale-us-surveillance-harmingjournalism-law-and; Human Rights Watch, EVERY 25 SECONDS (2016), available at https://www.hrw.org/report/2016/10/12/every-25-seconds/human-toll-criminalizing-drug-use-united-states; Human Rights Watch, TURNING MIGRANTS INTO CRIMINALS (2013), available at https://www.hrw.org/report/2013/05/22/turning-migrants-criminals/harmful-impact-us-border-prosecutions. <sup>22</sup> For recent examples, see Spencer Ackerman, "Tunisian men detail CIA black site torture involving electric chair and more," GUARDIAN, Oct. 3, 2016, https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2016/oct/03/ciatorture-electric-chair-black-site; Megan Jula & Julia Preston, "Delayed Care Faulted in Immigrants' Deaths at Detention Centers," N.Y. TIMES, July 7, 2016, p. A13, available at http://www.nytimes.com/2016/07/08/us/delayed-care-faulted-in-immigrants-deaths-at-detentioncenters.html; Christopher Ingraham, "Police arrest more people for marijuana use than for all violent crimes - combined," WASH. POST, Oct. 12, 2016, https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/wonk/wp/2016/10/12/police-arrest-more-people-for-marijuana-usethan-for-all-violent-crimes-combined/.

government-appointed panels, concerning programs conducted under this authority. Multiple books have been published, and feature-length films created, that address Section 702 and/or other US government surveillance topics. In May 2015, the United States Senate held a public hearing concerning the FAA (which includes Section 702), and two legislative amendments have previously been introduced in the House of Representatives with the intention of restricting the querying of Section 702 data. This interest in actual or alleged federal government activities conducted pursuant to Section 702 is likely to intensify as the legislation's sunset deadline approaches and Congress is forced to decide whether to re-authorize this controversial provision. The public therefore urgently requires the information Human Rights Watch is seeking regarding the federal government's interpretation of Section 702 (and related policies and procedures) and its treatment of data acquired under this legal authority.

EO 12333 also continues to be the subject of public controversy and debate. Documents disclosed by Snowden that allegedly describe programs the United States operates pursuant to this Executive Order have been the subject of extensive media reporting.<sup>26</sup> Additionally, whistleblower John Napier Tye has repeatedly raised public concerns about the federal government's use of this authority in media outlets.<sup>27</sup> As mentioned above, on

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> See, e.g., PCLOB Report, *supra* n. 8; President's Review Group on Intelligence and Communications Technologies, LIBERTY AND SECURITY IN A CHANGING WORLD (2013), available at <a href="https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/docs/2013-12-12\_rg\_final\_report.pdf">https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/docs/2013-12-12\_rg\_final\_report.pdf</a>; Timothy B. Lee, "Here's everything we know about PRISM to date," WASH. POST, June 12, 2013, <a href="https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/wonk/wp/2013/06/12/heres-everything-we-know-about-prism-to-date/">https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/wonk/wp/2013/06/12/heres-everything-we-know-about-prism-to-date/</a>; Charlie Savage, "F.B.I. Is Broadening Surveillance Role, Report Shows," N.Y. TIMES, Jan. 11, 2015, p. A10, available at <a href="http://www.nytimes.com/2015/01/12/us/politics/beyond-nsa-fbi-is-assuming-a-larger-surveillance-role-report-shows.html">http://www.nytimes.com/2015/01/12/us/politics/beyond-nsa-fbi-is-assuming-a-larger-surveillance-role-report-shows.html</a>; Mark Hosenball & Dustin Volz, "Yahoo email scan fell under foreign spy law – sources," REUTERS, Oct. 6, 2016, <a href="http://www.reuters.com/article/us-yahoo-nsa-idUSKCN1252NR">http://www.reuters.com/article/us-yahoo-nsa-idUSKCN1252NR</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> See, e.g., Charlie Savage, Power Wars (2015); Glenn Greenwald, No Place to Hide (2014); PBS Frontline, United States of Secrets (two-part broadcast series, dir. Michael Kirk) (2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> A video recording of the hearing is available at <a href="https://www.c-span.org/video/?409335-1/senate-judiciary-committee-holds-hearing-fisa-reauthorization">https://www.c-span.org/video/?409335-1/senate-judiciary-committee-holds-hearing-fisa-reauthorization</a>. Regarding the legislative amendments, see Office of Representative Zoe Lofgren, "House to Vote on Amendment to Shut Surveillance Backdoors" (press release), June 15, 2016, <a href="https://lofgren.house.gov/news/documentsingle.aspx?DocumentID=398078">https://lofgren.house.gov/news/documentsingle.aspx?DocumentID=398078</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> See, e.g., Barton Gellman & Ashkan Soltani, "NSA surveillance program reaches 'into the past' to retrieve, replay phone calls," WASH. POST, Mar. 18, 2014,

https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/nsa-surveillance-program-reaches-into-the-past-to-retrieve-replay-phone-calls/2014/03/18/226d2646-ade9-11e3-a49e-76adc9210f19\_story.html; James Ball, "NSA collects millions of text messages daily in 'untargeted' global sweep," GUARDIAN, Jan. 16, 2014, <a href="https://www.theguardian.com/world/2014/jan/16/nsa-collects-millions-text-messages-daily-untargeted-global-sweep">https://www.theguardian.com/world/2014/jan/16/nsa-collects-millions-text-messages-daily-untargeted-global-sweep</a>; Barton Gellman & Ashkan Soltani, "NSA infiltrates links to Yahoo, Google data centers worldwide, Snowden documents say," WASH. POST, Oct. 30, 2013,

https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/nsa-infiltrates-links-to-yahoo-google-data-centers-worldwide-snowden-documents-say/2013/10/30/e51d661e-4166-11e3-8b74-d89d714ca4dd\_story.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> John Napier Tye, "Meet Executive Order 12333: The Reagan rule that lets the NSA spy on Americans," WASH. POST, July 18, 2014, <a href="https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/meet-executive-order-12333-the-reagan-rule-that-lets-the-nsa-spy-on-americans/2014/07/18/93d2ac22-0b93-11e4-b8e5-d0de80767fc2\_story.html">https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/meet-executive-order-12333-the-reagan-rule-that-lets-the-nsa-spy-on-americans/2014/07/18/93d2ac22-0b93-11e4-b8e5-d0de80767fc2\_story.html</a>; John Napier Tye, "We Can't Trust Trump With Today's NSA," SLATE, Nov. 2, 2016,

January 12, 2017, the government publicly released procedures concerning the NSA's dissemination of data obtained through EO 12333 to other US intelligence agencies; these procedures led to an expression of concern by the American Civil Liberties Union.<sup>28</sup>

The publicly available procedures concerning Section 702 and EO 12333 explicitly contemplate the acquisition and dissemination of US persons' communications and related data, as well as attorney-client communications. As explained above, EO 12333 also expressly authorizes the Intelligence Community (of which Coast Guard Intelligence is a member) to collect intelligence information as part of an "international drug" investigation or "foreign intelligence" investigation, while the PCLOB report on Section 702 and other publicly available government materials confirm that Section 702 data may be queried or disseminated in connection with criminal inquiries. Additionally, documents previously released by the Drug Enforcement Administration acknowledge the practice of using "parallel construction" or "parallel reconstruction" to prevent the disclosure of Intelligence Community sources and methods in court. The requests we have made are therefore of a type that concern actual or alleged Federal government activity.

Thus, there is an urgent need for the public to be as fully informed as possible concerning the government's activities pursuant to these two major surveillance authorities.

I, Dinah PoKempner, certify that this demonstration of compelling need is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

# IV. Request for public interest fee waiver

HRW requests that the Coast Guard search for, review, duplicate, and furnish any documents responsive to this submission without charge, as the "disclosure of the information is in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the government and is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester" (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii); see also 6 C.F.R. § 5.11(k)(1)(ii)). HRW is a non-profit organization and has no commercial interest in the Coast Guard's response to this request for documents. Additionally, this request is "in the public interest" as defined at 6 C.F.R. § 5.11(k)(2), as it fulfills the four criteria set forth therein: (1) the request concerns "identifiable operations or activities of the federal government," (2) the disclosure of the records sought would be "meaningfully informative about government operations or activities," (3) the disclosure would

\_\_\_

http://www.slate.com/articles/technology/future\_tense/2016/11/we\_can\_t\_trust\_trump\_with\_today\_s\_nsa.ht ml.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Charlie Savage, "N.S.A. Gets More Latitude to Share Intercepted Communications," N.Y. TIMES, Jan. 12, 2017, p. A11, available at <a href="https://www.nytimes.com/2017/01/12/us/politics/nsa-gets-more-latitude-to-share-intercepted-communications.html">https://www.nytimes.com/2017/01/12/us/politics/nsa-gets-more-latitude-to-share-intercepted-communications.html</a>? r=0.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> See *supra* nn. 14-15, 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> See Muckrock, "DEA teaches agents to recreate evidence chain to hide methods," Feb. 3, 2014, available at <a href="https://www.muckrock.com/news/archives/2014/feb/03/dea-parallel-construction-guides/">https://www.muckrock.com/news/archives/2014/feb/03/dea-parallel-construction-guides/</a>, and associated documents available at <a href="http://www.documentcloud.org/documents/1011382-responsive-documents.html#document/p9">http://www.documentcloud.org/documents/1011382-responsive-documents.html#document/p9</a>.

"contribute to the understanding of a reasonably broad audience of persons interested in the subject," and (4) "[t]he public's understanding of the subject in question" would be "enhanced by the disclosure to a significant extent."

a. The request concerns identifiable federal government operations or activities

HRW's request concerns documents pertaining to clandestine federal government surveillance activities conducted pursuant to two specific legal authorities: Section 702 of FISA and EO 12333. These authorities explicitly authorize or otherwise contemplate the government's use of clandestine surveillance in the circumstances described therein. As noted above, the federal government's surveillance activities under Section 702 of FISA have also previously been identified by PCLOB.

b. The disclosure of the records sought would be meaningfully informative about government activities

Through this request, HRW seeks legal analyses, policies, procedures, and training materials. Just as such foundational rules or guidance would presumably be intended to provide meaningful information about the activities in question to Coast Guard personnel, it would equally provide meaningful information to the public about the nature and processes of these activities as well as applicable limits and safeguards.

c. The disclosure would contribute to the understanding of a reasonably broad audience of persons interested in the subject

As described above, the US' clandestine surveillance practices have generated prolonged and widespread interest on the part of the public as well as news media and Members of Congress, particularly following Snowden's disclosure of materials in 2013. The disclosures HRW has sought through this request would contribute to the understanding of this broad audience of federal legislators, journalists, legal professionals, civil-rights and privacy activists, and members of the general public who have demonstrated an interest in US surveillance by, e.g., voting on legislation, purchasing books, producing and consuming media reports, submitting motions for discovery, engaging in public discussions and debates, or signing online petitions.

d. The disclosure would enhance the public's understanding of the subject matter to a significant extent

As indicated above, the disclosures HRW seeks concern foundational legal interpretations, policies, rules, guidance, and instructions that (insofar as they exist) presumably establish the parameters and operations of, as well as safeguards applying to, the relevant US surveillance programs. The disclosure of such foundational materials would enable the public to ascertain the extent and nature of the Coast Guard's relationship with these surveillance activities—a matter regarding which the public currently possesses little, if any, unambiguous and detailed information.

HRW disseminates information widely to other members of the media and to the general public (see above); information disclosed in response to this request would therefore contribute significantly to the public's understanding of the matter in question.

# V. Request for news media fee status

HRW also requests an exemption from search fees, and a waiver of other fees that might otherwise apply, as a member of the news media (5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II)-(III); 6 C.F.R. § 5.11(c)(1), (d)(1), (k)); see Part III(a) above for an explanation of our status as a representative of the news media.

\* \* \*

Responses should be addressed to:

ATTN: Dinah PoKempner General Counsel Human Rights Watch 350 Fifth Ave., 34<sup>th</sup> Fl. New York, NY 10018

The addressee may also be contacted by e-mail at <u>pokempd@hrw.org</u> or by telephone at (212) 290-4700.

Sincerely,

Dinah PoKempner General Counsel