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Key Terms

**Baby houses (doma rebyonka):** State residential institutions for orphans and children without parental care, age 4 and under.

**Cerebral palsy:** An impairment of muscular function and weakness of the limbs. Often accompanied by poor motor skills, it sometimes involves speech and learning difficulties.¹

**Children's homes (detskie doma):** State residential institutions for orphans and children without parental care, ages 4 to 18.

**Child without parental care:** A child under the age of 18 living without the care of a parent.²

**Developmental disability:** An umbrella term that refers to any disability starting before the age of 22 and continuing indefinitely (i.e., that will likely be life-long).³ It limits one or more major life activities such as self-care, language, learning, mobility, self-direction, independent living, or economic self-sufficiency.⁴ While this includes intellectual disabilities such as Down’s syndrome, it also includes conditions that do not necessarily have a cognitive impairment component, such as cerebral palsy, autism, and epilepsy and other seizure disorders. Some developmental disabilities are purely physical, such as sensory impairments or congenital physical disabilities. A developmental disability may also be the result of multiple disabilities. While autism is often conflated with learning disabilities, it is actually a developmental disability.

---


² Federal law “Additional guarantees of social support for orphans and children left without parental care [О дополнительных гарантиях по социальной поддержке детей-сирот и детей, оставшихся без попечения родителей],” No. 159-F3, 2013, art. 1, para. 3.


Disabled persons organizations (DPOs): These are formal groups of people who are living with disabilities and who work to promote self-representation, participation, equality, and integration of all people with disabilities.

Down’s syndrome: A condition in which a person is born with an extra copy of chromosome 21. People with Down’s syndrome can have hearing problems and problems with the intestines, eyes, thyroid, and skeleton, as well as intellectual disabilities.5

Guardianship and custody agencies (organy opeki i popechitelstva): Local-level committees of teachers, psychologists, lawyers, social workers, and civil servants responsible for monitoring conditions in all state children’s institutions on a regular basis, as well as for selecting and training people wishing to become guardians of children, among other responsibilities.

Institutional caretakers: A range of staff employed by Russian state institutions for children with disabilities, including pediatricians, nurses, psychologists, and speech therapists, as well as caregivers called vospitatels and sanitarkas.6 For clarity, this report refers to all sanitarkas and vospitatels as institution staff.

Learning disability: A condition affecting the brain’s ability to receive, process, retain, respond to, and communicate information.7

Orphan: A child under the age of 18 whose parents are no longer alive.8

---

6 The professional role of a vospitatel is to develop age-appropriate educational programs for children that promote development; play and interact with children; organize recreational or cultural excursions outside of the institution; assist families in decisions concerning children’s upbringing; and maintain a friendly, tolerant, and safe environment. Vospitatels are expected to have knowledge of “foundational children’s rights legislation” as well as federal educational standards. Federal order “On approval of the professional standard of a pedagog (pedagogical activities in the sphere of preschool, primary general, basic general, and secondary education) (vospitatel, teacher)” (“Об утверждении профессионального стандарта “Педагог (педагогическая деятельность в сфере дошкольного, начального общего, основного общего, среднего общего образования) (воспитатель, учитель)”), No. 544, 2013, art. 3.1.2. Sanitarkas do not have pedagogical education and are responsible for meeting children’s basic needs such as changing their diapers and feeding them. Federal order “On approval of a single qualification handbook for managers, professionals, and employees in the health sector” (“Об утверждении Единого квалификационного справочника должностей руководителей, специалистов и служащих, раздел “Квалификационные характеристики должностей работников в сфере здравоохранения”), No. 541, 2010, part 4, paras. 1-3, 13.
8 Federal law “Additional guarantees of social support for orphans and children left without parental care,” No. 159-F3, 2013, art. 1, para. 2. The United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF) defines an orphan as “a child who has lost one or both parents.”
Psychologo-Medical-Pedagogical Commission (PMPC) (pskhikologo-mediko-pedagogicheskaia komissiia): A city-level commission consisting of state psychologists, physicians, and education specialists that evaluates children and formulates a plan for a child’s upbringing. The commission evaluates every child living in an infant care institution at age 3 or 4 and recommends the child’s continued residence in a state institution.

Psychoneurological internats for adults (PNI) (pskhikonevrologicheskie internaty): State residential institutions for adults with various disabilities age 18 and above and for elderly people considered by the government to be unable to care for themselves.

Psychosocial disability: The preferred term to describe persons with mental health problems such as depression, bipolar disorder, and schizophrenia. Psychosocial disability relates to the interaction between psychological differences and social/cultural limits for behavior, as well as the stigma that society attaches to persons with mental impairments.9

Specialized state children’s institutions: State residential institutions housing up to 400 children of various ages (see “baby houses” and “children’s homes” above) whom the government designates as having disabilities or health conditions that require specialized medical and rehabilitation services. These include specialized baby houses and children’s homes. Placement of a child in such an institution with the purpose of his or her indefinite residence there is referred to as “institutionalization.”

---

UNICEF, “Orphans,” 2008, http://www.unicef.org/media/media_45279.html (accessed June 15, 2014). Human Rights Watch spoke with some single parents of children with disabilities living in Russia whose child’s other parent had died or did not participate in the child’s upbringing. These parents did not consider their children to be orphans. This report uses the Russian government’s definition of orphans as children who have lost their only parent(s).

Children in specialized orphanages for young children in central Russia often spend their days with little to no attention from staff, who often lack training on how to engage children with disabilities in educational or recreational activities.
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The staff used to hit me and drag me by the hair. They gave me pills to calm me down.

— Nastia Y., a 19-year-old woman with a developmental disability, describing the treatment of staff in an orphanage for children with developmental disabilities in Pskov region, where she lived from 1998 to 2011.

Every child with a disability in Russia has a significant chance of ending up in a state-run orphanage. Nearly 30 percent of all Russian children with disabilities live separately from their families and communities in closed institutions. These children have a range of impairments, including physical disabilities such as limited mobility, blindness, and deafness; developmental disabilities such as Down’s syndrome; and psychosocial disabilities such as depression, among others. Children with disabilities in state orphanages may be subject to serious abuses and neglect that severely impede their physical, emotional, and intellectual growth.
Nikita P., a 10-year-old boy, in his crib in a Sverdlovsk region orphanage for children with developmental disabilities. Children segregated into separate “lying-down” rooms spend the overwhelming majority of their days confined to cribs or beds.
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Children with disabilities may be overrepresented in institutional care. On international children’s rights nongovernmental organization (NGO) estimates that approximately 45 percent of children living in state institutions have some form of disability, despite the fact that children with disabilities account for only 2 to 5 percent of Russia’s total child population. The Russian government’s failure to ensure meaningful alternatives for these children means that many children with disabilities spend their childhoods within the walls of institutions, never enjoying a family home, attending school, or playing outside like other children.

This report is based on visits by Human Rights Watch researchers to 10 orphanages in 6 regions of Russia, as well as on more than 200 interviews with parents, children, and young people currently and formerly living in institutions in these regions in addition to 2 other regions of Russia. Children described how orphanage staff beat them, used physical restraints to tie them to furniture, or gave them powerful sedatives in efforts to control behavior that staff deemed undesirable. Staff also forcibly isolated children, denied them contact with their relatives, and sometimes forced them to undergo psychiatric hospitalization as punishment.

Many children also experienced poor nutrition and lack of medical care and rehabilitation, resulting in some cases in severely stunted growth and lack of normal physical development. Human Rights Watch determined that the combination of these practices can constitute inhuman and degrading treatment. Children with disabilities living in orphanages also had little or no access to education, recreation, and play.

Children with certain types of disabilities, typically those who cannot walk or talk, are confined to so-called “lying-down” rooms in separate wards, where staff force them to remain in cribs for almost their entire lives. Human Rights Watch documented particularly severe forms of neglect in “lying-down” rooms in the institutions it researched. The practice of keeping children with certain types of disabilities in such conditions is discriminatory, inhumane and degrading, and it should be abolished.

Research by the United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF) and others has demonstrated that institutionalization has serious consequences for children’s physical, cognitive, and emotional development, and that the violence children may experience in institutions can lead to severe developmental delays, various disabilities, irreversible psychological harm, and increased rates of suicide and criminal activity. UNICEF has urged governments throughout Central and Eastern Europe and Central Asia to stop sending children under the age of 3, including children with disabilities, to institutions.

While Russia lacks comprehensive and clear statistics on children in state institutions or foster care, experts estimate that the overwhelming majority of these children have at least one living parent. Russia’s high rate of institutionalization of children with disabilities results from a lack of government and state-supported services, such as inclusive education, accessible rehabilitation, and other support that would make it feasible for children’s families to raise them. In addition, many parents face pressure from healthcare workers to relinquish children with disabilities to state care, including at birth. Human Rights Watch documented a number of cases in which medical staff claimed, falsely, that children with certain types of disabilities had no potential to develop intellectually or emotionally and would pose a burden with which parents will be unable to cope. In all of these cases, the children raised in their families had far exceeded any expectations.

Children with disabilities who enter institutions at a young age are unlikely to return to their birth families as a result of the practice of local-level state commissions to recommend continued institutionalization of children. The Russian government has failed to adequately support and facilitate adoption and fostering of children with disabilities, although these types of programs formally exist. As a result, when children with disabilities turn 18 and age out of orphanages, they are overwhelmingly placed in state institutions for adults with disabilities. Staff in many orphanages also fail to provide training and practical knowledge that would give children the skills they need to live independently once they become adults.

While in orphanages, children with disabilities may be subject to serious violence, neglect, and threats. For example, Human Rights Watch documented the use of sedatives to restrain children deemed to be too “active” in 8 out of the 10 institutions it visited in the course of researching this report. Twenty-five-year-old Andrei M., a young man with a developmental disability who lived in an orphanage in Pskov region until 2008, told Human Rights Watch, “They constantly gave us injections, and then they sent us to the bedroom so that we would sleep.”

Human Rights Watch spoke with many orphanage staff who expressed a desire to support children’s maximal development and who worked hard to do so with the information and resources at their disposal. Some of these staff were also those who used practices such as physical and chemical restraints, for example. The findings below are presented with the understanding that well-intentioned staff often engage in unacceptable childrearing methods because they lack information, such as training in nonviolent disciplinary methods, as well as resources, such as additional personnel to help them care for large numbers of children.

Children with disabilities living in state institutions may also face various forms of neglect, including lack of access to adequate nutrition, health care and rehabilitation, play and recreation, attention from caregivers, and education. For example, Olga V., a pediatrician at a Sverdlovsk region orphanage for children with developmental disabilities, stated that not all children in the orphanage go to school, including 150 children in “lying-down” rooms who she claimed were
“uneducable” (neobuchaemy) – an outdated diagnosis that state doctors and institution staff continue to assign to some children. In the same orphanage, another pediatrician stated that rather than select food appropriate for children’s ages and health needs, staff “grind up whatever we have and use tubes to feed the ones who can’t feed themselves.”

As a result of violence and neglect, children with disabilities in state institutions can be severely physically and cognitively underdeveloped for their ages. Nina B., an independent, Moscow-based pediatrician specializing in the health of children with disabilities, told Human Rights Watch that children from orphanages often become atrophied due to lack of stimulation, movement, and access to rehabilitation services.

Children with disabilities living in state institutions also face numerous obstacles to adoption and fostering, including lack of government mechanisms to actively locate foster and adoptive parents for children with disabilities; lack of support for adoptive and foster families of children with disabilities; and some state officials’ negative attitudes towards children with disabilities and their active attempts to dissuade parents...
from adopting or fostering these children on the basis that they will be unable to care for them.

The Russian federal government has in recent years developed several policies that include important measures to end institutionalization and provide better alternatives for children with disabilities and their families. For example, the government formulated the National Action Strategy in the Interests of Children for 2012-2017, which aims to create government support services that would enable children with disabilities to remain in their birth families, return children with disabilities who live in institutions to their birth families, and increase the number of Russian regions that do not use any form of institutional care for orphans. The government also established a foundation to finance projects by regional governments and NGOs in certain priority areas, including prevention of child abandonment and social inclusion of children with disabilities.

However, these well-intentioned policies lack clear federal plans for implementation and monitoring. As such, they fail to adequately address the widespread practice of institutionalization of children with disabilities and to create sufficient

Sveta L., a 13-year-old girl in her crib in a “lying-down” room of an orphanage for children with disabilities in northwest Russia. A pediatrician specializing in the health of children with disabilities told Human Rights Watch that, based on photographs, Sveta L. appeared significantly underdeveloped for her age, a possible result of lack of stimulation and a well-rounded diet. © 2013 Andrea Mazzarino/Human Rights Watch
meaningful alternatives for children with disabilities and their families.

In May 2014 the Russian government also passed a resolution that establishes orphanages as temporary institutions whose primary purpose is to place children in families and mandates that orphanages protect children’s rights to health care, nutrition, and information about their rights, among other fundamental rights guaranteed under the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC). While the resolution contains important protections for all children living in state institutions, Human Rights Watch is concerned that several of its articles may segregate children with disabilities living in state institutions from their peers without disabilities and that the resolution does not give sufficient attention to the needs of children with disabilities with regard to adoption, fostering, and access to information on their rights.

Russia has a robust civil society, including many groups that advocate on behalf of children with disabilities and provide services to both children in institutions and children with disabilities and their families outside of institutions. For example, several groups in Moscow and other Russian cities raise awareness about the human rights and dignity of people
with disabilities, provide parents of newborns with disabilities with information on services available to these children in the community, and provide services such as support groups to parents of children with disabilities.

With regard to disability rights, the Russian government has taken steps to create more accessible infrastructure and community-based services for all persons with disabilities. For example, in May 2014 the Russian State Duma accepted in their first reading a set of amendments that include a prohibition against disability-based discrimination and an expanded list of changes to be made so that public facilities and services are accessible.

While these initiatives are important, Russia has a long way to go to enable children with disabilities to grow up in their communities and participate in community life. Most importantly, Human Rights Watch has found that children with disabilities and their families have felt the effects of the government measures to a very limited extent. Parents continue to give up their children to state care with little or no information about their children’s rights and developmental potential or about community-based services that are available to help them raise their children.

Children in a specialized orphanage for young children with disabilities in central Russia. Staff sometimes bind children’s arms to their torsos in order to prevent them from scratching at their eyes or from leaving their high chairs or cribs.
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Human Rights Watch documented a number of cases in which medical staff claimed, falsely, that children with certain types of disabilities had no potential to develop intellectually or emotionally and would pose a burden with which parents will be unable to cope. In all of these cases, the children raised in their families had far exceeded any expectations.
In order to ensure protection of the rights of children with disabilities in Russia and to comply with its international human rights obligations, the government should immediately adopt a zero tolerance policy for violence, ill-treatment, isolation, and neglect of children with disabilities living in state institutions and guarantee children’s rights to food, education, and play. In addition, the government should accelerate and expand initiatives to prevent healthcare workers from pressuring parents of children with disabilities to relinquish care to institutions. In cases where children are orphaned or living without parental care, the government should ensure that institutionalization is used only in the short term, in emergency situations, to prevent the separation of siblings, and when necessary and constructive for the child and in his or her best interest.

In the long term, Russia should take concrete steps to end the institutionalization of children, especially infants separated from their parents, with extremely limited exceptions, as described above.

Until the government acts, it will needlessly continue to consign these children to lifetimes within four walls, isolated from their families and communities, and robbed of the opportunities available to other children.
A group of girls, ages 10 to 15, in an orphanage for children with disabilities in northwest Russia. Many children in “specialized” orphanages spend their days seated in rooms with minimal attention from staff, who often lack training and other resources to engage children in activities appropriate to their ages and disabilities.

© 2013 Andrea Mazzarino/Human Rights Watch
Abandoned by the State
The wall surrounding a psychoneurological internat, or a closed institution for adults with disabilities. For the vast majority of children with disabilities living in state orphanages, entry into psychoneurological internats at age 18 is a near certainty.
© 2013 Andrea Mazzarino/Human Rights Watch

Wheelchairs stacked on the upper balconies of an institution for adults with disabilities in northwest Russia. Staff told Human Rights Watch that the institution saves rehabilitation equipment such as wheelchairs in order to account for them during government audits. Residents of this institution who could use these wheelchairs are instead confined to beds all day due to staff’s conviction that they are too sick to engage in activities.
© 2013 Andrea Mazzarino/Human Rights Watch
KEY RECOMMENDATIONS

TO THE RUSSIAN GOVERNMENT, INCLUDING THE MINISTRIES OF LABOR AND SOCIAL PROTECTION, HEALTH, AND EDUCATION

IMMEDIATELY

- Establish a zero tolerance policy for state children’s institution staff who beat, humiliate, or insult children.
- End the use of physical restraints, sedatives, forced isolation, and forced psychiatric treatment as means of managing or disciplining children in care.
- Abolish the practice of confining children with certain types of disabilities to “lying-down” rooms.
- Ensure that parents and children are able to contact and visit with one another at will, with no adverse consequences to children’s well-being.
- Guarantee children with disabilities living in state institutions access to inclusive education, adequate nutrition and water, health care, rehabilitation, and play.
- Establish robust monitoring mechanisms and systems of redress accessible to children with disabilities.
- Ensure institutionalization is used only in the short term, in emergency situations, to prevent the separation of siblings, when necessary and constructive for the child, and in his or her best interest, including by:
  - Providing information to expectant parents and healthcare workers who serve new parents on the rights and dignity of children with disabilities;
  - Providing parents of children with disabilities telephone numbers and addresses of community-based support services such as early education programs for children with disabilities.

MEDIUM TO LONG-TERM

- Establish a time-bound plan to end the institutionalization of children, especially infants separated from their parents, with extremely limited exceptions. This plan should:
  - Ensure that state financing for formal care of children with disabilities privileges family-based care options;
  - Include measures to return children with disabilities to their birth families and ensure that families have adequate support to care for these children;
  - Include measures to actively encourage adoption and fostering of children with disabilities.
- Fully realize efforts to make Russian communities accessible and inclusive to all persons with disabilities, including children with disabilities.

- Earmark financial and other forms of support and assistance toward support services for families of young children with disabilities and prevention of child abandonment, as well as toward family reunification and other forms of family-based care for children with disabilities separated from their biological families.
Methodology

The field research for this report was conducted between November 2012 and December 2013 across eight regions of Russia (city of Moscow, Moscow region, city of St. Petersburg, Leningrad region, Sverdlovsk region, Buryatia, Karelia, and Pskov region).

These regions were selected because of their diversity. In St. Petersburg and Leningrad region, we were interested in measures city and regional governments had taken to increase access to education for children with disabilities in institutions. We chose Sverdlovsk region, Buryatia, Karelia, the city of Moscow, Moscow region, and Pskov region because of various innovative measures that nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) in several cities in these regions are taking to support accessibility for people – including children with disabilities – to education and health care and to support foster care and adoption of children with disabilities. To protect the security of several institution staff, volunteers, children, and activists, this report refers to some interviews as having taken place in northwest Russia.

This report is based on 213 interviews, both in Russia and by phone before and after field research, including with 48 children and young people with disabilities who currently live in or have lived in state institutions within the past 10 years. Human Rights Watch also interviewed 48 parents and family members of children with disabilities, including foster and adoptive parents.

Twenty-five interviewees were children ages 5 to 17, and 23 were young adults ages 18 to 28. The term “children and young people with disabilities” includes those with developmental disabilities such as Down’s syndrome; psychosocial disabilities such as depression; sensory disabilities (including blindness, low vision, deafness, and hardness of hearing); and limited mobility. Some children and young people whom Human Rights Watch interviewed had multiple disabilities.

Human Rights Watch visited 10 state institutions where children with disabilities live, housing up to 400 children. These institutions included 3 specialized infant care institutions (“baby houses”) for children with disabilities from newborn to age 4 and 7 children’s homes for children between ages 4 to 17. Six of the children’s homes were
specialized homes for children with disabilities and one children’s home included children with and without disabilities. In addition, we visited two institutions for adults with disabilities (in Russian, *psychoneurological internats* or PNIs) in Moscow region and in northwest Russia.

During our institutional visits and in other settings, we interviewed 39 current or former staff members (directors and vice-directors and medical and other staff) of state children’s institutions, including 3 former directors of children’s homes. Additionally, Human Rights Watch conducted interviews with three Russian doctors who specialize in the health of children with disabilities, including children residing in institutions. We also spoke with two regional government officials: Yuri Kuznitsov, advisor to the chairman of the St. Petersburg Committee on Social Policy, and Tatiana Merzliakova, Sverdlovsk region human rights ombudsperson.

Human Rights Watch spoke also with 39 activists with local and international children’s and disability rights NGOs with offices in Russia. Human Rights Watch researchers also consulted international disability rights experts at various stages of the research.

Whenever possible, Human Rights Watch spoke directly with children and young people with disabilities. In all regions but Pskov and northwest Russia as a whole, researchers faced difficulties speaking with children living in institutions in conditions that would not place them at risk of possible retaliation by orphanage staff. For this reason, the majority of direct testimony from children and young people with disabilities comes from these regions.

We interviewed children and young people with disabilities on a variety of topics, including their treatment by staff in institutions; their access to education, adequate nutrition, and health care and rehabilitation in institutions; their access to recreation; and their contact with family members, among other issues. We also interviewed children and young people with disabilities about their experiences accessing education, health care, and rehabilitation in their communities.

This report notes children’s ages at the time when events they reported occurred whenever possible. However, many children and young people whom Human Rights Watch interviewed who had spent years living in institutions were unable to recall their ages or
the years and months in which events occurred. These children and young people did not
attend school regularly, spent most of their time indoors with little or no access to the
Internet or print media, did not celebrate their birthdays, and had few other ways to recall
dates or their ages when events in their lives occurred. Sometimes they referenced events
by the day of the week they occurred because many children ate the same meal on a given
day of the week for years. It was therefore not uncommon for a child to make a statement
that a violent event “happened on a Sunday, because we had potatoes,” for example.

With activists, parents, doctors, journalists, and institution staff, we discussed these
topics as well as pressure that parents have experienced to relinquish care of their
children to institutions. We also discussed mechanisms for the placement of children in
foster or adoptive families and mechanisms for the return of children to their birth families.
Nearly all interviews were facilitated by local NGOs, disabled persons organizations (DPOs),
or children’s and disability rights advocates.

All interviews were conducted in Russian or English. For each person interviewed, we
explained our work in age-appropriate terms. Before each interview, we informed potential
participants of the purpose of the research and asked whether they wanted to participate.
We informed participants that they could discontinue the interview at any time or decline
to answer any specific questions without consequence.

Human Rights Watch took great care to interview people in a sensitive manner and ensured
that the interviews took place in a location where the interviewees’ privacy was protected. Mos
t persons over age 18 in the report are identified by pseudonyms in order to protect
their privacy and confidentiality, unless they requested to be identified by their real
names. Human Rights Watch has used pseudonyms for all children interviewed for this
report as well as their parents, except where indicated.

Human Rights Watch sent letters to the Ministry of Health, the Ministry of Labor and Social
Protection, the Ministry of Education, and to Pavel Alekseevich Astakhov, children’s rights
commissioner of the Russian Federation, with questions regarding the findings of this
report; seeking recent statistics on the number of children with disabilities in Russian
state institutions; and requesting information on the government’s handling of complaints
by or on behalf of children with disabilities regarding abuses in state institutions. We received a response from each of the abovementioned ministries and offices.¹⁰

As part of this research, we also reviewed a number of Russian government policies and laws and relevant reports from United Nations agencies and NGOs.

¹⁰ Copies of all correspondences are included in the appendix to this report.
I. Background

High Rates of Institutionalization

The Russian government lacks age- and disability- disaggregated statistics on children with disabilities in Russia and whether they live in family-based or institutional care. An international children’s rights nongovernmental organization (NGO), whose work includes advocating for community-based support for children with disabilities, estimates that approximately 45 percent of children living in state institutions have some form of disability. They base this figure on United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF) and Russian government data and their own observations and consultations with NGO colleagues. The NGO also estimates that approximately 30 percent of all Russian children with disabilities live in state institutions, despite the fact that children with disabilities account for approximately 2 to 5 percent of Russia’s total child population.12

According to a 2010 UNICEF report surveying 21 countries in Central and Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States, Russia accounted for half of all children under the age of 3 living in institutions in the region and has the fourth highest rate of children under the age of 3 in the region.13 A 2012 report by an international children’s advocacy organization states that of 10 countries surveyed around the world, Russia has the highest rate of children living in institutional care, although it also has the lowest poverty rate of the countries surveyed.14

---


12 Human Rights Watch email correspondence with Erica J., May 29, 2014. The figure of 2 to 5 percent of children with disabilities of Russia’s total child population is low in comparison with estimates from the World Health Organization (WHO), which states that approximately 15 percent of the world’s population has some form of disability. WHO, “Disability and Health,” 2013, http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs352/en/ (accessed May 28, 2014). One difficulty in assessing relevant data may be different definitions of disability. WHO defines disability as “an umbrella term, covering impairments, activity limitations, and participation restrictions,” thereby including restrictions that arise from peoples’ interactions with barriers in their environments.


Russia’s System of Segregation
The Russian system of state children’s institutions includes mainstream institutions for children without disabilities (hereafter referred to as “mainstream institutions”) as well as institutions for children with disabilities.

Infant Care Institutions
Many children enter the state institution system directly after birth, when health workers transport them from birthing hospitals to infant care institutions, or “baby houses.” Russia has 194 infant care institutions in which 13,977 children live. Approximately 26 percent of Russia’s “baby house” residents are children with disabilities.¹⁵ The government designates some “baby houses” as “specialized” or “correctional baby houses” (hereafter referred to as “specialized infant care institutions”). Specialized infant care institutions may include children with various disabilities or children with particular kinds of disabilities.

Institutions for School-Age Children
When children in infant care institutions reach the age of 3 or 4, they appear before a regional commission called the Psychologo-Medical-Pedagogical Commission (PMPC), consisting of a variety of health and educational professionals. The commission’s official role is to medically and psychologically examine children, identify developmental delays, and formulate a structured plan for a child’s further education and upbringing.¹⁶ If the PMPC believes that the child is unable to live in a family, the commission designates the type of institutions in which a child can be placed. In the vast majority of cases, the commissions send children with disabilities to specialized “children’s homes,” sometimes referred to as “internats,” overseen by the Ministry of Labor and Social Protection.¹⁷ Activists familiar with different types of institutions report that conditions in mainstream children’s homes, which are supervised by the Ministry of Education, are

---

¹⁵ Letter from D.V. Kostennikov, state secretary-deputy minister, Ministry of Health, to Human Rights Watch, May 12, 2014. The vast majority of state infant care institutions are run by the Ministry of Health, with the exception of those in the city of Moscow, where all state children’s institutions fall under the jurisdiction of the Department of Social Protection, City of Moscow. Human Rights Watch telephone interview with Anna A. (pseudonym), children’s rights activist, April 25, 2014.

¹⁶ Federal order “On approval of the psychological-medical-pedagogical commission,” art. 2.

generally better than those in the specialized state institutions and that children have more opportunities for education in mainstream children’s homes.\(^{18}\)

The Ministry of Education also oversees boarding schools for children with disabilities (\textit{shkoly-internaty}), which are usually specialized by type of disability. Many children live in these schools because their communities lack inclusive education or specialized schools with more specific accommodations for children with disabilities that children and their parents may seek.\(^{19}\)

Alternatively, children at different ages, both with and without disabilities, may be placed in temporary institutions either because their parents are unable to care for them for a period of time or because local-level state agencies that organize the placement of children without parental care have yet to establish more permanent alternative care for children.\(^{20}\)

\textbf{Consequences of Institutionalization and Better Alternatives}

UNICEF and others have documented how high rates of institutionalization hold serious consequences for children’s physical, cognitive, and emotional development. Institutional care for children is often characterized by large groups homogeneous in age and disability; overcrowding; unstable caregiver relationships; lack of specialist training in social interactional factors; lack of caregiver responsiveness; sleep, eating, and hygiene routines not tailored to children’s needs; and sometimes, insufficient material resources.\(^{21}\) UNICEF has urged governments throughout Central and Eastern

\(^{18}\) Human Rights Watch interviews with Olga P. (pseudonym), children’s rights activist, Moscow, September 15, 2013; with Anna A., Moscow, September 10, 2013; and with Alexandra E. (pseudonym), children’s and disability rights activist, Pskov region, December 5, 2013.

\(^{19}\) Human Rights Watch interview with Valeria T. (pseudonym), children’s and disability rights activist, Karelia region, December 11, 2013.


Europe and Central Asia to stop sending children under the age of 3, including children with disabilities, to institutions.\(^{22}\)

Psychologists and other child development specialists widely agree that life in institutions contributes to physical underdevelopment, deteriorated brain growth, below average IQs and intellectual development, and reduced social abilities, such as difficulties forming stable relationships with others, including later in life.\(^{23}\)

Institutional care for children is also often characterized by physical, psychological, and sexual violence by staff and other children.\(^{24}\) Violence that children may experience in institutions is often long-term and can lead to severe developmental delays, various disabilities, irreversible psychological harm, and increased rates of suicide and criminal activity.\(^{25}\)

Children who enter institutional care under the age of 3 face particularly severe consequences. Birth to age 3 is the most important developmental phase in life with lasting and sometimes irreversible impacts on children’s physical, cognitive, and emotional development. UNICEF research on young children adopted from institutions in Eastern Europe has documented deficiencies in physical and brain growth, cognitive problems, speech delays, inattention, difficulties forming attachments, and hyperactivity.\(^{26}\) The deprivation of stable caregiver relationships for young institutionalized children can cause severe damage and has been equated with violence.\(^{27}\)

Children who are moved from institutional care into adoptive or foster families before the age of 6 months can still reach optimal physical and psychological development, which is


\(^{23}\) Ibid.


\(^{25}\) Ibid.


\(^{27}\) Rebecca Stanton et. al, “Young Children in Institutional Care at Risk of Harm.”
characterized by the ability to express oneself and to form close relationships later in life, among other markers of development. Children of all ages transferred from institutions to foster care experience marked gains in cognitive functioning, with younger children experiencing better outcomes.  

---

28 Ibid.  
29 Ibid.
II. Physical and Psychological Violence in Russian Institutions

Human Rights Watch documented conditions in 10 state institutions devoted to the care of disabled children in Russia. Through interviews with children and young people with disabilities, institution staff, and activists, as well as visits to the institutions, researchers found a range of abuses against children in 8 of these institutions. Most of the cases of abuse appeared to involve staff using abusive measures to control children’s behaviors or punish children, including for behaviors which were directly related to their disabilities. These abuses include: physical violence in the form of beatings or pouring cold water over children’s heads; the use of physical restraints, including binding children to cribs or wheelchairs; the frequent use of sedatives to control or punish children; and forced psychiatric hospitalization as punishment. Human Rights Watch also documented psychological violence in the form of forced isolation; denial of contact with family members; threats of death, beatings, or psychiatric hospitalization; insults; humiliation; and lack of attention from caregivers. Each of the 23 children living in institutions at the time Human Rights Watch interviewed them described at least one, and usually many more, incidents of abuse against them by institution staff or by other children while institution staff did not intervene.

None of the children or young people interviewed by Human Rights Watch had recourse to systems through which they could safely report violence without fear of retaliation.

Human Rights Watch has determined that the ill-treatment of some children in institutions as documented in this report may rise to the level of torture, particularly given the combination of different types of physical and psychological violence used against children, use of physical and chemical restraints, and psychiatric hospitalization. Other forms of violence against children that may contribute to treatment rising to the level of torture include forced isolation from parents and families, as well as malnutrition and neglect.

Human Rights Watch spoke with many orphanage staff who expressed a desire to support children’s maximal development and who worked hard to do so with the information and resources at their disposal. Some of these staff were also those who used practices such as physical and chemical restraints, for example. The findings below are presented with
the understanding that well-intentioned staff often engage in unacceptable childrearing methods because they lack information, such as training in nonviolent disciplinary methods, as well as resources, such as additional personnel to help them care for large numbers of children. Human Rights Watch therefore urges the Russian government to ensure that staff receive all appropriate support to care for children in a way that respects their rights and dignity, as indicated in the recommendations below.

Russia has obligations under national and international law to protect children with disabilities living in Russian state institutions from all forms of violence. Russian national law prohibits torture and inhuman and degrading treatment and guarantees all citizens in state care the right to humane treatment.30

As a party to the Convention against Torture, the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD), and the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR), Russia is obligated to protect children with disabilities from all forms of violence and from torture or inhuman or degrading treatment.31 The CRC states that children should be protected from “all forms of physical or mental violence, injury or abuse, neglect or negligent treatment, [and] maltreatment or exploitation...” 32 The European Court of Human Rights has repeatedly held governments responsible for failure to protect children from violence and neglect, whether in a domestic situation or in state care.33

30 Federal law “On the Foundations of Social Service to the Population in the Russian Federation [Об основах социального обслуживания населения в Российской Федерации],” No. 195-FЗ, 2013, art. 5. For example, the Russian federal law on the Foundations of Social Service of the Population in the Russian Federation names the following principles as the basis of social service: targeted or tailored care (адресность), accessibility, consent (добровольность), humanity, priority in granting services to children in difficult life situations, confidentiality, and the preventative orientation of social services.


32 CRC, art. 19, para 1.

Under these treaties, Russia must also ensure effective monitoring and mechanisms for reporting abuse. However, the Russian government lacks federally enforced monitoring mechanisms to ensure children’s enjoyment of their basic human rights while living in institutions.

**Physical Violence**

Children and young adults with disabilities whom Human Rights Watch interviewed reported suffering various forms of violence while living in specialized state institutions or witnessing violence inflicted on other children with disabilities by staff or peers. Interviewees reported beatings by staff and older children with disabilities and other attempts to inflict pain or discomfort, such as pouring cold water on children with disabilities.

Several activists and institution volunteers from St. Petersburg, Leningrad region, Karelia, and Nizhny Novgorod region whom Human Rights Watch interviewed stated that physical abuse in state institutions that they had visited is common. They based these assessments on frequent visits in which they either witnessed beatings firsthand or observed physical and psychological signs of violence in their interactions with children.34 For example, a children’s rights activist who runs a volunteer program in a specialized infant care institution in Nizhny Novgorod region reported multiple cases between 2010 and 2013 in which children demonstrated beatings by institution staff using dolls. When the activist asked the institution director to investigate, the latter stated that she would not investigate the violence on the basis that the staff member in question had herself been raised in a state institution and was therefore expected to treat others harshly.35 In 2013 Margarita M., a volunteer at a state children’s institution in northwest Russia, reported witnessing an institution staff member kick in the head a 10-year-old girl who had been crying. Margarita M. reported the incident to the head nurse who she alleges replied, “We need to wait for the next event to occur [before taking further action].” Margarita M. told Human Rights Watch, “I don’t know where to take complaints about how these children are treated.”36

---

34 For example, Human Rights Watch interview with Tatiana O. (pseudonym), children’s and disability rights activist, St. Petersburg, June 24, 2013; and with Lyudmila P. (pseudonym), orphanage volunteer, northwest Russia, June 28, 2013.
Nineteen-year-old Nastia Y., a young woman with a developmental disability, lived in a Pskov region specialized state institution from 1998 to 2011. Nastia Y. told Human Rights Watch that she experienced beatings by institution staff on several occasions, including by institution staff who were drunk:

The staff used to hit me and drag me by the hair. They gave me pills to calm me down. They hit me when they came to work and found me roughhousing with the other kids.... When they got drunk, they would hit the other children and me often. I remember one incident, when a staff member was drunk. She asked me where the key to her office was. When I told her I did not know, she dragged me into a room and beat me up.37

According to Nastia Y., she was beaten repeatedly while at the institution. The last time she was beaten there was in 2011 shortly before she left when she refused to sign a contract agreeing to be transferred to an adult institution.38 Anton K., a 21-year-old man with a physical disability who lived in a specialized state children’s institution in northwest Russia from 2000 until 2011, described a violent incident he witnessed in the institution in 2006, when a staff member punished a boy with a physical disability in Anton K.’s group of children:

One day, I saw a doctor beat a boy badly. Then they tied his hands together with a belt and held his head under water in the bathtub. Since then, whenever I saw doctors, I was quiet. I tried not to be noticed.39

Nastia Y., whose case is described above, reported that other girls in the orphanage where she lived used to hit and kick her in the presence of institution staff members, who did not intervene.40

Aside from beatings, children reported experiencing or witnessing other forms of physical violence. For example, Anton K., mentioned above, told Human Rights Watch that he

37 Human Rights Watch interview with Nastia Y., Pskov region, December 6, 2013.
38 Ibid.
39 Human Rights Watch interview with Anton K. (pseudonym), St. Petersburg, June 26, 2013. According to Anton K., the peer group in which he lived at the time of this incident ranged between 12 and 14 years of age.
40 Human Rights Watch interview with Nastia Y., Pskov region, December 6, 2013.
repeatedly witnessed institution staff punishing children by pouring cold water on them when they cried.\textsuperscript{41}

Each of the children and young people whom Human Rights Watch interviewed reported that they knew of no procedure for reporting violence.\textsuperscript{42} In several cases, institution staff also explicitly threatened them to prevent them from telling anyone about the violence.\textsuperscript{43} Institution staff or volunteers may be reluctant to report abuse due to lack of knowledge on how to do so, fear of retaliation, or fear of being implicated in the abuse.\textsuperscript{44}

Use of Restraints

Human Rights Watch documented how staff in many institutions frequently used physical restraints on children, including tying children’s arms, heads, or torsos, often with rags or scraps of cloth, to cribs or wheelchairs, as well as confining children to cribs. Human Rights Watch researchers witnessed the use of each of these forms of restraints in 8 of the 10 institutions that they visited.\textsuperscript{45} Activists, orphanage volunteers, and some institution staff reported that the use of these forms of restraints is a common practice in specialized “children’s homes.”\textsuperscript{46} Institution staff stated that they tied children to prevent certain types of behavior, such as clawing at their eyes, knocking their heads against cribs or walls, jumping out of their cribs and injuring themselves, or attempting to escape their rooms or the institutions where they lived. Staff did not use alternative approaches to help children with these behaviors refrain from hurting themselves and others or from disrupting institution routines.

\textsuperscript{41} Human Rights Watch interview with Anton K. (pseudonym), St. Petersburg, June 26, 2013.

\textsuperscript{42} For example, Human Rights Watch interviews with Anton K. (pseudonym), St. Petersburg, June 26, 2013; and with Nastia Y., Pskov region, December 6, 2013.

\textsuperscript{43} Human Rights Watch interviews with Ekaterina F. (pseudonym), 21, northwest Russia, June 29, 2013; with Valia T. (pseudonym), northwest Russia, 2013; and with Kostya K. (pseudonym), Pskov region, December 5, 2013. The month and date of the interview with Valia T. have been omitted to protect her security.

\textsuperscript{44} Human Rights Watch email correspondences with Inna A. (pseudonym), expert on people with disabilities living in Russian state institutions, June 17, 2014; and with Alexander D. (pseudonym), children’s and disability rights activist, June 18, 2014.

\textsuperscript{45} In two of the 10 state children’s institutions that Human Rights Watch visited, one in the city of Moscow and one in Karelia region, Human Rights Watch did not witness the use of restraints. The Moscow city state children’s institution included children with sensory, developmental, and psychosocial disabilities living in mixed age groups where they were free to move around the premises. However, Human Rights Watch was not granted access to the separate “lying-down” ward housing children with disabilities that the staff deemed to be more severe. The Karelia region state children’s institution mentioned above was a temporary institution housing both children with and without disabilities. Two of 30 children residing in the institution during the time of Human Rights Watch’s visit had psychosocial disabilities, and they also moved freely about the premises.

\textsuperscript{46} For example, Human Rights Watch interview with Lyudmila P. (pseudonym), orphanage volunteer, northwest Russia, June 28, 2014; and Human Rights Watch telephone interview with Yana D. (pseudonym), children’s rights activist, September 26, 2013.
The United Nations special rapporteur on torture’s 2013 report on abuses in healthcare settings states that any restraint on people with mental disabilities, including seclusion, even for a short period of time, may constitute torture and ill-treatment.47

The UN Committee on the Rights of the Child has stated that all persons working with children in care institutions should be trained in nonviolent disciplinary and childrearing methods.48

Binding
Human Rights Watch observed children who had their heads, arms, or torsos bound by rags or clothing to cribs or other furniture such as wheelchairs in eight of the 10 institutions that researchers visited. In northwest Russia, children’s rights activist Yana D., mentioned above, described her observations while working in three specialized children’s institutions in northwest Russia between 2006 and 2009. Yana D. reported having witnessed children tied to cribs in all three institutions. In cases when institution staff did not bind children to cribs, according to Yana D., they gave children sedatives to prevent them from knocking their heads against the crib railings.49

In April 2013 a 7-year-old boy with a developmental disability died after a health worker in a Nizhny Novgorod region specialized state children’s institution used cloth diapers to tie him to his bed. A preliminary account stated that the boy may have choked on his own vomit and that being tied down stopped him from rolling over to breathe.50 Children’s Rights Commissioner Pavel Astakhov urged the government to investigate the death and called for Russia to ban the practice of tying children up, noting that other children have


died in similar circumstances. Astakhov did not comment on the widespread nature of the use of restraints in Russian state children’s institutions and the ways in which the practice denies children even the most basic conditions to grow and develop.

A pediatrician at a specialized state children’s institution in Sverdlovsk region for children with developmental disabilities told Human Rights Watch that personnel tie some children to their beds as a “pedagogical technique” to prevent “self-aggression” or banging one’s head against the wall or crib railings. When Human Rights Watch toured the Sverdlovsk region children’s home described above, a researcher observed eight children bound to the railings of their cribs, to wheelchairs, or with their hands bound to their torsos using rags.

Confinement to Cribs

Human Rights Watch research found that in 81 of the 10 institutions that researchers visited, all of them specialized state children’s institutions, staff confined many children of all ages to cribs all day, seven days per week, in so-called “lying-down” rooms. Rooms typically had 4 to 15 cribs. Staff provided these children with minimal time outdoors and did not give children opportunities to get up and walk or move around in wheelchairs. Staff working in the institutions that Human Rights Watch visited reported a variety of diagnoses for children confined to cribs, including cerebral palsy, schizophrenia, Down’s syndrome, and the Russian diagnoses of “debility” (debilnost), “imbecility” (imbesilnost), and “idiocy” (idiotia). Staff justified keeping children confined to cribs stating that children were contagious (including in cases when children had non-communicable diseases such as schizophrenia); that children did not understand anything and therefore could not benefit from going outside or to classes, when the latter opportunities were available; or that the children’s health was too fragile to remove them from their beds.

---

52 Human Rights Watch interview with Valeria N. (pseudonym), specialized state children’s institution pediatrician, Sverdlovsk region, July 8, 2013.
54 According to Nina B., an independent Moscow-based pediatrician who specializes in the health of children who live or have lived in institutions, the appearance of schizophrenia in children under the age of 12 is unlikely, and schizophrenia
For example, in a Pskov region specialized state institution for children with various disabilities, Human Rights Watch visited several “lying-down” rooms. Some of the cribs were fortified with wooden planks bound to their sides, which reached taller than the crib railings. The director of the institution explained that the walls were put up “to keep children from jumping out of their beds.” However, the director also claimed that the children were confined to the beds because their health was too fragile to participate in the routines of the institution and that they needed to rest.55

Case Studies: Developmental Consequences of Physical Restraints

In Moscow and northwest Russia, Human Rights Watch documented three cases in which children who had learned to walk, or who may have been able to walk given their ages and lack of physical disabilities, were prevented from doing so because staff used restraints such as rags to bind them to crib railings or wheelchairs or confined them to cribs indefinitely.

In a Moscow specialized infant care institution, one staff member told Human Rights Watch that she had bound a 4-year-old blind girl to a wheelchair using rags tied around the girl's head and torso, despite the fact that the girl could walk. The staff member justified the binding, stating, “She's blind and she was hitting her head on the edges of furniture.”56

Similarly, in a specialized state children’s institution in northwest Russia, a Human Rights Watch researcher saw a 14-year-old girl named Lyuda who staff stated had cerebral palsy tied by the arms and torso to a wheelchair. A volunteer stated, “Lyuda used to be able to walk, but we tied her to a wheelchair to prevent her from running away. We didn’t want her to get beaten up by the staff [as punishment]. But now she has forgotten how to walk.”57

Human Rights Watch interviewed Valentina P., the former vice-director of a specialized state institution in Moscow region who had worked at the home from 2006 to 2008. Valentina P. reported that when she began working there staff bound many children indefinitely to cribs and furniture, including even 10- to 15- year-olds whose diagnoses with

55 Human Rights Watch interview with Vasilii V. (pseudonym), specialized state children’s institution director, Pskov region, 2013. The month and date of this interview have been omitted to protect staff members’ security.
56 Human Rights Watch interview with Irina K. (pseudonym), Moscow, September 16, 2013.
developmental disabilities would not have prevented them from learning how to walk. These children were not able to walk when Valentina P. first assumed her post. Other children had been perpetually confined to their beds and were unable to walk. According to Valentina P., about a year after she hired new and more staff and established a plan to engage the children daily, most of these children learned to walk.58

Chemical Restraints (Sedatives)
The vast majority of current or former specialized children’s institution residents whom Human Rights Watch interviewed reported that they had been given sedatives or that they had witnessed other children being given sedatives. Some institution staff also reported regularly and frequently administering sedatives to children, typically to control or punish them, and several activists confirmed that this is the case. Human Rights Watch is concerned that the regular and frequent use of sedatives on children, often apparently in order to control certain behaviors, may suggest that sedatives are being overused and may not always be used for their specified therapeutic purposes.

Human Rights Watch spoke with eight current institution staff in five institutions who confirmed the regular and frequent use of sedatives in the institutions where they worked.59 Personnel typically stated that they gave sedatives to prevent children from banging their heads against crib railings or walls or to prevent children from disrupting institution routines.60 Olga V., the head doctor in a Sverdlovsk region specialized state institution for children with developmental disabilities, reported, “At least 100 children here receive strong sedatives: five types, three times per day. If the children are not manageable, then we use medication.”61

59 Human Rights Watch interviews with Olga V. (pseudonym), head doctor, specialized state children’s institution, Sverdlovsk region, July 8, 2013; with Polina R. (pseudonym), specialized state children’s institution staff member, Sverdlovsk region, July 8, 2013; with Yana R. (pseudonym), specialized state children’s institution staff member, Sverdlovsk region, July 8, 2013; with Lyubov E. (pseudonym), pediatrician, specialized infant care institution, Karelia region; with Elena R. (pseudonym), speech therapist, specialized infant care institutions, Moscow, September 16, 2013; with Radmila K. (pseudonym), specialized state children’s institution staff member, northwest Russia, June 28, 2013; with Irina V. (pseudonym), specialized state children’s institution staff member, northwest Russia, June 29, 2013; and with Darya V. (pseudonym), doctor, specialized state institution, Pskov region, 2013. The month and date of this interview have been omitted to protect staff members’ security.
60 Human Rights Watch interviews with Polina R. (pseudonym) and Yana R. (pseudonym), specialized state children’s institution staff members, Sverdlovsk region, July 8, 2013.
61 Human Rights Watch interview with Olga V. (pseudonym), head doctor, specialized state children’s institution, Sverdlovsk region, July 8, 2013.
Valia T., a 15-year-old girl with a physical disability residing in a northwest Russia specialized state institution, told Human Rights Watch, “Some children get pills every day, and it hurts me to see this, because the medications make them just want to sleep.” Valia T. told Human Rights Watch that she believed staff found these other children to be too “active” because they were the ones who sometimes tried to leave the room in which they were confined all day.62

Staff most commonly gave children whom Human Rights Watch interviewed or who lived in institutions Human Rights Watch visited a drug called Aminazin, though children did not always know what types of drugs they or other children were given. Aminazin, a brand name of chlorpromazine, is an antipsychotic drug used to treat schizophrenia, sleep disorders, and strong pain.63 In the cases that Human Rights Watch documented, Aminazin was either injected or delivered orally.64 The known side effects of Aminazin are significant and include: drowsiness, fatigue, depression, helplessness, indifference, lack of motor control, and incessant tremors. There is also a risk of liver damage.65 Use of Aminazin for anything other than diagnostic and treatment purposes in accordance with the nature of a disorder is a violation of Russian federal law.66

Both children and institution staff reported the effects of Aminazin on children whom they observed being injected with it or on themselves: drowsiness, extreme fatigue (including resulting in sleep for more than 24 hours), and loss of appetite. For example, 25-year-old Andrei M., a man with a developmental disability, lived in a Pskov region specialized state institution from age 10 to 20 where staff regularly injected him and other children with Aminazin. He told Human Rights Watch,

---

62 Human Rights Watch interview with Valia T. (pseudonym), northwest Russia, 2013. The month and date of this interview have been omitted to protect Valia T.’s security.


They constantly gave us injections, and then they sent us to the bedroom so that we would sleep. There were no evening caretakers. So they gave us Aminazin to get us to sleep. Children became drowsy, and the next day they did not eat.  

Human Rights Watch spoke with children’s and disability rights activists with experience working in specialized orphanages in four regions who did not know whether children received sedatives according to their diagnoses, ages, and weights, or and whether orphanage staff monitored children who received sedatives. Human Rights Watch therefore believes that the burden lies on the Ministry of Health, in conjunction with the other ministries responsible for running orphanages where children with disabilities live, to lead a comprehensive audit of all such institutions in order to ensure that sedatives are used only when they have a therapeutic effect on children.

**Forced Psychiatric Hospitalization as Punishment**

Several young people with disabilities who lived or previously lived in specialized state children’s institutions reported that staff of specialized state children’s homes forcibly sent them to psychiatric hospitals as a form of punishment for “bad” behavior or for being too “active”: for running indoors, roughhousing with other children, or trying to leave their rooms or go outdoors, for example.

Human Rights Watch interviewed 19-year-old Nastia Y., a young woman with a developmental disability who grew up in a specialized state institution in Pskov region. She described how staff gave her medication or took her to a local psychiatric hospital named Bogdanova, as punishment:

> If you misbehave, then they give you pills to put you to sleep or they take you to Bogdanova. Bogdanova is a psychiatric hospital where there are


bars on the windows. Staff there tie kids’ hands together and give them pills and injections.... I felt very badly when I was there.69

Nastia Y. could not recall the year when she was hospitalized. Several other young people whom Human Rights Watch interviewed in Pskov region also reported having seen other children in the institution being punished in this way.70

Pavel R., a young man with a physical disability who spent his childhood in a specialized state institution in northwest Russia, said that he had been sent to a psychiatric hospital as punishment for being too “active.” He told Human Rights Watch that it was painful to describe his treatment there. “It’s something I never want to talk about,” he said.71

Pavel R. also described an incident in 2006 when institution staff sent a 15-year-old boy named Nikita to the psychiatric hospital for running around the bedroom that he shared with 12 other boys. Pavel R. said, “He returned drowsy, and he slept for days.”72

Valia T., a 15-year-old girl with a disability who was living in specialized state institution in northwest Russia during the time of her interview with Human Rights Watch in 2013, said,

On a Sunday, a boy ran away from the children’s home. They put him in a psychiatric hospital. He’s been there for five months. When children return from the hospital, they are quiet. Their bodies tremble.73

Valia T. feared exactly this type of punishment for speaking about what she had witnessed. “Don’t tell anyone that I told you this,” she said. “They’ll put me away in a crazy house. They’ll kill me.”74

69 Human Rights Watch interview with Nastia Y., Pskov region, December 6, 2013.
70 Human Rights Watch interview with Marat T., Pskov region, December 5, 2013; with Andrei M., Pskov region, December 5, 2013; and with Kostya K. (pseudonym), Pskov region, December 5, 2013.
72 Ibid.
73 Human Rights Watch interview with Valia T. (pseudonym), northwest Russia, 2013. The month and date of this interview have been omitted to protect Valia T.’s security.
74 Ibid.
Use of Isolation When Transferring to New Institutions or as Punishment

Human Rights Watch documented how staff in many institutions routinely put children in isolation when they arrive or reenter an institution, when they are transferred from other institutions, or following visits with relatives.

When children move from one institution to another, medical personnel at the receiving institution typically require children to spend anywhere from several days to a month inside a separate medical wing called an “isolator” (isoliator). Children are assigned to beds where they are expected to spend their days with little stimulation while staff monitor their health. The purpose of an isolator is to ensure that children do not carry contagious illnesses into the institution. While children reside in isolators they do not study, interact with other children, or play, allegedly because they need to be watched for signs of infection.75

Some staff also claimed that they place children in isolators so that they do not carry illnesses back into the institution after visits with their families.76 However, some institution staff stated explicitly that the isolator served as a disciplinary method for children returning from visits with relatives, who are assumed to overindulge children.77 For example, one specialized state institution doctor told researchers, “Children return from home spoiled by their parents. They’ve grown used to too much attention. They need to calm down.”78

Time spent in isolators can be emotionally damaging to children. The vice director of an orphanage for children with developmental disabilities in northwest Russia explained to Human Rights Watch why a 16-year-old girl stared at her desk instead of responding to the vice director’s question of what she was drawing. “She’s just spent a few days in the isolator,” said the vice director. “Children sometimes return from there shut down [zamknuty].”79

75 Human Rights Watch interview with Valeria N. (pseudonym), specialized state children’s institution pediatrician, Sverdlovsk region, July 8, 2013; with Yulia P. (pseudonym), vice director, specialized state children’s institution, northwest Russia, September 20, 2013; and with Elizaveta G. (pseudonym), specialized infant care institution director, Sverdlovsk region, July 4, 2013.
76 Human Rights Watch interview with Olga V. (pseudonym), head doctor, specialized state children’s institution, Sverdlovsk region, July 8, 2013; with Valeria N. (pseudonym), specialized state children’s institution pediatrician, Sverdlovsk region, July 8, 2013; with Elizaveta G. (pseudonym), specialized infant care institution director, Sverdlovsk region, July 4, 2013; and with Yulia P. (pseudonym), vice director, specialized state children’s institution, northwest Russia, September 20, 2013.
77 Ibid.
78 Human Rights Watch interview with Valeria N. (pseudonym), pediatrician, specialized state children’s institution, Sverdlovsk region, July 8, 2013.
79 Human Rights Watch interview with Yulia P. (pseudonym), vice director, specialized state children’s institution, northwest Russia, September 20, 2013.
Pskov region, a 25-year-old man named Andrei M. who has a developmental disability reported that he was forced to spend a month in an isolator at the age of 10 upon his transfer to a specialized state children's institution. He said, “It was tedious and lonely. I missed my family. I didn’t know what was going on. There was nothing to do.”

**Psychological Violence**

In 8 of 10 institutions for children with disabilities that Human Rights Watch visited, researchers documented how staff issued threats against children, including death threats and threats of beating or psychiatric hospitalization, as punishment for behavior deemed “bad” or “wild”; called children derogatory names such as “vegetables” and claimed children have no potential to learn or live independently; denied children attention; and either actively denied children’s contact with relatives or failed to take measures to facilitate such contact.

The 2006 UN report, *World Report on Violence Against Children*, identifies nonphysical forms of violence typically inflicted on children that can be “detrimental to a child’s psychological development and well-being” to include persistent threats, insults, name-calling, ignoring, isolation, rejection, threats, emotional indifference, and belittlement. While little is known about the effects on children living in institutions, in families characterized by nonphysical forms of violence “there is constant fear and anxiety caused by the anticipation of violence; pain, humiliation and fear during its enactment; and in older age groups, the loneliness of parental rejection, distrust, and at times self-disgust.”

**Threats**

A 22-year-old man with a developmental disability named Kostya K. told Human Rights Watch that staff from a Pskov region specialized state institution where he lived from age 5 to 18 (1997 to 2010) repeatedly threatened him and other children with psychiatric hospitalization when they ran in their bedrooms or in the hallways. Kostya K. said, “Staff said, ‘If you roughhouse, you’re going straight to Bogdanova [a nearby psychiatric
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80 Human Rights Watch interview with Andrei M., Pskov region, December 5, 2013.
82 Ibid.
hospital].”*83 Nine other children and young people with disabilities who lived or have lived in institutions reported that staff threatened them with psychiatric hospitalization if they roughhoused, ran indoors, or were late for meals.*84

**Insults and Derogatory Language**

In four regions, researchers observed many incidents in which staff referred to children as “vegetables” (ovoshchi) in children’s immediate presence to denote their alleged lack of intelligence or social responsiveness. For example, in Sverdlovsk region, Human Rights Watch visited one orphanage for children with developmental disabilities. While a researcher was speaking to a group of small boys, a staff member approached and stated, “They’re vegetables. They don’t understand anything.”*85

In a “lying-down” room in a northwest Russia specialized state children’s institution a Human Rights Watch researcher attempted to speak with Sveta, a 15-year-old girl with a developmental disability. However, a staff member immediately approached the researcher and said, “She can’t understand anything. She’s uneducable.”*86

In another case, a researcher was speaking with one institution staff member when a 13-year-old girl approached, sat next to the researcher, and leaned against her. The staff member slapped the girl on the arm and said to the researcher, “She’s a schizophrenic. Let her touch you, and she’ll hang on you like an insect.”*87

**Neglect by Caregivers**

Several activists whom Human Rights Watch interviewed stated that lack of individualized attention from orphanage caregivers is a significant problem in orphanages for children with disabilities across Russia.*88 As noted above, lack of stable caregiver relationships for
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*83 Human Rights Watch interview with Kostya K. (pseudonym), Pskov region, December 5, 2013.
*84 For example, Human Rights Watch interviews with Nastia Y., Pskov region, December 6, 2013; with Ekaterina F. (pseudonym), northwest Russia, June 29, 2013; and with Valia T. (pseudonym), northwest Russia, 2013.
*85 Human Rights Watch interview with Yulia T. (pseudonym), Sverdlovsk region, July 8, 2013.
*86 Human Rights Watch interview with Natalia L. (pseudonym), northwest Russia, June 29, 2013.
*87 Human Rights Watch interview with Valentina V. (pseudonym), Sverdlovsk region, July 8, 2013.
*88 For example, Human Rights Watch telephone interview with Yana D. (pseudonym), children’s rights activist, September 26, 2013; and Human Rights Watch interviews with Inna A. (pseudonym), expert on people with disabilities living in Russian state institutions, northwest Russia, March 2, 2013; and with Nina B. (pseudonym), pediatrician, Moscow, December 16, 2013.
young institutionalized children can cause severe psychological damage and has been equated with violence.\textsuperscript{89}

Children’s rights activist Yana D. worked in two specialized state institutions for children with developmental disabilities in northwest Russia from 2006 through 2008 and a third from 2008 through 2009. She reported that two to three “lying-down” rooms in each of these institutions had only one institution staff member per 13 children. Further, the staff in these rooms lacked pedagogical training. As a result, Yana D. said, “Other than having basic needs such as diaper changes taken care of, children had no individualized attention. They also never left the rooms.”\textsuperscript{90} Yana D. also underscored the consequences of isolation for children she worked with:

\begin{quote}
Usually, the media calls attention to cases of harsh treatment, deep medical problems, and poor nutrition in children's homes. But deep isolation is also very harsh treatment. People don’t understand that. Staff will say, “These children are very difficult, they don’t understand anything. Why give him attention?” In fact, the more severe the disability, the more attention [the child] needs, the more you need to work with him.\textsuperscript{91}
\end{quote}

Because of understaffing and because the children in “lying-down” rooms are seen as especially weak and in need of attention, many NGOs run volunteer programs to provide these children with additional attention and care, such as more frequent diaper changes. Often, the only social interaction that children get is from these volunteers who visit on a semi-regular basis.\textsuperscript{92} Several current and former volunteers in state institutions for children with disabilities reported to Human Rights Watch that staff in the institutions where they have worked have discouraged them from paying attention to particular children by playing with them regularly or taking them on outings into the community on

\textsuperscript{90} Human Rights Watch telephone interview with Yana D. (pseudonym), children’s rights activist, September 26, 2013.
\textsuperscript{91} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{92} Human Rights Watch interview with Karina L. (pseudonym), former specialized state children’s institution director, Moscow region, September 12, 2013; and with Anna A. (pseudonym), children’s rights activist, Moscow, September 10, 2013.
the basis that individualized attention would “spoil” children by giving them attention that they would not always receive.\footnote{Human Rights Watch interviews with Alexei P. (pseudonym), St. Petersburg, June 27, 2013; with Maria F. (pseudonym), foster parent, Moscow region, December 17, 2013; with Anna M., foster parent, St. Petersburg, June 29, 2013; with Lyudmila P. (pseudonym), orphanage volunteer, northwest Russia, June 28, 2013; and with Natalia G. (pseudonym), children’s rights activist, Karelia region, December 10, 2013.}

\textit{Discouragement of Family Contact}

Human Rights Watch research in Russia found that staff of some children’s institutions actively discourage children from having contact with their parents and other relatives or do not take sufficient measures to facilitate such contact, despite Russia’s obligations under federal law and as party to the Convention on the Rights of the Child to protect the rights of the children separated from one or both parents to maintain regular contact with parents.\footnote{An exception to this obligation is if such contact lies contrary to a child’s best interests. Family Code of the Russian Federation, art. 148.1.5; CRC, art. 9(3).}

Staff at two separate institutions reported to Human Rights Watch that they do not attempt to contact children’s parents and discourage visits claiming that children tend to be “spoiled” by special treatment on the part of their parents and return from family visits prone to misbehavior.\footnote{Human Rights Watch interviews with Yulia P. (pseudonym), vice director, specialized state children’s institution, northwest Russia, September 20, 2013; and with Olga V. (pseudonym), head doctor, specialized state children’s institution, Sverdlovsk region, July 8, 2013.} In St. Petersburg, children’s rights activist Alexander D. told Human Rights Watch, “Some doctors [at specialized state institutions] tell parents not to visit because their presence upsets children.”\footnote{Human Rights Watch interview with Alexander D. (pseudonym), children’s and disability rights activist, St. Petersburg, June 25, 2013.} Karina M., the mother of a 19-year-old young man with a developmental disability who has spent his life living in institutions in northwest Russia, reported to Human Rights Watch that institution staff sometimes prevented her from spending time with her son outside the territory of the state orphanage where he lived under the rationale that he would bring infections back into the institution.\footnote{Human Rights Watch interviews with Olga V. (pseudonym), head doctor, specialized state children’s institution, Sverdlovsk region, July 8, 2013; with Valeria N. (pseudonym), specialized state children’s institution pediatrician, Sverdlovsk region, July 8, 2013; and with Elizaveta G. (pseudonym), specialized infant care institution director, Sverdlovsk region, July 4, 2013.}

Several senior specialized children’s home staff whom Human Rights Watch interviewed claimed that there is no system in their institutions to connect children with their parents and relatives.\footnote{Human Rights Watch interview with Karina M. (pseudonym), St. Petersburg, June 25, 2013.} Human Rights Watch spoke with three children and young people currently
or formerly living in state institutions who requested information about their parents and relatives and were told by staff that such information was unavailable. Human Rights Watch was unable to confirm whether staff actually did not have such information or did not wish to facilitate such contact.

Many state institutions are located remotely from cities. All of the institutions that Human Rights Watch visited were located far from city centers and most were not reachable by public transportation. The hours and travel costs can create significant obstacles for many Russian families.

Lack of Effective Complaint Mechanisms

Several children whom Human Rights Watch interviewed stated that they did not know of any way to file a complaint or receive help after facing abuse. Others attempted to complain about abuse to another staff member and were not given help or were afraid to complain out of fear of retaliation or punishment. For example, Nastia Y., whose case is also described above, appealed to the institution psychologist after an institution staff member beat her: “I asked [the psychologist] to help me so that it would not happen again, and she told me there was nothing she could do to help.”

Anton K., a young man with a physical disability who grew up in a specialized orphanage in northwest Russia, reported that institution staff members and doctors often beat him and his peers with belts and mops and poured cold water on them when the children attempted to leave their bedrooms and go into the courtyard without permission. However, he knew no way to report the staff’s behavior. Anton K. said, “I just wanted to see them judged. My heart’s last desire is justice.”

All Russian children have the right to legal protection by their parents, their legal guardians, by guardianship and custody agencies, the prosecutor’s office, or the courts. Children “with full legal capacity” have the right to independently exercise their rights and obligations, including their right to protection. If a child’s rights are violated, the child
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99 Human Rights Watch interviews with Marat T., and with Andrei M., Pskov region, December 5, 2013; and with Ruslan P., Sverdlovsk region, July 8, 2013.
100 Human Rights Watch interview with Nastia Y., Pskov region, December 6, 2013.
has the right to independently apply for protection to guardianship and custody agencies, and at age 14, to a court. Officials and other citizens who learn of a threat to a child’s life or health or of a violation of his or her rights and legal interests are obligated to inform guardianship and custody agencies in the city or region where the child lives. Upon receiving such information, the guardianship and custody agencies are obligated to take necessary measures to protect the legitimate rights and interests of the child. According to Inna A., an expert on people with disabilities living in Russian state institutions, these children usually are unaware of their rights and the procedure for appealing to guardianship and custody agencies and lack access to the Internet or other means of filing a complaint independently. “It’s [only] parents or orphanage volunteers who make it possible for children to appeal to authorities,” Inna A. stated.

Under the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), states parties must guarantee the right of a child capable of forming his or her own views to express those views freely in all matters that affect the child, giving due weight to the child’s age and maturity. The state must also ensure that children enjoy safe and effective means of reporting maltreatment. The CRC also guarantees children the opportunity to be heard in any judicial and administrative proceedings that affect him or her, either directly or through a representative or other “appropriate body.”

As party to the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD), as part of its efforts to protect persons with disabilities from all forms of violence, states parties should provide persons with disabilities and their families and caregivers with “information and education on how to avoid, recognize and report instances of exploitation, violence and abuse.” Protection services must be age-, gender-, and disability-sensitive.
Lack of Effective Monitoring: “No One Checks on the Child”

Russia lacks federally enforced monitoring mechanisms to ensure that children with disabilities live free from neglect and abuse. Under Russian law, guardianship and custody agencies at the regional and city level are responsible for regularly monitoring conditions in all state residential institutions for children. One activist, Tatiana O., who oversees volunteer programs in several state institutions for people with disabilities and runs an organization advocating for stronger monitoring mechanisms in these institutions, reported that in her experience, inspections by these agencies are not sufficiently thorough. “No one checks on the child,” she said. Having witnessed inspections of a number of occasions in different institutions in northwest Russia, Tatiana O. observed that inspectors did not speak with children or examine children’s physical conditions. The inspectors limited their inspection to whether the institution followed sanitary regulations, whether the appropriate number of medical personnel were working in the facilities, and whether children living in the facilities are of the right age. Two other activists, one working in a specialized children’s home in northwest Russia and the other in specialized children’s homes in Moscow and Tula regions, reported that guardianship and custody agency inspectors do not pay attention to whether specialized orphanages protect children’s rights, focusing instead on formal indicators such as whether children of the right ages and with the correct diagnoses are located in the correct institutions.

In December 2012 Deputy Prime Minister Olga Golodetz issued written instructions authorizing the creation of commissions to inspect all specialized state institutions for people with disabilities, including children’s institutions. The instructions indicate that commissions can include regional officials and NGO representatives but do not specify the content of the inspections.
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In line with these instructions, NGO activist Anna A. organized an independent commission of NGO representatives with many years of experience working to protect the rights of children with disabilities living in Russian state institutions. The commission was able to inspect three specialized state children’s homes for children with developmental disabilities in one region in 2013. However, Anna A. told Human Rights Watch that in several cases, institution staff did not grant inspectors access.\footnote{Human Rights Watch interview with Anna A. (pseudonym), children’s rights activist, Moscow region, September 10, 2014.}

Another Moscow-based NGO providing rehabilitation services to children with disabilities and their families visited seven different specialized state children’s homes in 2013 and publicly reported problems such as overcrowding and a high proportion of children with disabilities living in institutions in spite of having at least one living parent.\footnote{For example, Vera Shengelia, “When all life happens in one room, it’s called a prison [Когда вся жизнь проходит в одной комнате – это называется тюрьмой],” Snob, October 9, 2013, http://www.snob.ru/selected/entry/66286 (accessed April 28, 2014).}

States parties to the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities are obligated to monitor the convention’s implementation, including in all institutions and programs serving persons with disabilities. States parties must determine focal point(s) within the government tasked with implementing the convention and establish a coordination mechanism “to facilitate related action in different sectors and at different levels,” which Russia has done through the formation of a coordinating council to implement its National Action Strategy in the Interests of Children for 2012-2017, as described below. States parties should also create, maintain, and strengthen mechanisms to monitor implementation of the CRPD, involving civil society and DPOs in particular, in the monitoring process.\footnote{CRPD art. 33 (1-3).} In order to prevent all forms of violence, abuse, and exploitation, states parties must ensure that all facilities and programs created to serve people with disabilities are monitored by independent authorities.\footnote{CRPD art. 16(3).}
III. Neglect and Lack of Health Care, Education, and Play

In all 10 institutions that Human Rights Watch visited, researchers documented many cases in which children suffered various forms of neglect, namely lack of adequate nutrition, inadequate health care, lack of access to rehabilitation services, lack of access to education, and insufficient access to leisure and play.\textsuperscript{118}

Many children with disabilities whom researchers met appeared significantly physically underdeveloped for their ages. Many also had protruding ribs and were unable to walk or crawl, despite the absence of physical or developmental disabilities that may have prevented them from being able to do so. According to several activists, factors affecting children’s lack of physical development include feeding methods that are inappropriate for children based on their disabilities, diets that fail to meet children’s caloric and nutritional requirements, and lack of stimulation and freedom to move.

These abuses and forms of neglect were particularly pronounced among children confined to “lying-down” rooms. These wards, where children spend their days in cribs, house children whom state officials have deemed to have particularly severe disabilities on the basis of an arbitrary exam given to children with disabilities to determine their placement in institutions (see below regarding the PMPC exam).\textsuperscript{119} The children whom Human Rights Watch observed in lying down rooms were usually unable to walk and talk.

Children living in other parts of institutions, while also facing various forms of neglect such as lack of sufficient opportunities for education, recreation, and leisure, were usually free to move around the rooms where they spent most of their days and sometimes to play with toys.


Human Rights Watch has determined that the practice of confining children with certain types of disabilities to “lying-down” rooms, where children receive minimal care and are given little or no opportunity or support for physical, emotional, or intellectual growth, amounts to inhuman and degrading treatment and should be abolished. The practice also discriminates against children with particular types of disabilities. The exam administered by local-level Psychologo-Medico-Pedagogical Commissions to children that typically determines their placement in institutions, including in these types of rooms, is also discriminatory, as detailed below.

**Lack of Adequate Nutrition**

Interviews with activists and children and young adults with disabilities, as well as Human Rights Watch’s firsthand observations, suggest that many children with disabilities living in Russian state institutions lack adequate nutrition and water to facilitate their full development. Human Rights Watch research identified several problems, including lack of sufficient calories and an adequately varied diet, sufficient drinking water, and knowledge on the part of the staff of these homes about appropriate feeding methods for children.

According to one disability and children’s rights activist:

> Food is a big problem in specialized children’s homes in Russia. The children do not get enough calories to allow them to develop. The quality and variety of food is also insufficient. Doctors don’t think that the children have any potential to develop and they feed them the same thing every day.\(^{120}\)

Institution staff and activists with whom Human Rights Watch spoke in different regions consistently stated that children receive the same foods nearly every week with little consideration for dietary needs specific to children’s disabilities and ages.\(^{121}\) A pediatrician in a Sverdlovsk region orphanage indicated that the institution does not select food appropriate for children’s ages and specific health needs, stating, “We grind up whatever we have and we use tubes to feed the ones who can’t feed themselves.”\(^{122}\)
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\(^{120}\) Human Rights Watch interview with Marina G. (pseudonym), children’s and disability rights activist, St. Petersburg, June 29, 2013.

\(^{121}\) Human Rights Watch interviews with Polina R. (pseudonym), specialized state children’s institution staff member, Sverdlovsk region, July 8, 2013; and with Yana R. (pseudonym), specialized state children’s institution staff member, July 8, 2013.

\(^{122}\) Human Rights Watch interview with Valeria N. (pseudonym), specialized state children’s institution pediatrician, Sverdlovsk region, July 8, 2013.
Some children with disabilities who live in state institutions also may not always receive sufficient drinking water. Marina G., an activist in St. Petersburg, described conditions in a specialized state institution where she runs a volunteer program:

The children [in the specialized state institution] don’t drink much. They get their diapers changed three times per day, but the staff can’t give them more diapers. So they limit the amount of water the children drink.\footnote{Human Rights Watch interview with Marina G. (pseudonym), children’s and disability rights activist, St. Petersburg, June 29, 2013.}

Children with disabilities in state institutions also may suffer inadequate nutrition because staff lack training in appropriate feeding methods for these children. According to Moscow-based pediatric psychiatrist Stanislav A., “Children who have certain genetic conditions cannot be fed with spoons and forks. They need special instruments, which institutions don’t have and which personnel are not trained to use.”\footnote{Human Rights Watch interview with Stanislav A. (pseudonym), pediatric psychiatrist, Moscow, February 16, 2013.} Children’s and disability rights activist Tatiana O. told Human Rights Watch that staff do not regularly re-evaluate whether or not a given feeding method is appropriate for children: “If a child has it written on his state medical documents that he needs to be fed through a dropper, then that’s what the child will get indefinitely,” she said.\footnote{Human Rights Watch interview with Tatiana O. (pseudonym), children’s and disability rights activist, St. Petersburg, February 25, 2013.}

Lack of Adequate Health Care and Rehabilitation

According to several activists whom Human Rights Watch interviewed, many children with disabilities living in Russian state institutions do not receive adequate health care and rehabilitation primarily due to the lack of qualified and trained personnel.\footnote{Human Rights Watch interview with Marina G. (pseudonym), children’s and disability rights activist, St. Petersburg, June 29, 2013.}

For example, activist Tatiana O. reported that medical services in specialized children’s institutions lag behind services in the community. Some staff lack any training to treat
children with disabilities and others do not participate in professional development training that their counterparts in community-based hospitals and clinics undertake.\textsuperscript{127}

According to one independent child psychiatrist based in the Moscow region, specialized state institutions lack sufficient numbers of medical personnel to provide appropriate medical services to children with disabilities, and “very few of the personnel are trained to work with children with conditions such as autism, attention deficit disorder, and schizophrenia.”\textsuperscript{128}

According to several activists and institution staff whom Human Rights Watch interviewed, children in “lying-down” wards often lack access to basic rehabilitation services. Nina B., an independent Moscow-based pediatrician who specializes in the health of children who live or have lived in institutions, reported that most specialized state institutions lack trained rehabilitation personnel.\textsuperscript{129} According to Valentina P., the former vice director of a specialized state children’s institution in Moscow region, many children in that institution lacked access to needed health care and rehabilitation services due to both a lack of sufficient staff and to these staff members’ lack of sufficient medical or pedagogical training. She described conditions in the institution:

> When I assumed the vice directorship in 2006, there was no rehabilitation in the ward for children in “lying-down” rooms. They lay in their cribs all day and had their diapers changed a few times a day.\textsuperscript{130}

According to Valentina P., doctors and pedagogical staff spent more time dispensing medications (including sedatives) to children and watching to see that they did not leave their beds than they did providing rehabilitative services and engaging in other activities that may have benefited children.\textsuperscript{131}

\textsuperscript{127} Human Rights Watch interview with Tatiana O. (pseudonym), disability and children’s rights activist, St. Petersburg, February 25, 2013.
\textsuperscript{128} Human Rights Watch interview with Stanislav A. (pseudonym), pediatric psychiatrist, Moscow, February 16, 2013.
\textsuperscript{129} Human Rights Watch interview with Nina B. (pseudonym), pediatrician, Moscow, December 16, 2013.
\textsuperscript{130} Human Rights Watch interview with Valentina P. (pseudonym), children’s and disability rights activist, Moscow region, September 16, 2013.
\textsuperscript{131} Ibid.
Even in institutions which had specialized facilities and staff trained to meet the rehabilitation needs of children with disabilities, staff denied children with certain types of disabilities access to these services. For example, in a Moscow region specialized infant care institution, Elena R., a speech therapist, showed Human Rights Watch several facilities meant to help children born with both physical and developmental disabilities such as cerebral palsy and Down’s syndrome to develop cognitive and motor skills. For example, the institution had a “sensory room” with colorful lights, textured toys, and ocean sounds to stimulate children’s senses; walkers to help children with physical disabilities to learn to walk; and climbing walls to facilitate muscular development. Elena R. reported to Human Rights Watch that most children had access to rehabilitation services such as the sensory room, “except for the most difficult children. What for? They can’t understand what is going on anyway.”

Clinical Examinations (“Dispanserizatsiia”)
Beginning in 2013, the Russian government began to implement a policy called “clinical examinations” (dispanserizatsiia). Under this policy, teams of 12 doctors conduct annual visits to each state children’s institution in Russia (including specialized children’s institutions) in order to evaluate whether children have been given the correct diagnoses. In response to a letter from Human Rights Watch related to this report, the Russian Ministry of Health stated that as a result of its policy of dispanserizatsiia, “all children with disabilities are provided with specialized ... medical assistance.” However, according to pediatrician Nina B., mentioned above, children who are examined by doctors in accordance with this policy do not always receive follow-up health care and rehabilitation services to treat the conditions with which they have been diagnosed.

Failure to Secure Treatment Outside of Institutions
Depending on a particular institution’s medical license and the specialties and training of its medical personnel, institution staff may not be capable of providing a child with
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133 Human Rights Watch interview with Nina B. (pseudonym), pediatrician, Moscow, December 16, 2013. Information on Russia’s federal policy of dispanserizatsiia can be found here: Federal order “On conducting clinical examinations for orphans and children in difficult life situations [О проведении диспансеризации пребывающих в стационарных учреждениях детей-сирот и детей, находящихся в трудной жизненной ситуации],” 2013, No. 72Н.
134 Letter from D.V. Kostennikov, State Secretary-Deputy Minister, Ministry of Health, to Human Rights Watch, May 12, 2014.
needed health care. Human Rights Watch documented four cases in which institution staff failed to provide children with disabilities with medical treatment outside of an institution when necessary care was not available in the institution.\textsuperscript{136}

As a result of the lack of federally regulated mechanisms to secure needed health care for children with disabilities in the community, a child who needs surgery or rehabilitation may need to wait for a third party such as a volunteer, a prospective foster parent, or an institution staff member to take the initiative to arrange for such treatment.\textsuperscript{137} However, experts and foster families explained that staff may not always arrange for such treatment, for a variety of reasons, including lack of sufficient personnel to arrange the paperwork and accompany a child to an outside medical facility; their view that a child is too gravely ill for treatment to be worthwhile; or fear of outside scrutiny on the care or condition of a child.\textsuperscript{138}

For example, Alexei P., mentioned above, the foster father of a 13-year-old boy named Timofei P. with a physical disability, explained how he helped Timofei receive necessary healthcare and rehabilitation services. While working as a volunteer at the specialized state children’s institution in northwest Russia where Timofei P. lived, Alexei P. asked the institution director to send Timofei P. to a pediatric orthopedic center in early February 2012 to receive surgery and physical therapy to enable him to walk. When no one at the institution was willing to arrange the procedure for Timofei P. or accompany him, Alexei P. compiled the necessary state medical documents and received a federal authorization enabling him to take Timofei P. to Moscow for government-funded surgery and rehabilitation. Alexei P. provided Timofei P. with basic care such as feeding him and supporting him emotionally.\textsuperscript{139}

\textsuperscript{136} Human Rights Watch interviews with Alexei P. (pseudonym), St. Petersburg, June 27, 2013; with Maria T. (pseudonym), foster parent, Moscow region, December 17, 2013; with Anna M. (pseudonym), foster parent, June 29, 2013; and Human Rights Watch group interview with Natalia and Sergei S. (pseudonyms), Karelia region, December 13, 2013.

\textsuperscript{137} Human Rights Watch email correspondence with Inna A. (pseudonym), expert on people with disabilities living in Russian state institutions, May 13, 2014; Human Rights Watch interview with Nina B. (pseudonym), pediatrician, Moscow, December 16, 2013.


\textsuperscript{139} Human Rights Watch interview with Alexei P. (pseudonym), St. Petersburg, June 27, 2013.
Lack of Access to Education

Many children with disabilities living in specialized state institutions receive little to no education. The education that these children do receive usually takes place within institutional walls rather than in the community, alongside other children.140

Children’s rights activist Anna A. has conducted inspections of three specialized children’s homes in one Russian region and runs a Moscow-based NGO extending educational and other services to children with disabilities living in Russian state institutions. She reported, “Many children living in state institutions run by the Ministry of Social Protection receive no education.”141 In one region in 2013, Anna A. and a group of four other NGO representatives visited a specialized children’s home for children with developmental disabilities and spoke with staff. According to Anna A., while most children received some form of limited education appropriate for their ages and skill levels, the vast majority of children in the “lying-down” ward did not partake in developmental and educational programs.142

Similarly, Anton K., who grew up in a specialized state children’s institution in northwest Russia, reported that he and other children spent the majority of their school time doing crafts such as painting envelopes. Anton K. said,

Subjects such as physics and chemistry were missing. When we asked to study more things, the staff members cited our diagnosis: profound mental retardation. We were not thinking about our diagnosis. We just wanted to learn something new.143

Anton K. reported that “there was no one to complain to” about his lack of education.144
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142 Ibid.
143 Human Rights Watch interview with Anton K. (pseudonym), St. Petersburg, June 26, 2013. It was not clear to Anton K. why he had been diagnosed with Profound Mental Retardation, the Russian medical equivalent of a developmental disability, given that his specific diagnosis, to the best of his knowledge, was cerebral palsy.
144 Ibid.
Absence of Education for Children in “Lying-down” Rooms

Children held in “lying-down” rooms of specialized state children’s institutions receive little or no education. A federal decree on the staff assigned to “lying-down” rooms does not include any teachers. By contrast, the decree recommends that other specialized institution wards have two and a half positions for staff with pedagogical training per group of 12 children with disabilities. In one Sverdlovsk region specialized infant care institution that Human Rights Watch visited, the director showed a researcher two rooms where 2-, 3- and 4-year-old children were reading with staff and coloring in coloring books as well as two “lying-down” rooms in an isolator ward with four children each. The children in these rooms lay in metal cribs, where one girl knocked her head against the wall. The director said that these children’s health was too fragile for them to be engaged in activities and that they had to stay in the isolator ward indefinitely due to severe forms of psychiatric illnesses, infections, and high temperatures.

The international disability rights NGO Inclusion Europe has published a report on best practices in inclusive education, which documents education techniques through which educators have successfully included children with a variety of types of disabilities into mainstream classrooms.

Efforts at Education for Children Living in Institutions

In northwest Russia, 100 of approximately 400 children with disabilities living in a state orphanage study in community-based specialized schools. However, according to two local activists, stigma towards institutionalized children among other children’s parents and school staff is so great that staff require children from state institutions to study in separate classrooms and on different schedules. One specialized state children’s home
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145 The decree assigns the following staff members for 25 children in a “lying-down” ward: one ward manager, four nurses, 22 sanitarkas or junior medical workers, one physical education specialist, one maid, and one cook. Federal degree “On approval of methodological recommendations for the organizing the activities of state (municipal) institutions “home-internat for mentally retarded children [Об утверждении методических рекомендаций по организации деятельности государственного (муниципального) учреждения «дом-интернат для умственно отсталых детей»],” No. 54, 2002, paras. 3, 7.

146 Ibid.


must rent space in six different schools to meet this requirement. According to one of the activists, Valeria I., teachers in these community-based schools are not trained to accommodate the learning needs of children with disabilities, and evaluation methods for these children in community-based schools lack consideration for their skill levels and learning needs. For example, to pass their courses, children need to take oral and written exams, which may prove difficult for some children with disabilities. Nevertheless, teachers pass children despite the fact that they can neither write nor talk. Valeria I. stated, “Here is how even a relatively successful attempt to bring children with disabilities into the community falls short of actually helping them reach their potential.”

In the institutions that Human Rights Watch visited, some children were receiving some limited education while children who resided in “lying-down” rooms received no education.

According to the Ministry of Labor and Social Protection, the government organized inter-ministerial monitoring commissions to inspect state institutions for children with disabilities according to a number of criteria, including whether or not institutions guarantee children’s right to education. The commissions found that orphanages guaranteed these children’s rights to education with adapted programs taking into account children’s needs.

**Lack of Access to Play and Recreation**

The children with disabilities living in state institutions that Human Rights Watch visited also lacked access to play and recreation. During institution visits researchers encountered few examples in which children were engaged in recreation or play with one another or with institution staff. The majority of children with disabilities whom Human Rights Watch met spent their days seated in classrooms or lying in beds, with toys displayed out of reach.

Children in “lying-down” rooms and children whom staff considered to have the most severe disabilities had the least access to play and recreation. For example, in a Pskov region
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specialized “children’s home,” Human Rights Watch researchers visited several classrooms where children with various disabilities such as Down’s syndrome, blindness, and physical disabilities were singing and playing with staff members. However, in other rooms, researchers met children with these disabilities and others, such as autism, who were unable to talk and who sat around the perimeter of empty, bare-floored rooms on mats and beanbags. The children rocked back and forth. Toys were arranged on windowsills, out of reach.

Several children and young people with disabilities reported to Human Rights Watch that they received few opportunities to play while living in institutions, and they especially wished to play outside of institutions, with other children. For example, in a Sverdlovsk region specialized children’s home, an 11-year-old boy named Maxim A. with a developmental disability said, “I wish they took us on excursions to the park. I wish we could go out into the city.” In northwest Russia, 7 of 10 institutions Human Rights Watch visited had playgrounds, but all but one were devoid of children during Human Rights Watch’s daytime visits. Staff at the other six institutions reported that some children were allowed outside for regular play. However, staff in these institutions reported that children whose health they deemed too fragile or whom they deemed incapable of responding to stimulation did not leave their rooms.

Severe Physical and Cognitive Underdevelopment

As a result of a lack of stimulation, adequate nutrition, and health care, children with disabilities in state institutions can be severely physically and cognitively underdeveloped for their ages. Human Rights Watch saw dozens of children in specialized state institutions of all ages who lay in cribs, moving little, with their ribs visibly protruding through the skin of their torsos. None of the children in the eight “lying-down” wards Human Rights Watch visited could talk, and the vast majority could not walk.

To better understand these children’s conditions, Human Rights Watch consulted with Moscow-based pediatrician Nina B., mentioned above, who evaluated numerous photographs taken by Human Rights Watch in several “lying-down” wards of specialized
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153 Human Rights Watch interview with Maxim A. (pseudonym), Sverdlovsk region, July 8, 2013. Maxim A. was among few children Human Rights Watch met whose parents visited him and took him home on some weekends, and was therefore able to comment on what lay outside the institution wall.

154 Researchers were unable to confirm the official diagnoses of children, as volunteers and junior personnel did not know or would not share children’s diagnoses.
state institutions for children with disabilities and commented on the conditions in which they live. Below, the circumstances under which Human Rights Watch met the children are described, along with Nina B.’s commentary. Please see the report summary for the photos discussed below.

**Nikita P.: Confinement to a Metal Crib**

Nikita P. is a 10-year-old boy. He lives in a specialized state institution for children with developmental disabilities in Sverdlovsk region in a “lying-down” room. An institution staff member reported to Human Rights Watch that Nikita P.’s diagnosis is cerebral palsy and “profound mental retardation” and that he can neither walk nor talk. He spends all of his time indoors in his crib and is not taken out to the yard with children from other wards. A staff member claimed that Nikita P.’s fragile health would be further jeopardized by too much exertion and exposure to the elements. When a Human Rights Watch researcher met Nikita P., his arms were bound to his body using a shirt tied around his torso. The institution staff member explained that this was to prevent “self-aggression.” Nikita P. scratched his eyes, the staff member said. The researcher noted a raw wound on Nikita P.’s head near the metal bar of his crib, which, according to the staff member, was from rocking back and forth.

According to Nina B., Nikita P.’s diagnosis of cerebral palsy might cause delays in physical growth but not to the extent exhibited by Nikita P. at 10 years of age. Nina B. said,

> This kind of physical condition is the result of chronic stress. Stress is a result of prolonged isolation and lack of stimulation, social engagement, and movement. For a child to be able to grow, he needs to be able to move around.  

Nina B. added that children living in these specialized homes often look atrophied like Nikita P. does due to their lack of access to rehabilitation services. Nina B. said, “No one tells children’s caretakers about rehabilitation and a child’s right to movement.” The pediatrician also noted that rocking back and forth and other forms of “self-aggression”

---

155 Human Rights Watch interview with Polina R. (pseudonym), specialized state children’s institution staff member, Sverdlovsk region, July 8, 2013.
157 Ibid.
results from a child’s attempt to stimulate himself: “It is a sign of discomfort, and the absence of stimulation.” She concluded, “There is no question that this child has the potential for more growth.”158

**Sveta L.: The Influence of Chronic Stress**

In a specialized state children’s institution in northwest Russia, Human Rights Watch met Sveta L., a 13-year-old girl whom an institution volunteer stated had a diagnosis of “imbecility” (*imbesilnost*), a developmental disability, explained above.159 Sveta L. resides in the “lying-down” ward of the institution, where the children spend their time in cribs or wheelchairs. In the summertime, she takes the occasional trip outside in a wheelchair at the initiative of young volunteers who come semi-regularly to the institution. Sveta L. wears diapers and is fed ground up food through a tube. Sveta L.’s ribs protrude from her torso and her legs are extremely thin.

According to Nina B., Sveta L. more likely has a learning disability and may have cerebral palsy. She noted Sveta L.’s “unmotivated smile” and the lack of motor control it suggested and stated that Sveta L.’s physical underdevelopment could result from many factors. However, she continued, “It is probably influenced by the chronic stress of growing up in an institution: lack of stimulation and lack of a well-rounded diet. You can help any child, including her.”160

**Lyuba P.: An Avoidable Developmental Delay**

Also in the “lying-down” ward of the specialized state children’s institution in northwest Russia, Human Rights Watch met 15-year-old Lyuba P., who had been diagnosed with “imbecility,” or a developmental disability.161 Lyuba P. wore only a diaper and stood by clutching the sidebars of her crib. Like Sveta L., her rib cage protruded, though she was clearly stronger; she grabbed the researcher’s arm and tried to climb out of her crib. Also like Sveta L., Lyuba P. was unable to talk and was taken outside only occasionally by volunteers.
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158 Ibid.
According to Nina B., based on Lyuba P.’s facial features and lack of growth for her age, she may have an iron or hormonal deficiency. However, if this were to be the case, hormonal deficiencies are very easy to correct, Nina B. said. Possibly, Lyuba P. could have fetal alcohol syndrome as well. She continued, “But fetal alcohol syndrome would also not be enough to singlehandedly influence such a pronounced delay in growth.”

Roman K.: A Lifetime of Deprivation

When Human Rights Watch met Roman K., an 18-year-old young man whom an institution volunteer stated had Down’s syndrome, he was awaiting transfer to an adult institution – a psychoneurological internat. However, based on Roman K.’s small size, his inability to walk and talk, and the fact that he sat in his crib wearing a diaper, he appeared to be a child under the age of 3.

When Human Rights Watch showed Nina B. Roman K.’s picture, she said,

“This is horrible. Down’s syndrome comes with delays in growth, but not to that extent. Once again, this is about an absence of stimulation and probably, a lack of adequate nutrition as well.”

The PMPC Exam

As mentioned above, children living in institutions appear at age 3 or 4 before a local-level commission called a Psychologo-Medico-Pedagogical Commission (PMPC), which uses interview examinations to evaluate both the forms of rehabilitation to which children are entitled and the institutions to which they will usually be transferred, barring opportunities for fostering or adoption. According to one children’s rights activist, Anna A., the PMPC has no legal right to formally approve children’s continued residence in institutions.
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164 A Human Rights Watch researcher estimated roughly that Roman K. was under three feet tall and weighed approximately 30 pounds.
However, in practice, the commission uses children’s prior diagnoses and their failure to pass the exam as evidence that a child is unable to live outside of an institution. Further, according to Anna A., many children with disabilities remain in institutions because the PMPC indicates to their parents that institutions are the only places where children can receive educational and rehabilitation services. The PMPC may lack information on accessible community-based services to provide to parents.

According to a letter to Human Rights Watch from the Ministry of Labor and Social Protection, a commission of doctors separate from the PMPC annually evaluates children in institutions designated for children with developmental disabilities in order to determine whether it is necessary for them to continue living in specialized state institutions, among other questions such as children’s consent to placement in institutions, for example. Additionally, the interministerial monitoring commissions mentioned above found no incidents of unjustified placement of children with disabilities in specialized orphanages.

The PMPC exam discriminates against children on the basis of their disability in at least two areas. The exam is administered in the form of an interview and those administering it do not make accommodations for children who are unable to speak and may have developmental disabilities that prevent them from engaging in conversation, understanding questions of the type administered on the exam, or other disabilities.

Interviewees who currently or at one point had worked in orphanages as staff or volunteers, as well as experts on children with disabilities living in Russian state institutions, told Human Rights Watch that the PMPC exam questions which they had direct knowledge of appeared arbitrary, irrelevant to children’s experiences, and often difficult for most 3- or 4-year-old children to answer.
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For example, the head doctor of one specialized state institution for children with developmental disabilities, Olga V., told Human Rights Watch that during the PMPC exam, children are asked to identify the name of their city of residence and the capital of Russia; their age; to identify different types of trees based on drawings; and to distinguish between different colors.171

In addition, there is no formal mechanism to explain to the child the purpose and nature of the examination, and children living in institutions may have no way to independently acquire the knowledge required to answer many of the exam questions.

Olga V., the head doctor referred to above, told Human Rights Watch that not all children in the orphanage where she works go to school, including the 150 children in the “lying-down” rooms whom the doctor claimed were “uneducable” (neobuchaemy) – a diagnosis that is outdated given that under federal law, all people have the right to an education.172 In addition, the doctor confirmed that these children have no teachers or other staff with pedagogical training assigned to their wing.173 Children at that institution, like the others Human Rights Watch visited, remain on the premises full time unless their relatives bring them home on the weekends, thus making it even less likely that they would have someone else in their lives to teach them information relevant to the PMPC exam.

Disability rights experts also told Human Rights Watch that committee members often fail to make an effort to establish rapport with the child, who is meeting them for the first time and who is immediately given a series of tasks or questions for which he or she has not necessarily been prepared.174
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171 Human Rights Watch interview with Olga V. (pseudonym), head doctor, specialized state children’s institution, Sverdlovsk region, July 8, 2013. Olga V. and other interviewees did not know if there was a standard set of questions asked throughout Russia, or if other types of questions were asked in other regions.


173 Human Rights Watch interview with Olga V. (pseudonym), head doctor, specialized state children’s institution, Sverdlovsk region, July 8, 2013.

As a result of the PMPC exam, many children stay in specialized institutions where they suffer continued isolation from their communities and from children without disabilities. Human Rights Watch believes that the exam as currently administered is discriminatory and may in some cases violate children’s right to not be separated from their families and their right to live in the community.

Lack of Preparation for Self-Reliance and Participation in the Community

When children with disabilities living in state institutions reach 18 years of age, the vast majority of them are sent by the government to psychoneurological internats (PNIs). Many of these closed medical institutions are located far outside of Russian cities, in rural areas. Resident-to-staff ratios tend to be far higher in PNIs; and violence, the use of sedatives, and psychiatric hospitalization are common. For children with disabilities who do not have parents or relatives to care for them, entry into PNI upon reaching 18 years of age is a near certainty.

Human Rights Watch research found that staff of state institutions rarely offer children the option of living in their communities as adults. For example, Nastia Y., a 19-year-old woman with a developmental disability who grew up in a specialized children’s home in Pskov region, reported that after she turned 17,

> A children’s home administrator told me that I had to sign an application to go to the psychoneurological internat. I said, “I won’t sign your application,” and [the administrator] replied, “The city is not the right place for you.” I said, “Neither is a psychoneurological internat.”

Nastia Y. received assistance from a local NGO and moved into their assisted living facility.

In St. Petersburg, 21-year-old Pavel R. recalled, “The director called me into her office one day when I was 17. She said, ‘In a few months, you’ll be transferred to a PNI.’ That was it.”
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175 Human Rights Watch interviews with Anatolii T. (pseudonym), children’s and disability rights activist, Moscow, December 8, 2012; and with Stanislav A. (pseudonym), pediatric psychiatrist, Moscow, February 16, 2013.
176 Human Rights Watch interview with Nastia Y., Pskov region, December 6, 2013.
All young people who age out of children’s institutions have the legal right to state-funded housing, a monthly stipend, free public transport, subsidized clothing, and other state subsidies. However, many children with disabilities living in state institutions grow up without an education and without the opportunity to learn basic skills such as cooking, purchasing products at the store, and managing their money.178

Rights to Health, Adequate Nutrition, Education, and Play
Russian law and Russia's international obligations require the state to ensure children living in institutions are provided with adequate nutrition, health care, education, and opportunities for play and recreation.

Russian federal law specifies that children in state custody must receive from their guardians decent living conditions, an education, “well-rounded development, and respect for their human dignity,” among other rights.179 Russian law also entitles people with disabilities to free medical care as part of Russia’s larger state insurance system as well as certain federally approved and funded rehabilitation devices and services.180 Additionally, Russian law guarantees orphans and children living without parental care free health care within medical organizations run by the Ministry of Health, including but not limited to regular medical examinations, as well as treatment outside the territory of the Russian Federation funded by the federal budget.181

The Convention on the Rights of the Child guarantees all children enjoyment of “the highest attainable standard of health and to facilities for the treatment of illness and rehabilitation of health.”182 States parties also must combat disease and malnutrition through the “application of readily available technology and through the provision of adequate nutritious foods and clean drinking-water....”183 Under the CRPD, all persons with
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181 CRC art. 24(1).
182 Ibid, art. 24(2c).
disabilities have the right to health “without discrimination on the basis of disability....”\(^{184}\)
The CRPD defines discrimination based on disability as “any distinction, exclusion or restriction” on the basis of disability that obstructs or prevents the enjoyment of rights and freedoms on an equal basis with others, including reasonable accommodations on the basis of peoples’ disabilities.\(^{185}\) States parties must also provide health services to persons with disabilities that they need specifically because of their disabilities, including early identification and intervention to minimize and prevent the development of further disabilities, including among children. States parties also must prevent discriminatory denial of health care, food, or fluids on the basis of disability.\(^{186}\)

Under the CRC, children have the right to rest, leisure, play, and recreational activities appropriate to their age. They also have the right to “participate fully in cultural and artistic life.” States parties must “encourage the provision of appropriate and equal opportunities for cultural, artistic, recreational and leisure activity.”\(^{187}\) Children with disabilities enjoy the right to a full and decent life, “in conditions which ensure dignity, promote self-reliance” and facilitate their “active participation in the community.”\(^{188}\) The CRC also prohibits discrimination of any kind against children, including on the basis of disability.\(^{189}\)

Under the CRPD, all persons with disabilities enjoy the right to live independently and be included in the community.\(^{190}\) States parties must ensure that a child with a disability enjoys “a full and decent life, in conditions which ensure dignity, promote self-reliance and facilitate the child’s active participation in the community.”\(^{191}\)

\(^{183}\) Ibid, art. 25(b).
\(^{184}\) CRPD, art. 2(3).
\(^{185}\) Ibid, art. 25(b).
\(^{186}\) CRC art. 31.
\(^{187}\) Ibid, art. 23(1).
\(^{188}\) CRC, art. 2(1).
\(^{189}\) CRPD, art. 19.
\(^{190}\) CRPD, art. 23(1).
IV. Pressures to Institutionalize Children

The international nongovernmental organization (NGO) Partnership for Every Child believes that over 95 percent of Russian children living in orphanages or various types of foster homes have at least one living parent. The government lacks clear and publicly accessible statistics on the reasons why children enter institutions and other forms of state care such as fostering. However, the National Action Strategy in the Interests of Children for 2012-2017, a federal policy aiming to bring Russia into compliance with the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), notes that insufficient social assistance such as education and rehabilitation and intolerant societal attitudes often influence parents to relinquish custody of children to state institutions.

Families of children and young people with disabilities face pressure to relinquish their children’s care to state-run institutions. In some cases, doctors and nurses may pressure parents of newborns with disabilities to relinquish custody on the basis that children will be unable to develop and form relationships, that parents will be unable to care for them, or that children will die. Lack of inclusive education, access to rehabilitation and health care, as well as lack of financial and other state supports, also influence parents’ decisions to place or keep their children in institutions.

Pressure on Parents by Medical Personnel

Pressure to Relinquish Children at Birth

According to the Ministry of Health, approximately 0.3 percent of newborns were given up by their parents in 2012. Estimates from NGOs suggest that national rates may be higher, particularly among children with developmental disabilities. For example, according to a 2011 report by Partnership for Every Child, of 40 families from Moscow and St. Petersburg with children with Down’s syndrome, 35 reported in 2006 that staff in (mostly state)
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194 Human Rights Watch interviews with Stanislav A. (pseudonym), pediatric psychiatrist, Moscow, February 16, 2013; and with Olga P. (pseudonym), children’s rights activist, Moscow, September 15, 2013.
birthing hospitals recommended that parents relinquish custody of their children to state children’s institutions.196

Human Rights Watch interviewed 18 biological parents of children with disabilities who faced pressure from healthcare workers to give up their children in six of eight regions researchers visited. Two cases in the city of Moscow city took place in 2012 and 2013, and the remainder took place between 1999 and 2008 in Moscow and other cities.

Healthcare workers told several parents that their children would fail to develop and form social relationships. In May 2012 a doctor and nurse from a state hospital in Moscow tried to convince Claudia K. to give her son to a state infant care institution after he was born with Down syndrome:

The doctor told me, “Why spoil your life with this child? You have two other healthy ones.” After two weeks, when I decided to bring him home, the doctor said, “Well, it’ll be like having a dog at home. He’ll do things that make you happy sometimes, but he’ll stay a dog.”197

A nurse who had been present during the birth told Claudia K. that her son would die in two weeks from heart problems. When Human Rights Watch interviewed Claudia several months before her son’s second birthday, her son was able to recognize different songs and enjoy picture books and was healthy, though Claudia had difficulty securing preschool and healthcare services due to negative attitudes from the heads of child development centers and pediatricians.198

When Eva R. gave birth to her daughter in 2013, also in Moscow, three doctors in a state hospital advised her to give her child up to state custody on the basis that her daughter was a “vegetable” who would not develop and would die before she reached two years of age. Like Claudia K., Eva R. decided to take her child home.199

196 EveryChild, “Factors affecting the placement of children with special needs in state care institutions [Факторов попадания детей с особыми потребностями в государственные воспитательные учреждения],” 2011.
197 Human Rights Watch telephone interview with Claudia K. (pseudonym), April 19, 2014.
198 Ibid.
199 For example, Human Rights Watch telephone interview with Eva R. (pseudonym), April 20, 2014.
Ksenia L., who lives in Moscow, described the pressure she faced to give up care of her son, Pasha L., who was born with Down’s syndrome in 2006. Healthcare personnel claimed that Pasha L. was “defective” and that she risked social alienation should she try to raise her son:

[After Pasha L.’s birth], doctors came in and out of the hospital room. One after the other they said to me, “Do you understand? Every cell of your child is defective. This is an entirely defective creation. Kindergartens will reject you. Your husband will leave you. Others will turn away from you.” Day and night, this continued… They kept saying, “He’s not capable of learning. Not capable of adapting. He’s not capable of anything.”

Other women interviewed by Human Rights Watch stated that medical personnel tried to convince them to give up their children to state care or be forced to quit their jobs in order to care for children with disabilities full time. For example, in Pskov region, Tamara N., the mother of an 8-year-old girl with Down’s syndrome, told Human Rights Watch what doctors said to her immediately following her daughter’s birth:

Why do you need to raise this child? You’re going to spend all of your time taking care of her, and you won’t be able to earn your own money.

Tamara N. left her daughter at the hospital but then decided to bring her home when she was a year and 3 months old.

Some healthcare workers tell parents that their children will not live to adulthood because of their disabilities. In St. Petersburg, activist Alexander D. told Human Rights Watch, “Doctors in birthing houses tell new parents that their children will not live long and that they should not get attached to them because of that.” This was what doctors told Nastia D. when her daughter, Dasha D., now 14, was born with Down’s syndrome in 1999:

201 Human Rights Watch interview with Tamara N. (pseudonym), Pskov region, December 8, 2013.
They told me she would die in my arms, that her illness was so severe that she would need constant care. They compared her to a broken toy that you can return to the store.  

Nastia D. initially left Dasha D. at the hospital but regained custody of her shortly after Dasha D.’s first birthday.

Other parents leave their children to the care of the state only to discover that they had been misinformed of their children’s potential. A children’s rights activist and volunteer in a specialized state children’s institution in northwest Russia told Human Rights Watch,

I meet parents who were told in the birthing houses that their children would not live or be capable of learning to do anything. Then they return here to the children’s home ten years later and find their children are still alive. The parents need emotional support after they realize they have missed so much.

**Pressure to Relinquish Children in Older Years**

According to Stanislav A., a pediatric psychiatrist who works with children with psychosocial and developmental disabilities, some parents choose to relinquish their children’s care at an older age because they are unable to find appropriate health care and medications in their communities. Karina M. gave her son Stas M., who has a disability, to a specialized infant care institution when he was 2 years old at the recommendation of Stas M.’s pediatrician, who told her that appropriate medication was not available in the community. Stas M. spent his entire childhood in institutions and entered an adult institution at age 18 in 2011. Karina M. said she would have liked to take Stas M. home to raise him, but lack of medication, inclusive education, and psychological support for herself and her son in the community made it unfeasible for her to do so.
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203 Human Rights Watch interview with Nastia D., Moscow region, December 3, 2013. Nastia D. and Dasha D. are the interviewees’ real names.

204 Ibid.


206 Human Rights Watch interview with Stanislav A. (pseudonym), pediatric psychiatrist, Moscow, February 16, 2013.

207 Human Rights Watch interview with Karina M. (pseudonym), St. Petersburg, June 25, 2013. Human Rights Watch documented only one case (Karina M., described above) in which the parent of a child with a disability relinquished custody of her child due to lack of accessible community-based services, rather than direct pressure from healthcare workers.
Obstacles to Raising Children in the Community

Lack of Financial Support

The Russian federal government provides children with disabilities and their parents with monthly pensions with an average of approximately 16,700 rubles (US$494.18) per month until children reach the age of 18.\(^{208}\) However, many parents of children with disabilities reported that this funding covers a fraction of parents’ costs for services that they must pay for privately given a lack of adequate or in some cases any government services to support children with disabilities.\(^{209}\)

Lack of Access to Health Care and Rehabilitation

Parents reported to Human Rights Watch key barriers to health care and rehabilitation in their communities. In some communities, specialists qualified to provide the right services are lacking.\(^{210}\) In other cases, parents encounter difficulties with physicians who do not treat their children with dignity, both when they directly seek out specialists for such services and when they appeal to government healthcare workers for state funding for such services.\(^{211}\) Pasha L., described above, at age 3 appeared before a city-level commission which determines the benefits and services to which children with disabilities are entitled. After speaking to Pasha L. for fifteen minutes, the commission psychiatrist said to his mother, Ksenia L., “I don’t understand what possibilities you see for this child.” The commission designated only a pension for Pasha and omitted services that Ksenia L. deemed crucial to her son’s continued development.\(^{212}\)


\(^{209}\) Parents reported paying for private schools, psychologists, learning specialists, physical therapists, and private doctors. For example, Human Rights Watch telephone interview with Eva R. (pseudonym), April 20, 2014; and Human Rights Watch interview with Ksenia L. (pseudonym), Moscow, December 16, 2013; with Lilia (pseudonym), Karelia, December 12, 2013; and with Tamara N. (pseudonym), Pskov region, December 8, 2013.

\(^{210}\) Human Rights Watch, Barriers Everywhere: Lack of Accessibility for People with Disabilities in Russia, September 2013.


\(^{212}\) Human Rights Watch interview with Ksenia L. (pseudonym), Moscow, December 16, 2013. The commission is part of the local-level Bureau of Medical-Social Expertise, defined above.
In addition, the Russian federal government allocates needed rehabilitation services to children based not on their cities of residence but on pre-established quotas of available services such as physical therapy or rehabilitative surgeries in a given city or town. If a child with a disability needs services at a particular time and local hospitals are at capacity, that child must travel to another location. Families must sometimes be prepared to take time from work and other familial responsibilities in order to travel and stay with their children in other cities.\textsuperscript{213}

\textit{Lack of Access to Education}

Human Rights Watch research in a number of cities found children with disabilities and their parents encounter a number of barriers to education, including: a dearth of accessible mainstream schools with staff who are trained and willing to integrate children with disabilities into their classrooms; an insufficient number of specialized schools in children’s home towns; and parents’ lack of knowledge of their children’s rights.\textsuperscript{214} The Human Rights Watch report, \textit{Barriers Everywhere}, documents a range of other accessibility issues that impact children’s access to education.\textsuperscript{215}

\textit{Rights to Family Life, Education, and an Accessible Environment}

As party to the CRC, Russia shall ensure that children are not separated from their parents unless that separation lies in the child’s “best interests.”\textsuperscript{216} Under the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD), in all actions concerning children with disabilities, states parties shall make the best interests of the child a primary consideration, giving due weight to the child’s age and maturity.\textsuperscript{217} In its General Comment 1, the Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities has stated that governments “must examine their laws to ensure that the will and preferences of children with disabilities are respected on an equal basis with other children.”\textsuperscript{218}

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{enumerate}
\item Human Rights Watch interview with Nina B. (pseudonym), pediatrician, Moscow, December 16, 2013.
\item Human Rights Watch, \textit{Barriers Everywhere: Lack of Accessibility for People with Disabilities in Russia}.
\item CRC, arts. 9(1) and 3(1).
\item CRPD, art. 7, paras. 2-3.
\end{enumerate}
\end{footnotesize}
The CRPD also guarantees children the right from birth to know and be cared for by their parents and states that states parties must “prevent concealment, abandonment, neglect and segregation of children with disabilities.”\(^{219}\) States must also “undertake to provide early and comprehensive information, services and support to children with disabilities and their families.”\(^{220}\)

The United Nations Guidelines for the Alternative Care of Children additionally state that children should be placed in institutional care only under circumstances when such care is “specifically appropriate, necessary and constructive for the individual child concerned and in his/her best interests.”\(^{221}\)

In its January 2014 concluding observations on Russia, the UN Committee on the Rights of the Child expressed concern over the widespread institutionalization of children with disabilities due to stigma and the absence of social support services. The committee urged Russia to prevent widespread institutionalization of these children and to ensure sufficient family- and community-based alternatives through public education and the provision of support services to children with disabilities and their families.\(^{222}\)

The Russian federal law “On Education in the Russian Federation,” which came into force in September 2013, defines inclusive education as the “provision of equal access to education for all students, given a diversity of special educational needs and individual capabilities.”\(^{223}\) The law requires regional and city-level governments to create the conditions for quality education without discrimination towards persons with disabilities, “using appropriate pedagogical and communication methods....”\(^{224}\) This law does not set minimum inclusive education standards regulating factors such as specialized teacher education, reasonable accommodations, and other infrastructural and curricular changes to ensure that inclusive education is made available to all children in practice.\(^{225}\)
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\(^{219}\) CRPD, arts. 18(2), 23(3)

\(^{220}\) CRPD, art. 23(3).


\(^{224}\) Ibid., art. 5, para 5.1.

\(^{225}\) Human Rights Watch telephone interview with Karina P. (pseudonym), disability rights activist, November 12, 2013.
However, the Ministry of Education is currently sponsoring a project to develop educational programs differentiated by the particular educational needs of students with disabilities to be implemented in both specialized and inclusive schools.226

The CRC guarantees the right of the child to education, progressively and on the basis of equal opportunity and that education of the child shall be directed to the “development of the child’s personality, talents and mental and physical abilities to their fullest potential.”227 The CRPD guarantees persons with disabilities access to inclusive primary and secondary education in the communities where they live. Reasonable accommodations of individuals’ educational requirements should be provided.228

The Russian federal law “On the Social Protection of the Disabled” guarantees accessible infrastructure and information to people with disabilities, as well as education and health care. Under the CRPD, all persons with disabilities have the right to accessible infrastructure or services open or provided to the public. Additionally, people with disabilities enjoy the right to access education and health care and rehabilitation services on an equal basis with others.

227 CRC, arts. 28(1), 29(1).
228 CRPD, art. 24(2b, c).
V. Government Response

The Russian government has taken several measures intended to create more accessible communities for children with disabilities and their families, to prevent children with disabilities from entering institutions, and to transition children with disabilities living in institutions into families and communities. These measures include several national policies including a National Action Strategy in the Interests of Children for 2012-2017 listing goals towards bringing Russia into closer compliance with the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), as well as the establishment of a federal foundation providing funding to some regional governments and NGOs to establish support services for families and children, including children with disabilities. Also, a May 2014 government resolution designates that orphanages are temporary institutions whose purpose is to place children in families and mandates that orphanages protect children’s rights to health care, family contact, and a variety of other rights under the CRC. In addition, in May 2014 the Russian State Duma accepted in their first reading a set of amendments that would include a prohibition against disability-based discrimination and an expanded list of changes to be made so that public facilities and services are physically accessible to people with various kinds of disabilities.

These measures are steps in the right direction, but they could go much further to ensure children with disabilities the right to a full and decent life and participation in their communities, especially through meaningful implementation of progressive policies and laws.

The National Action Strategy in the Interests of Children for 2012-2017

The National Action Strategy in the Interests of Children for 2012-2017 aims to bring Russia into accordance with its obligations under the CRC.

The National Strategy’s main aims are to prevent the entrance of children, including children with disabilities, into institutions and provide child-friendly and accessible educational, healthcare, and rehabilitation services; prioritize family-based alternative
care for children living in institutions, including children with disabilities; and return
children with disabilities who live in institutions to their birth families.229

To begin implementing the strategy, in 2012 the government formed a coordinating council
to oversee realization of the strategy, established priority measures to implement the
strategy by the year 2014, and recommended that regional governments adopt programs
for implementing the strategy. 230

According to one representative from an international children’s rights NGO with an office
in Russia, while the National Strategy contains some strong messages regarding
deinstitutionalization, it is not yet clear how and to what extent regional governments have
translated these statements into concrete programs.231

Foundation for Support of Children in Difficult Life Situations

In 2008 the Russian government created the Foundation for the Support of Children in
Difficult Life Situations.232 Through the foundation, the federal government provides
financial support to regional governments or NGOs to carry out programs for the prevention
of child abandonment and child abuse; family placement for orphans and children without
parental care; and social inclusion for children with disabilities and their families, among
other topics.233 NGOs can receive funding through local governments awarded these
federal funds. Regional governments are responsible for reporting directly to the federal
government on their progress.234

(pseudonym), children’s rights NGO representative, northwest Russia, April 22, 2014.
231 Ibid.
232 Presidential Decree of the Russian Federation “On creation of a foundation for support of children in difficult life
situations [О создании фонда поддержки детей, находящихся в трудной жизненной ситуации],” No. 404, 2008,
233 “Official site of the foundation to support children in difficult life circumstances: areas of activity [Официальный сайт
фонда поддержки детей, находящихся в трудной жизненной ситуации: Направления деятельности],” http://www.fond-
234 Human Rights Watch telephone interview with Erica J., children’s rights NGO representative, northwest Russia, April 22, 2014.
According to one Russia-based NGO representative, support from the foundation has led to the start of several significant projects in Russian cities. For example, in Volgograd region, the government developed infant abandonment prevention services in maternity hospitals.235

In the five years since the foundation's creation, there has been no formal evaluation by the federal government of the implementation of services created with foundation funding.236

Draft Amendments on Accessibility and Nondiscrimination

In May 2014 the State Duma, Russia's lower house of parliament, accepted in their first reading a series of amendments to 25 federal laws related to disability rights. The amendments include a prohibition against disability-based discrimination and an expanded list of changes to be made so that public transport and other facilities and services are physically accessible. Human Rights Watch believes that passage of the amendments would be a positive step towards inclusion of Russian citizens with disabilities in society. However, Human Rights Watch calls on the government to consider additional amendments to protect the rights of people with developmental and psychosocial disabilities, in addition to those that would ensure adequate enforcement of Russia's disability rights laws.237

Recent Initiatives Concerning Orphanages

In May 2014 Prime Minister Dmitri Medvedev signed a resolution developed by the Ministry of Education concerning institutions and their purpose that appears to address a number of concerns about treatment of children, both with and without disabilities, living in state institutions, including: guaranteeing contact between children and their families and communities, including to facilitate children's return to their families; information for children about their rights and systems of redress; access to health care and other services, including for children with disabilities; and nutrition appropriate to children’s ages and disabilities. The resolution also addresses the need for the government to more

235 Ibid.
236 Ibid.
actively place children in institutions in foster or adoptive families and states that children are to be placed in orphanages temporarily, only until they can be placed in families.\textsuperscript{238}

Notwithstanding these important statements, Human Rights Watch has concerns that implementation of the resolution may lead to continued segregation of children with disabilities from their peers without disabilities living in state institutions and the community. For example, the resolution lacks specific requirements that children with disabilities living in institutions study in mainstream schools, without exception.\textsuperscript{239} While the resolution requires that children in state orphanages live in groups of mixed age and disabilities, it grants wide discretion to orphanage directors and local guardianship and custody agencies to segregate children under the age of 4 with certain types of disabilities in separate facilities.\textsuperscript{240} The practice of segregating children on the basis of their disabilities is discriminatory and should be abolished. In addition, as described above, children placed in “lying-down” rooms are disproportionately denied lack access to health care, education, nutrition, and play.

The resolution also fails to specify how certain provisions protecting the rights of children living in state institutions would benefit children with disabilities. For example, the resolution lacks mention of how orphanages are to encourage foster care and adoption of children with disabilities.\textsuperscript{241} Also, the resolution requires orphanages to provide children with accessible information on their rights as well as telephone numbers and electronic and mailing information for children to receive free legal, psychological, and other assistance.\textsuperscript{242} However, it does not specify how children with disabilities, who may not be able to talk, read, or write, will gain access to information on their rights and access to these forms of support.

\textsuperscript{238} Federal resolution “On the activities of organizations for orphans and children without parental care, and on placing children without parental care in them [О деятельности организаций для детей-сирот и детей, оставшихся без попечения родителей, и об устройстве в них детей, оставшихся без попечения родителей],” No. 481, 2014, arts. 4, 27, 42, 49, 55, 51m, 51o, 53a.
\textsuperscript{239} Ibid, arts. 22-24.
\textsuperscript{240} Ibid, arts. 21, 33-34.
\textsuperscript{241} For example, articles 45 through 47 state that orphanages should secure comfortable conditions for prospective foster or adoptive parents with government permission to visit children, to enable these parties to get acquainted with one another; should acquaint them with children’s personal history; and provide consultations with medical, pedagogical, psychological, and other orphanage personnel.
\textsuperscript{242} Ibid, art. 27.
VI. Alternatives to Institutionalization

Russia’s child welfare system includes various possibilities for alternative care for children, including adoption, fostering, and other arrangements. However, Human Rights Watch interviews with activists as well as with foster and adoptive parents suggest that Russia faces many challenges to ensuring alternative care for children with disabilities. These challenges include lack of independent mechanisms to place children without parental care in families; lack of social support for foster and adoptive families of children with disabilities; and attempts by local-level officials to dissuade families from adopting or fostering children with disabilities.

Data from a database run by the United Nations Children's Emergency and Relief Fund suggest that overall domestic and international adoption rates in Russia have been declining between 2004 and 2011, the last year for which data is available, and children with disabilities comprise just 2 percent of 10,816 Russian children adopted in 2011. The vast majority of children with disabilities adopted in each of these years were adopted internationally rather than by Russian families. According to a May 2014 letter from the Ministry of Education to Human Rights Watch in response to questions regarding the findings in this report, in 2012 and 2013 a total of 9,169 and 8,245 children were adopted, respectively. Children with disabilities comprised approximately 2 percent of all children adopted in each of those years.

Under Russian law, children without parental care should be placed in families through adoption or more temporary forms of family placement such as fostering and in the absence of such possibilities, should be temporarily placed in orphanages until they can be placed in families.

Under the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), a child deprived of his or her family environment, or in whose best interests cannot remain in that environment, is

---

245 Family Code of the Russian Federation, art. 123(1).
entitled to “special protection and assistance” from the government.246 States parties shall ensure alternative care for such a child.247 Under the CRC and the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD), governments shall grant paramount consideration to a child’s best interests in all matters concerning guardianship, wardship, trusteeship, and adoption.248

The UN Guidelines for the Alternative Care of Children state that in order to meet the emotional, social, and other needs of each child living without parental care, states should take all necessary legislative, policy, and financial measures to provide for adequate alternative care options that grant priority to “family-and community-based solutions.”249 Young children, especially those under 3 years of age, should receive care in family-based settings, except in emergency cases or to prevent the separation of siblings, when residential care should be for a limited duration and “with planned family reintegration or other appropriate long-term care solution as its outcome.”250

The UN Committee on the Rights of the Child has called on states parties to establish programs to deinstitutionalize children with disabilities, returning them to their biological or extended families or placing them in foster care, and providing children’s families with systematic support to include children into their homes.251 Parents and other extended family members should be provided with the necessary and systematic support and training for including their child back into their home environment.”252

Human Rights Watch documented several cases in which children with disabilities previously living in institutions who found homes in Russian adoptive and foster families experienced significant improvements in their well-being and development.

---

246 CRC, art. 20(1).
247 CRC, art. 20(2).
248 CRC, art. 21, para 1. CRPD, art. 23(2).
250 Ibid, para. 22.
252 Ibid.
Russia has several forms of alternative, family-based care for children deprived of parental care, including but not limited to adoption and fostering. The cases included in this section relate to situations of fostering and adoption.

Problems with Existing Alternatives to Institutionalization

Lack of Mechanisms to Place Children with Families

Under current policies, Russia’s local-level guardianship and custody agencies store lists in their databases of children who are eligible to be placed in family-based care, and prospective foster and adoptive parents can call for information on these children. The government does very little, however, to identify potential adoptive or foster parents for children, including for children with disabilities, and provide them with post-adoption support. In 2009 the Pskov region NGO Rostok and the Levada Center, an independent polling organization, surveyed over 140 state institutions for children with developmental and psychosocial disabilities. They found that none of the directors of these institutions made finding adoptive or foster parents for children in state institutions a priority or devoted significant time or resources to placing children in foster or adoptive families.

Lack of Social Support for Foster and Adoptive Families

Russia also lacks a cohesive state infrastructure to ensure adequate material and social support for children with disabilities after they are placed with adoptive or foster families.

Social payments to foster parents of children with disabilities vary by municipality. For example, in St. Petersburg foster parents and “guest” parents receive 10,000 rubles per

---

253 Adoption entails the permanent placement of a child in a family, whereby the parent or parents take on all of the rights and responsibilities of a biological family. It is, however, not an option for children whose parents have not permanently relinquished their rights. Guardianship entails rearing a child and caring for his or her basic daily needs, but guardians are subject to regular monitoring by guardianship and custody agencies. Fostering is another temporary form of family-based care for children who cannot legally be adopted or placed under guardianship or for whom an adoptive family cannot be found. Foster parents receive salaries. Patronage is a family-based form of childrearing by an employee of a state institution. Patronage serves as a replacement for a child’s temporary placement within an institution and is often used as a transitory period leading to guardianship or adoption, pending resolution of a child’s legal status. A “guest family” takes place by agreement between a state children’s institution and a family for a child living in the institution to visit the family temporarily during weekends and holidays while remaining an official ward of the institution. The purpose of a guest family is to allow a child to spend time outside of an institutional setting and experience family life. “Forms of family placement: a list of guardians’ responsibilities [Семейные формы устройств; перечень обязанностей опекунов],” Mamamoya, 2010, http://mamamoya.ru/semeynie_formi_ustroystva.htm (accessed August 29, 2014).


In one region, they receive 15,000 rubles per month (US$278), and in Moscow city, they receive 15,000 rubles per month (US$418). Foster and guest parents told Human Rights Watch that they encountered many expenses in excess of these payments in caring for children with disabilities in their custody.

For example, in Karelia region Human Rights Watch interviewed Natalia and Sergei S., the foster parents of two children with physical disabilities, ages 11 and 6, from a specialized children’s home and a specialized infant care institution, respectively, and one child, age 8, with a developmental disability. Natalia and Sergei S. reported several challenges raising the children, including insufficient funds from the government to afford necessities such as orthopedic shoes for their youngest daughter; the absence of services such as state developmental psychologists to give guidance on their children’s development; and a lack of an accessible kindergarten and schools in their community. As a result, Natalia S. homeschooled the 8-year-old boy, who has a disability, because the teachers at a local specialized school required that she stay with him throughout the day in the absence of school personnel to provide necessary support. Since Natalia S. must also accompany their 6-year-old foster daughter to kindergarten to help her move around the premises, Natalia S. is unable to accompany her foster son to school as well.

Dissuading People from Adopting Children with Disabilities

When prospective foster and adoptive parents approach guardianship and custody agency officials and institution staff, the latter sometimes try to discourage prospective parents on the basis that these children lack prospects for development. For example, in 2012 Valentina Y. approached officials at a Moscow region guardianship and custody agency to inquire about adoption of two boys with psychosocial disabilities from a specialized infant care institution. An official said to her, “You won’t manage. You don’t need them anyway.”

---

256 Human Rights Watch interviews with Alexei P. (pseudonym), St. Petersburg, June 27, 2013; with Tatiana O. (pseudonym), disability and children’s rights activist, St. Petersburg, June 24, 2013; and with Ekaterina S. (pseudonym), children’s rights activist, Moscow region, September 17, 2013. For a definition of “guest families,” please see footnote 254.


258 Human Rights Watch interviews with children’s rights activist Karolina S. (pseudonym), Moscow region, December 16, 2013; with children’s and disability rights activist Tatiana O., St. Petersburg, February 25, 2013; with Maria T. (pseudonym), foster parent, Moscow region, December 17, 2013; and with Anna T., foster parent, St. Petersburg, June 29, 2013.

259 Human Rights Watch interview with Valentina Y. (pseudonym), Moscow region, December 3, 2013. Valentina Y. reported inquiring about her prospective sons’ diagnoses of the specialized infant care institution psychologist where the boys then lived. According to Valentina Y., the psychologist replied, “You don’t need to know this information. You don’t need these children,” and told Valentina Y. that the boys were simply “Inadequate.” According to Valentina Y., both of her sons had difficulties speaking when they were adopted, but their speech improved shortly after coming to live with her and her family.
Success Stories: Children’s Transformations in Family Settings

Despite the many challenges that foster and adoptive parents face, Human Rights Watch documented a number of cases in which children who transitioned from institutional to family-based care demonstrated dramatic improvements in health and overall well-being.

In Moscow region Human Rights Watch met Maria T., the foster mother of an 11-year-old boy named Artur T. Maria T. met Artur T. in 2011 while Artur T. was still living in a specialized state children’s institution. The PMPC had labeled Artur T. as “uneducable,” and he neither attended school, nor walked, nor talked. He spent his days lying in a crib in the institution. With the help of a local children’s and disability rights activist, Maria T. arranged to foster Artur T. in 2012 and secured physical therapy for him. Artur T. is learning how to walk and attends a specialized school for children with developmental disabilities.

Sergei and Natalia S.’s foster children have also displayed marked gains in cognitive and physical development: For example, 6-year-old Katya S. moved from a specialized infant care institution to live with the family when she was 3 years old. At first, she could not talk, walk, or sit up. Now she can do all of these things. However, according to Natalia S., Katya S.’s pediatrician says that she is currently within the growth range of a 3-year-old child due to lack of adequate nutrition and stimulation early in life.

Valentina Y. succeeded in adopting two boys with psychosocial disabilities, ages 3 and 4, from a specialized infant care institution. Both boys have displayed marked improvements in their well-being during the past year and a half. Valentina Y. said,

They didn’t used to laugh. Now they do. Before, they had trouble sleeping through the night. The doctor said this was from posttraumatic stress disorder as a result of neglect. Now they both sleep through the night. They used to lie in their beds in the morning until I came to get them. Now I find them playing in the morning.

---

260 Human Rights Watch interview with Maria T. (pseudonym), foster parent, Moscow region, December 17, 2013.
261 Human Rights Watch interview with Artur T. (pseudonym), Moscow region, December 17, 2013.
262 Human Rights Watch group interview with Natalia and Sergei S. (pseudonyms), Karelia region, December 13, 2013.
NGOs Filling the Gaps

Human Rights Watch research found that in many cases, it is NGOs rather than the government that provide children with disabilities and their families with essential social support. Numerous NGOs work in the field of disability, providing direct support and services to adults and children with disabilities and their families, including foster families. Many are also advocacy groups that raise awareness about the rights and dignity of persons with disabilities, including children with disabilities, and promote inclusive education and employment, among other initiatives.

An NGO in the Sverdlovsk region that supports families struggling with poverty and families of children with disabilities provides information on children’s rights and community services to parents of newborns with disabilities in maternity wards. Also, in cases when parents decide to relinquish custody of their children, the NGO encourages state agencies to prioritize children’s placement with relatives rather than in institutions or foster families.\(^{264}\)

Other NGOs provide ongoing services such as support groups for parents of children with disabilities and speech therapy for children. A psychologist at one NGO that provides support groups and psychological assistance to children with disabilities and their families told Human Rights Watch, “The majority of families who receive psychological help don’t give up their children.”\(^{265}\)

The evidence is overwhelmingly clear that children with disabilities are able to live in their communities when they and their families are offered sufficient support services. For example, Dasha D., described above, who was born with Down’s syndrome, learned to talk, walk, and read and began to attend school after returning home from an infant care institution to live with her family. There could be many more cases like hers if the government followed the example of local children’s and disability rights NGOs and extended social support to all children with disabilities and their families.

---

\(^{264}\) Human Rights Watch interview with Margarita L. (pseudonym), children’s rights activist, Sverdlovsk region, July 2, 2013. Recently, the Sverdlovsk regional government has begun to provide some financial support to this NGO.

\(^{265}\) Human Rights Watch interview with Olga P. (pseudonym), psychologist, Moscow, September 15, 2013.
Recommendations

To the Russian Government, Including the Ministries of Labor and Social Protection, Health, and Education

Immediately

• End violence and ill-treatment against children with disabilities in state institutions:
  ▪ Establish a zero tolerance policy for state children’s institution staff who beat, humiliate, insult or otherwise exert physical or psychological violence on children;
  ▪ Promote a culture of respect for human rights and diversity, including respect for the rights of children with disabilities.

• End the use of physical restraints, forced isolation, and forced psychiatric treatment as a means of managing or disciplining children in care. Any physical intervention should be strictly limited to emergency situations where a child is in immediate danger of physical harm and should be used only as a last resort, lasting only as long as the immediate danger has subsided.

• Develop and implement alternative means of discipline, based on principles of nonviolence, tolerance, and respect, including as articulated in the Council of Europe commissioner for human rights paper, “The right not to be hit, as a children’s right.”

• Ensure medical interventions are based on the full and informed consent of the child. Any forced medical intervention should be strictly limited to emergency situations where the child's life is exposed to imminent danger and should be used only as a last resort in the same manner it would be used on any child or adult with a life threatening condition who is unable to consent to treatment at that moment, lasting only until the immediate danger has subsided.

• Conduct an audit of all state institutions where children with disabilities live to ensure that sedatives are used therapeutically, for a short period of time, in limited dosages, and are accompanied by appropriate follow-up monitoring.

• Abolish the practice of confining children with certain types of disabilities to “lying-down” rooms and ensure that all children with disabilities living in state institutions are free from discrimination. Children should undergo an assessment, subject to regular review, to identify technical assistive devices that could provide them with the support they need to sit, hold up their heads, be mobile,
communicate, feed themselves, and where possible take full care of themselves. Steps should be taken to ensure children have access to such technical assistive devices.

- Ensure that parents and children are able to contact and visit with one another at will, with no adverse consequences to children’s well-being.
- Abolish isolators. To prevent the spread of contagious diseases among children living in institutions, ensure that all children receive necessary vaccinations and provide access to health clinics or doctors so that children who present with symptoms of infectious or contagious diseases can receive appropriate treatment.
- Guarantee children access to adequate nutrition and water, including through the use of feeding methods that are appropriate to children's ages and disabilities. Ensure that the feeding methods used for each child with a disability are re-evaluated regularly by health professionals with appropriate expertise and that children are assisted in learning how to feed themselves wherever possible including with the use of appropriate technology or assistive devices.
- Guarantee children with disabilities the right to an inclusive, quality education, including all children living in institutions, through the following measures:
  - Introduce amendments to the “Law on Education” to explicitly guarantee inclusive education to all children, including children with disabilities living in institutions;
  - Ensure that the “Law on Education” is implemented fully, including by ending the practice of categorizing children as “uneducable”;
  - Follow through with the current project to develop clear standards specifying curricular and other types of accommodations to make inclusive education accessible to children with various kinds of disabilities, including but not limited to children with developmental or psychosocial disabilities;
  - Create training standards for teachers who work with children with various disabilities and train teachers;
  - Develop awareness-raising campaigns among specialized state institution staff, mainstream kindergarten and school administrators, teachers, and other school staff, as well as among children without disabilities and their parents, on the rights, dignity, and potential of children with disabilities, including their right to freedom from discrimination of any kind;
• Provide accessible public transport for children with disabilities between institutions and kindergartens and schools in children's communities;
• Take steps to integrate into education as far as possible the latest advances in assistive technology, devices, and equipment that can help children with disabilities to maximize their community participation.

• Guarantee children with disabilities access to regular play and recreation appropriate to their age. Consult with competent authorities to ensure that recreational activities and toys provided to children with disabilities living within specialized state institutions are developmentally appropriate and accessible to children.

• Ensure that staff in specialized state institutions for children with disabilities receive appropriate training to care for children with disabilities, assess their changing needs, and support them to maximize their potential across all areas of functioning.

• Create accessible and effective mechanisms for children with disabilities in state institutions to report abuse without risking repercussions:
  • Regularly inform children of their rights and of ways in which to file complaints and receive psychological and legal assistance. Ensure that this information is provided in an accessible manner to all children;
  • Ensure that complaint mechanisms are confidential and accessible to children with diverse disabilities;
  • Ensure that children's complaints are reviewed and addressed promptly and impartially.

• Establish meaningful monitoring of all state institutions where children with disabilities live:
  • Ensure that monitoring commissions include independent experts from civil society with experience and expertise on the rights of children with disabilities, their developmental potential, on inclusive education, and health care and rehabilitation for children with disabilities;
  • Ensure that monitors enjoy unimpeded access to institutions at different times of day and that they are able to make unannounced as well as announced visits;
  • Include in monitoring commissions private, confidential, and accessible interviews with children on their treatment and their enjoyment of the rights
and freedoms to which they are entitled under the Convention on the Rights of the Child and the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities.

- Reform the Psychologo-Medical-Pedagogical Commission to ensure that:
  - The official purpose of the PMPC is to protect the best interests of the child, granting due weight to the child’s will and preferences and in accordance with the child’s age and maturity;
  - Professionals who comprise the commission receive information on the rights, dignity, and potential of children with disabilities, including a child’s right not to be separated from his or her parents against their will; to inclusive education; and to a full and decent life in conditions that promote self-reliance;
  - Professionals who comprise the commission provide children with disabilities and their families with information on services available to children with disabilities in their communities, including but not limited to inclusive education and accessible and child-friendly healthcare and rehabilitation services;
  - The PMPC exam administered to 3- and 4-year-old children includes questions that are appropriate to children’s ages and disabilities and is administered in accessible formats;
  - Children are adequately prepared for the exam and that it is administered in a friendly and non-intimidating environment by professionals whom the child has previously met.

- In line with the United Nations Guidelines for the Alternative Care of Children, adopt policies and introduce amendments to all relevant laws to ensure institutionalization is used in limited circumstances, such as in emergencies and to prevent the separation of siblings, and when specifically appropriate, necessary and constructive for the individual child concerned, and in his or her best interests.

- Ensure authorities:
  - Provide information to expectant parents and healthcare workers who serve new parents on the rights and dignity of children with disabilities, including but not limited to these children’s rights to inclusive education, accessible health care and rehabilitation, and a physically accessible environment;
  - Provide parents of children with disabilities telephone numbers and addresses of community-based support services such as early education.
programs for children with disabilities and parents’ support groups, among
other kinds of services;
  - Devote particular attention to ensuring that young children under age 3
    receive care in families.
- Collect and make publicly available statistics on the number of children with
disabilities living in Russia, as well as the number of children with disabilities
living in state institutions. Data should be disaggregated by region, children’s ages,
and their types of disabilities, as well as the types of institutions in which children
live.
- Throughout the process of reforming policies and amending laws, actively seek and
take into consideration the input of children and young people previously in
institutional care, as well as the views of experts and NGOs working in the field of
disability rights.
- Implement the federal resolution “On the activities of organizations for orphans…”
  with regard to the following:
    - Educating children’s institution personnel on children’s rights, including on
      the prohibition of ill-treatment and the extent and severity of consequences
      of violence against children;
    - Ensuring that all children, including children with disabilities, receive
      necessary skills training to prepare them for independent living;
    - Increasing ratios of child care workers to children and ensuring that
      assigned childcare workers include personnel with pedagogical training;
    - Guaranteeing opportunities for children to safely meet regularly with
      relatives, legal guardians, and other individuals meaningful to children and
      to correspond in writing and speak by telephone to these people unless
      competent authorities independent of institutions in which children reside
determine that such contact lies contrary to a child’s best interests.
- Implement the recommendations made by the UN Committee on the Rights of the
  Child following its January 2014 review of Russia.

Medium to Long-Term
- Develop a clear, time-bound plan to end the institutionalization of children,
especially infants separated from their parents, with extremely limited exceptions
  granted to emergency and temporary use of institutions, as described above; and
either return children living in institutions to their birth families or place them in foster or adoptive families. Ensure that the plan has adequate resources to reach its goals. This plan should:

- Ensure that state financing for formal care of children with disabilities privileges family-based care options;
- Develop sufficient short-term, emergency family-based care placement spaces for children with disabilities who are relinquished at birth or in the first years of life if necessary while long-term, permanent care options such as adoption or long-term foster care are sought for them;
- Include measures to return children with disabilities to their natal families, except in cases when such measures do not lie within children’s best interests, and ensure that families have adequate support to care for these children;
- Include measures to actively encourage adoption and fostering of children with disabilities, including but not limited to awareness-raising campaigns on the rights and dignity of these children and on services available to them in the community.

- In line with the UN Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities General Comment No. 2 (Article 9: Accessibility), establish a time-bound plan, subject to available resources, to develop accessible, community-based infrastructure and services for children with disabilities and their families, including foster and adoptive families, in sufficient numbers to be accessible to people in their communities:
  - Establish, staff, and fund support groups for parents of children with disabilities led by professionals with appropriate training and knowledge on the rights, dignity, and potential of children with disabilities and with knowledge of the challenges that children with disabilities and their families face to living and participating in their communities;
  - Ensure that pre-school age children with disabilities have access to pre-school and child care centers on an equal basis as others and ensure that they are accessible and that staff at these facilities receive appropriate training and knowledge on these children’s rights and potential;
  - Ensure that healthcare facilities have sufficient capacity to treat children with disabilities in or near their communities, including by hiring
appropriately trained personnel and equipping hospitals and clinics with child-friendly and disability-accessible medical equipment;

- Ensure that parents are informed about rehabilitation centers for children with disabilities in their area and that the centers are accessible and effective in meeting assessed psycho-social and rehabilitation needs of children and families and support families and children to access inclusive education, leisure, employment, and other relevant community services in their local area.

- Ensure that all children living in institutions before turning 18 have meaningful consultations regarding their futures, are given opportunities to live in the community, and are not automatically transferred to state institutions for adults:
  - Establish and fund community-based assisted living to enable young people with disabilities to transition out of state institutions and realize their right to participate and be included in their communities.

**To the Russian State Duma**

- Amend the law “On Guardianship and Custody” to establish a procedure for developing an understanding of a child’s best interests, including through the use of accessible mechanisms for children to express their wills and preferences in their own placement decisions. Incorporate diverse means of communication and supported decision-making for children, when needed.

- Amend Russia’s Code of Administrative Violations to include harsher penalties for officials who obstruct the family placement of children left without parental care.

- Pass amendments introduced in May 2014 designed to prohibit disability-based discrimination and expand the list of changes to be made so that public transport and other facilities and services are physically accessible.

- Introduce additional amendments to federal legislation that would protect the rights of all persons with developmental and psychosocial disabilities to accessible community-based infrastructure and services and that would establish clear mechanisms for enforcement of Russia’s disability rights laws, at all levels of government.
To Russia’s Children’s Rights Commissioner

- Issue a public statement calling for a ban on the following practices:
  - The use of all forms of physical violence, including but not limited to restraint, beatings, forced psychiatric hospitalization, and isolation;
  - Derogatory language, threats, and insults on the part of institution staff to children;
  - Denial of children’s contact with family members.
- Ensure that all monitoring of children’s institutions is accompanied by appropriate follow-up to guarantee protection of the rights of all children, including children with disabilities.
- Work with the relevant ministries to ensure appropriate guidance for protecting children with disabilities from all forms of violence and neglect, including but not limited to lack of adequate nutrition, access to education, play, and recreation.

To Russia’s International Partners

- Russia’s international partners, including the European Union and its member states, UNICEF, the World Bank, and other international financial institutions and all donors – governmental and nongovernmental – engaged in assistance programs with Russia in the context of multilateral and bilateral funding should:
  - Raise the concerns detailed in this report about the plight of institutionalized children with disabilities on the occasion of bilateral and multilateral dialogues with Russia and proactively look for opportunities to advance the recommendations formulated to address them;
  - Earmark financial and other forms of support and assistance toward support services for families of young children with disabilities and prevention of child abandonment, as well as towards family reunification and other forms of family-based care for children with disabilities separated from their biological families;
  - Ensure that projects receiving funding for initiatives such as prevention of child abandonment, family reunification of institutionalized children, and protection of the rights of children living in institutions, explicitly include children with disabilities;
  - Continuously monitor all community-based projects providing services and infrastructure for children such as schools, recreational programs, and
health care to ensure that all parties implementing such projects (i.e. contractors, construction workers, program managers, and other parties) incorporate the needs of children with disabilities for accessible services and infrastructure.
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Appendix: Government letters, Replies

1. Human Rights Watch correspondence with the Children’s Rights Commissioner for the President of the Russian Federation

2. Human Rights Watch correspondence with the Ministry of Education

3. Human Rights Watch correspondence with the Ministry of Health

4. Human Rights Watch correspondence with the Ministry of Labor and Social Protection
Dear Pavel Alekseevich,

Please accept my greetings on behalf of Human Rights Watch. As you may know, Human Rights Watch is an independent, international human rights organization that advocates respect for human rights in some ninety countries worldwide, including Russia. For more than 20 years, Human Rights Watch has conducted research on a range of human rights concerns in Russia, including, recently, on the rights of people with disabilities. In September 2013 we published a report on the accessibility barriers people with disabilities experience in Russia and have discussed the report extensively and constructively with a wide range of government officials. As part of our program on the rights of people with disabilities we are also focusing on the rights of children with disabilities.

Our basis for all of our research is Russia’s commitments and obligations under international human rights law. Specifically, in our work on the rights of children with disabilities, we are guided by the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) and the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD).

We are writing to you to learn more about the Office of the Children’s Rights Commissioner’s policies and procedures concerning protection of the rights of children with disabilities, including of children living in state institutions.

Human Rights Watch has conducted interviews with children with disabilities, their families, staff of state institutions for children with disabilities, and disability and children’s rights experts in several cities in Russia. Based on our interviews, we have identified a number of concerns. The purpose of this letter is to share some of these concerns with you and

March 21, 2014

125993, Moscow, GSP-3, Miusskaya pl., d. 7, str. 1

Pavel Alekseevich Astakhov
Children's Rights Commissioner for the President of the Russian Federation
learn about the ways in which the Commissioner's Office may be addressing these issues, so that we can reflect information and perspectives from your Office in any forthcoming reporting.

These concerns related to the following areas:

*Linkages to birth families.* Human Rights Watch research identified concerns regarding the ability of children with disabilities to remain with their birth families rather than be placed in institutions, or to maintain contact with their families in the event that they have been placed in institutions without their parents having lost or given up their parental rights.

*Education.* Human Rights Watch is aware that all children living in Russian state institutions are entitled to education, but our research suggests that not all children with disabilities living in state institutions enjoy accessible education, particularly in inclusive settings.

*Nutrition, health care, and discipline.* Our research identified several concerns regarding children with disabilities living in state institutions and their freedom from violence and particularly corporal punishment; their access to health care and rehabilitation; and their access to adequate nutrition sufficient for their development.

*Support for families of children with disabilities and individuals with disabilities to live in their communities.* Human Rights Watch research identified concerns regarding the availability and appropriateness of support services to consistently enable parents to raise their children with disabilities at home and facilitate these children’s participation and inclusion in their communities.

We would welcome information from the Commissioner’s Office regarding its role monitoring observance of the rights of children with disabilities, including those living in state institutions, specifically with regard to the following questions:

**Oversight of children’s placement in families and institutions:**

- Do processes exist through which children who are in institutions or who may be recommended for placement in institutions can express their views in matters related to their participation in family life, their placement in institutions, and their right to maintain linkages with their birth families? What are these processes and how are they implemented?

- Are there official criteria for determining whether institutional placement lies in a child’s best interests? If so, what are those criteria? If not, are there efforts underway to develop these criteria?
Monitoring of the rights of children living in institutions:

- Does the Office of the Children's Rights Commissioner conduct monitoring of state institutions for children? If so, what is the nature and frequency of that monitoring? Does the Office of the Children's Rights Commissioner collaborate with any other state institutions in the monitoring of human rights for children living in state institutions, such as local guardian and custody organs? If so, which ones? We would welcome any statistics from the Office of the Children's Rights Commissioner regarding such monitoring.

- What are the mechanisms for children with disabilities living in state institutions to submit complaints regarding their conditions or treatment to competent authorities? What safeguards exist against retaliation, in cases when abuses are reported? Is there a single mechanism available to children living in institutions falling under the jurisdiction of the Ministries of Education, Labor and Social Protection, and Health?

- How many complaints has the Office of the Children's Rights Commissioner received in 2011, 2012, 2013, and to date in 2014 regarding alleged human rights violations of children living in state institutions? How many of these complaints specifically concern the rights of children with disabilities living in state institutions? Human Rights Watch would welcome information from the Office of the Children's Rights Commissioner on the specific concerns raised in these complaints; whether they are from children, their relatives, institutional staff, or other parties, such as guardianship and custody organ officials, for example; and a breakdown of these complaints by year, type of institution, and children's ages and disabilities.

- What are the procedures for the Office of the Children's Rights Commissioner to respond to and investigate complaints?

Alternatives to institutionalization:

- What role does the Office of the Children's Rights Commissioner have in the development and promotion of alternatives to institutionalization? For example, what is the Office of the Children's Rights Commissioner’s perspective on the expansion and development of programs to facilitate foster care and adoption?

Statistics on children with disabilities:

In compiling our report, Human Rights Watch has encountered difficulties locating comprehensive statistics on the number of children with disabilities living in Russian state institutions; the types of disabilities children with disabilities living in Russian state institutions have; as well as rates of
abandonment, adoption, and fostering within Russia. We would therefore appreciate if the Office of the Children’s Rights Commissioner shared the following statistics:

- What is the total number of children with disabilities currently residing in state institutions in Russia, disaggregated by type of institution; disability; age; gender; and region (krai or oblast)?

- What was the total number of children living in state institutions in each of the following years: 2009, 2004, and 1999? Human Rights Watch would welcome information disaggregated by the types of institutions in which children have lived.

- What is the rate at which children with disabilities are removed from institutions and placed in (birth, foster, or adoptive) families?

- What are the mortality rates among children living in state institutions, disaggregated by disability, age, gender, type of institution, region (krai or oblast) and cause of death for the years 2014, 2009, 2004, and 1999? We would especially welcome information on mortality rates among children recently transferred from one institution to another, or who have recently entered institutions for the first time. Human Rights Watch would also welcome information on how the mortality rate for children with disabilities compares to the mortality rate for children without disabilities?

In light of our upcoming report on the rights of children with disabilities in Russia, we would welcome your response to our concerns by April 25, 2014 so that we have adequate opportunity to ensure that the report reflects the information and perspectives of the Office of the Children’s Rights Commissioner.

We thank you for your attention to these matters and look forward to receiving your responses.

Sincerely,

Rachel Denber
Deputy Director
Europe and Central Asia Division
Human Rights Watch
Уважаемая Рейчел Денбер!

По поручению Уполномоченного при Президенте Российской Федерации по правам ребенка П.А.Астахова сообщаю, что Ваше обращение рассмотрено.

Пользуясь случаем, выражаем Вам благодарность за проводимую работу в области защиты прав и законных интересов несовершеннолетних.

Ознакомиться с деятельностью Уполномоченного в области защиты прав детей с инвалидностью, в том числе находящихся в специализированных государственных учреждениях, а также по другим вопросам Вы можете на сайте www.rfдети.ru

Затрагиваемые Вами в обращении вопросы официальной статистики относятся к компетенции Федеральной службы статистики, Минздрава России, Министерства труда и социальной защиты России, Минобрнауки России.

В этой связи рекомендуем вам обратиться с соответствующим заявлением в указанные государственные органы исполнительной власти.

Помощник руководителя Аппарата по обеспечению деятельности Уполномоченного при Президенте РФ по правам ребенка

Н.Д.Волкова
Dear Dmitri Viktorovich,

Thank you for agreeing to meet with us in September regarding access to education for people with disabilities. We welcome the constructive dialogue between the Ministry of Education and Human Rights Watch.

We are writing to you to learn more about the Ministry of Education's policies and procedures concerning protection of the rights of children with disabilities, including those living in state institutions. As you know, Russia has ratified both the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) and the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD).

Human Rights Watch has conducted interviews with children with disabilities, their families, and staff of state institutions for children with disabilities in several cities in Russia. Based on our interviews with the abovementioned groups as well as disability and children’s rights experts, we have identified a number of concerns. The purpose of this letter is to share some of these concerns with you and learn about the ways in which the Ministry of Education may be addressing these issues, so that we can reflect information and perspectives from the Ministry of Education in any forthcoming reporting. We have also written to other ministries regarding concerns that relate directly to issues within their competencies.

Specifically, people with whom Human Rights Watch spoke reported the following concerns:

**Linkages to birth families.** Human Rights Watch research identified concerns regarding the ability of children with disabilities to remain with their birth families rather than be placed in institutions, or to maintain
contact with their families in the event that they have been placed in institutions. In this regard, we would welcome information from the Ministry of Education concerning the following:

- Does staff of the Ministry and institutions under its supervision provide parents of children with disabilities information regarding the rights of children, including children with disabilities, such as the right to an inclusive education and the right to participate in cultural life, for example? What kind of information does the Ministry share with parents regarding the nature of the disability (ies) identified in a child and how the disability relates to the development of the child over his or her lifetime? How does it distribute this information?

- Do processes exist through which children in institutions or who may be recommended for placement in institutions can express their views in matters related to their participation in family life and their placement in institutions? What are these processes and how are they implemented?

- What is the Ministry of Education’s role in overseeing or collaborating with local guardianship and custody organs, including in determining whether family separation lies in a child’s best interests? Does the Ministry collaborate with local guardianship and custody organs to oversee children’s placement in families, whether placement involves reunification with children’s biological relatives or in foster or adoptive families? If so, how does it collaborate with these organs?

- What are the official criteria for determining whether institutional placement lies in the best interests of the child? How does the Ministry of Education apply these criteria, including through its role in the psycho-medico-pedagogical commissions (PMPC)? How do PMPCs determine whether continued institutionalization lies in the best interests of children with disabilities who age out of baby houses? In cases when PMPCs decide that institutionalization does lie in a child’s best interests, how do PMPCs determine whether a child will live in children’s homes run by the Ministry of Labor and Social Protection or in those run by the Ministry of Education? In all of these decisions, we would appreciate information on how the Ministry of Education promotes and incorporates respect for the child’s views.

- In what ways does the Ministry of Education act to protect the right of children with disabilities living in state institutions to maintain linkages with their birth families?

- Human Rights Watch would also appreciate more information on Article 155.1, part 1, paragraph 2 of Russia’s Family Code, on the placement of children without parental care. The article states, “In the case that a child is left without parental care in a subject of the
Russian Federation lacking an organization for orphans and children without parental care, where the child can be placed in order to ensure that child’s basic needs and provide that child with an upbringing and an education, that child will be transferred to a guardianship and custody organ located on the territory of a different subject.” In such cases when a child is transferred to an institution located in a different region than that of his or her immediate family or relatives, how does the Ministry of Education act to protect the right of the child to maintain linkages with his or her birth family?

- What steps is the Ministry of Education taking to support the development of federal anti-discrimination legislation to better reflect the principles and provisions of the Convention on the Rights of the Child and the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, and to address the needs of children with disabilities in a nondiscriminatory manner, as recommended in the Committee on the Rights of the Child’s concluding observations on Russia in January 2014?

**Education.** Human Rights Watch is aware that all children living in Russian state institutions are entitled to education. In this regard, Human Rights Watch would be grateful for information from the Ministry of Education concerning the following:

- What specific steps does the Ministry of Education take to ensure that children with disabilities living in institutions under its jurisdiction have access to education in their communities, particularly in inclusive settings? We would particularly appreciate any information that the Ministry could provide on any community-based inclusive education programs in place or under development for children with disabilities living in institutions.

- How does the Ministry of Education train and prepare teachers and other school personnel who work with children with disabilities, including children living in institutions? Human Rights Watch is particularly interested in steps the Ministry might be taking to ensure accessible and inclusive curricula aimed at children’s maximum development and facilitation of their self-reliance.

**Nutrition, health care, and discipline.** According to article 155.1, part 3 of the Family Code, local guardianship and custody organs are responsible for the living conditions, upbringing, and education of children residing in organizations for orphans and children without parental care. Given this, Human Rights Watch would appreciate the Ministry of Education’s input on the following questions:

- What federal policies exist regarding nutrition for children living in state institutions? What policies exist concerning nutrition for children with disabilities that is adequate
for their development, including regarding appropriate feeding methods in cases when children are unable to feed themselves? In what ways does the Ministry of Education work with local guardianship and custody organs to ensure that children with disabilities living in institutions under its supervision receive adequate nutrition?

- What federal policies exist to ensure that children with disabilities living in state institutions have access to health care and rehabilitation, including health care specific to their disabilities? How does the Ministry of Education work with local guardianship and custody organs to ensure that children with disabilities living in state institutions under its jurisdiction are provided with necessary healthcare and rehabilitation services?

- What federal policies currently exist regarding the following practices in state institutions for children:
  - the use of restraints to tie children’s hands to their bodies or to tie children to furniture or wheelchairs;
  - the use of sedatives;
  - the use of corporal punishment or other physical force by staff or other children as punishment for behavior deemed to be disruptive;
  - other similar measures, including disciplinary measures?

- In what circumstances are institutional staff authorized to use force against a child?

How does the Ministry of Education work with local guardianship and custody organs to ensure the freedom of all children from violence?

- What federal policies are in place regarding psychiatric hospitalization of children living in state institutions? What policies exist elaborating the conditions under which children with disabilities may be placed in psychiatric institutions? What procedures are in place by which children with disabilities may provide their informed consent to be placed in psychiatric hospitals? How does the Ministry of Education collaborate with local guardianship and custody organs to ensure that psychiatric hospitalization is used only when in the best interests of the child?

- What federal policies exist regarding programs to ensure leisure time and play for children living in state institutions falling under the Ministry of Education’s supervision, particularly for children with disabilities? We would welcome information on what the Ministry does to ensure that children with disabilities living in its institutions enjoy access
to leisure and play according to the Ministry’s policies and programs, and information on
the number of hours of leisure and play to which children are entitled.

- Does the Ministry of Education carry out any awareness-raising and education
  programs to promote positive, non-violent, and participatory forms of child rearing and
discipline, as recommended in the Committee on the Rights of the Child’s concluding
observations on Russia in January 2014? If so, what is the content of these programs,
and which audiences do these programs target?

**Monitoring and oversight.** Human Rights Watch would welcome information from the Ministry of
Education on whether and how it collaborates with local guardianship and custody organs to
supervise conditions in children’s institutions falling under the Ministry’s jurisdiction, including
through any complaint mechanisms that may be available to children with disabilities living in the
Ministry’s institutions. In particular, we would appreciate answers to the following questions:

- Do children with disabilities living in state institutions run by the Ministry of Education
enjoy accessible means of submitting complaints regarding their conditions or
treatment to competent authorities, without fear of retaliation? What are the
mechanisms for children to file such complaints? What safeguards exist against
retaliation, in cases when abuses are reported? Is there a standardized set of
complaint mechanisms available to children living in institutions falling under the
jurisdiction of the Ministries of Education, Social Protection, and Health?

- How does the Ministry of Education collaborate with the Ministries of Social Protection
and Health and local guardianship and custody organs to ensure respect for laws and
policies in order to protect the rights of children living in institutions, including
children with disabilities, in the various institutions where children may live over the
course of their lives?

**Alternatives to institutionalization.** Human Rights Watch would welcome information about
existing efforts by the Russian federal government and the Ministry of Education in particular to
develop alternatives to institutionalization, including, for example, efforts to develop Russia’s
system of foster care and adoption. In particular, we would welcome information from the
Ministry concerning the following:

- How many children are adopted or fostered each year in Russia? We would welcome
this data disaggregated by the number of children with disabilities placed in adoptive
versus foster families. What is the percentage of children with disabilities adopted or
fostered relative to Russia’s total population of children who are adopted or fostered?
• What role does the Ministry of Education have in overseeing or facilitating adoption and fostering, including through involvement with the PMPCs and its potential role in collaborating with local guardianship and custody organs? Does the Ministry have any policies to encourage adoption of children with disabilities? What steps does the Ministry take to ensure that adoption or fostering is carried out in children’s best interests and with their input?

• Human Rights Watch would appreciate clarification from the Ministry of Education on article 155.2, part 4 of the Family Code, regarding fostering. The legislation states that the temporary transfer of a child to a citizen living in the Russian Federation shall take place for no longer than three months. The law also states that in exceptional circumstances, the term of temporary transfer to a foster family can be extended with the guardianship organ’s consent, and that a continuous period of temporary residence for a child may not exceed six months. Human Rights Watch would appreciate any clarification the Ministry might provide on why a child is permitted to stay with a foster family for only three to six months, what the government considers to be exceptional circumstances, and what role the government may play in determining when exceptional circumstances exist.

Support for families of children with disabilities and individuals with disabilities to live in their communities. Human Rights Watch research identified concerns regarding the availability and appropriateness of support services to consistently enable parents to raise their children with disabilities and facilitate these children’s participation and inclusion in their communities.

• What forms of government support are available for children with disabilities and their families, including foster and adoptive families? What role does the Ministry of Education play in developing and providing these services? For example, is the Ministry creating or considering creating programs to support inclusive and accessible kindergartens and schools for children with disabilities; psychological support services for children and family members; and parenting guidance for children with disabilities and their families?

• How does the Ministry of Education inform children with disabilities living in state institutions of their right to live in and participate in the community after reaching age 18? What measures does the Ministry take to actively facilitate these children’s self-reliance outside of state institutions, including through job-search assistance, life-skills training, and other forms of education or vocational training?

Statistics on children with disabilities. In compiling our report, Human Rights Watch has encountered difficulties locating comprehensive statistics on the number of children with
disabilities living in Russian state institutions, the types of disabilities they have, as well as rates of abandonment, adoption, and fostering within Russia. We would therefore appreciate if the Ministry of Education shared the following statistics:

- What is the total number of children with disabilities currently residing in state institutions in Russia disaggregated by disability; age; gender; type of institution; and region (krai or oblast)? Human Rights Watch would also welcome information on whether these institutions are specialized for children with particular kinds of disabilities. Additionally, what is the total number of children living in state institutions run by the Ministry of Education, disaggregated by the same categories?

- What was the total number of children living in state institutions in each of the following years: 2009, 2004, and 1999? What was the total number of children living in state institutions run by the Ministry of Education in each of the following years: 2009, 2004, and 1999?

- What is the rate at which children with disabilities are removed from institutions under its supervision and placed in (birth, foster, or adoptive) families?

- What are the mortality rates among children living in state institutions, disaggregated by disability, age, type of institution, region (krai or oblast) and cause of death for the years 2014, 2009, 2004, and 1999? We would especially welcome information on mortality rates among children recently transferred from one institution to another, or who have recently entered institutions for the first time.

In light of our upcoming report on the rights of children with disabilities in Russia, we would welcome your response to our concerns by April 25, 2014 so that we have adequate opportunity to ensure that the report reflects Ministry of Education’s information and perspectives.

We thank you for your attention to these matters and look forward to receiving your responses.

Sincerely,

Rachel Denber
Deputy Director
Europe and Central Asia Division
Human Rights Watch
Уважаемая госпожа Рейчел Денбер!

Департамент государственной политики в сфере защиты прав детей Министерства образования и науки Российской Федерации рассмотрел Ваше обращение по вопросу о реализации мероприятий по выполнению Конвенции о правах ребенка и Конвенции о правах инвалидов, ратифицированных Российской Федерацией, в области защиты прав детей с инвалидностью и в рамках своей компетенции направляет информационные материалы.

Приложение: на 15 л. в 1 экз.

Заместитель директора Департамента государственной политики в сфере защиты прав детей

В.Л. Кабанов

ГОСПОЖЕ РЕЙЧЕЛ ДЕНБЕР
ЗАМЕСТИТЕЛЮ ДИРЕКТОРА
ДЕПАРТАМЕНТА ПО ЕВРОПЕ
И ЦЕНТРАЛЬНОЙ АЗИИ
ХЬЮМАН РАЙТС ВОТЧ
АРМЯНСКИЙ ПЕР., дом 9/1, стр.1, офис 516,
МОСКВА, 101000, РОССИЯ
ИНФОРМАЦИЯ
о реализации мероприятий по выполнению
Конвенции о правах ребенка и Конвенции о правах инвалидов
в области защиты прав детей с инвалидностью.

Министерство образования и науки Российской Федерации (Минобрнауки России) является федеральным органом исполнительной власти, осуществляющим функции по выработке и реализации государственной политики и нормативно-правовому регулированию в сфере образования, научной, научно-технической деятельности и инновационной деятельности в научно-технической сфере, нанотехнологий, развития федеральных центров науки и высоких технологий, государственных научных центров и наукоградов, интеллектуальной собственности, а также в сфере воспитания, опеки и попечительства в отношении несовершеннолетних граждан, социальной поддержки и социальной защиты обучающихся и воспитанников образовательных учреждений, а также по оказанию государственных услуг и управлению государственным имуществом в сфере образования, воспитания, научной, научно-технической и инновационной деятельности, включая деятельность федеральных центров науки и высоких технологий, государственных научных центров, уникальных научных стендов и установок, федеральных центров коллективного пользования, ведущих научных школ, национальной исследовательской компьютерной сети нового поколения и информационное обеспечение научной, научно-технической и инновационной деятельности.

По вопросу «связи с биологической семьей».

Государственная политика и законодательство в сфере защиты прав детей-сирот и детей, оставшихся без попечения родителей (далее – дети-сироты) постоянно совершенствуются.

Правительством Российской Федерации и органами исполнительной власти субъектов Российской Федерации ведется целенаправленная работа по профилактике социального сиротства, развитию различных форм семейного устройства детей-сирот, совершенствованию правового регулирования по вопросам опеки и попечительства в отношении несовершеннолетних.

В целом нормативная правовая база для организации деятельности по опеке и попечительству в отношении детей-сирот сформирована.

Основополагающими документами в этой сфере стали Указы Президента об утверждении Национальной стратегии действий в интересах детей на 2012-2017
годы и «О некоторых мерах по реализации государственной политики в сфере защиты детей-сирот и детей, оставшихся без попечения родителей».

В соответствии с подпунктами 24 и 24.2 статьи 26.3 Федерального закона «Об общих принципах организации законодательных (представительных) и исполнительных органов государственной власти субъектов Российской Федерации» № 184 от 6 октября 1999 года решение вопросов социальной поддержки и социального обслуживания детей-сирот, организации и осуществления деятельности по опеке и попечительству отнесено к полномочиям органов государственной власти субъектов Российской Федерации.

В соответствии с пунктом 6 статьи 26.3 указанного Федерального закона органы местного самоуправления могут наделяться отдельными государственными полномочиями субъекта Российской Федерации по решению указанных вопросов с передачей органам местного самоуправления необходимых материальных и финансовых ресурсов.

С учетом соответствующих положений, закрепленных в законодательстве Российской Федерации, вопросы деятельности организаций для детей-сирот должны быть регулированы в законах и нормативных правовых актах субъекта Российской Федерации, муниципальных правовых актах.

Законы субъекта Российской Федерации, нормативные правовые акты органов исполнительной власти субъекта Российской Федерации, муниципальные правовые акты, регулирующие указанные вопросы, определяют в том числе порядок и нормативы финансирования деятельности организаций для детей-сирот, нормы материального обеспечения и меры социальной поддержки детей, находящихся в организациях для детей-сирот, компетенцию и порядок организации деятельности органов и учреждений различной ведомственной принадлежности в сфере обеспечения содержания, воспитания и развития детей, находящихся в организациях для детей-сирот, оказания им образовательных, медицинских, социальных услуг, защиты их прав и законных интересов на уровне муниципального образования, субъекта Российской Федерации.

Общие требования к лицам, желающим принять ребенка, оставшегося без попечения родителей, на воспитание в семью, их права и обязанности установлены Гражданским кодексом Российской Федерации, Семейным кодексом Российской Федерации и Федеральным законом от 24 апреля 2008 г. № 48 «Об опеке и попечительстве».

В соответствии с пунктом 1 статьи 123 Семейного кодекса Российской Федерации (далее — СК РФ) дети, оставшиеся без попечения родителей, подлежат
передаче в семью на воспитание (усыновление (удочерение), под опеку или попечительство, в приемную семью либо в случаях, предусмотренных законами субъектов Российской Федерации, в патронатную семью), а при отсутствии такой возможности временно, на период до их устройства на воспитание в семью, передаются в организации для детей-сирот и детей, оставшихся без попечения родителей, всех типов.

С учетом приоритетности мер по профилактике социального сиротства и развитию семейного устройства детей-сирот, последовательная реализация которых приводит к сокращению количества детей, помещаемых под надзор в организации для детей-сирот, важным аспектом деятельности органов государственной власти субъектов Российской Федерации на современном этапе является реформирование сети организаций для детей-сирот и детей, оставшихся без попечения родителей (далее – организации для детей-сирот).

В качестве основных направлений этой работы рассматривается в том числе следующее:

разукрупнение организаций для детей-сирот, создание в них безопасных, приближенных к семейным условия проживания и воспитания;

постепенное сокращение количества таких учреждений (по мере создания необходимых условий для устройства детей-сирот в семью), преобразование их в службы подготовки и сопровождения замещающих семей;

создание для детей, которых не удаётся устроить в семью, учреждений с малой наполняемостью и близкими к семейным условиями проживания и воспитания.

В соответствии со статьей 155.2 СК РФ организации, в которые дети помещены под надзор, вправе осуществлять временную передачу детей в семьи граждан, постоянно проживающих на территории Российской Федерации.

Временная передача ребенка в семью граждан, постоянно проживающих на территории Российской Федерации, не является формой устройства ребенка в семью и осуществляется на основании распоряжения администрации такой организации в интересах ребенка в целях обеспечения его воспитания и гармоничного развития (на период каникул, выходных или иных праздничных дней и другое). Таким образом, статья 155.2 СК РФ не рассматривает вопросы передачи детей в патронатную семью.

В целях развития и поддержки различных форм семейного устройства детей-сирот за последние два года произошли существенные изменения в реализации государственной политики как на федеральном, так и на региональном уровнях.
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Прият ряд нормативных актов, которыми были упрощены процедуры и
сокращены сроки устройства детей-сирот на воспитание в семьи, изменены
полномочия органов опеки и попечительства по сопровождению и оказанию
помощи семьям, увеличены размеры федеральных пособий и пенсий детям
инвалидам, в том числе при усыновлении ребенка-инвалида, ребенка старше семи
лет, а также братьев и сестер:

Указ Президента Российской Федерации от 28 декабря 2012 г. № 1688
«О некоторых мерах по реализации государственной политики в сфере защиты
детей-сирот и детей, оставшихся без попечения родителей»;

Федеральный закон от 2 июля 2013 г. № 167 «О внесении изменений в
отдельные законодательные акты Российской Федерации по вопросам устройства
детей-сирот и детей, оставшихся без попечения родителей»;

постановления Правительства Российской Федерации от 14 февраля 2013 г.
№ 116, 117 и 118 и от 2 июля 2013 г. № 558, от 10 февраля 2014 г. № 93.

Для оказания методической помощи Минобрнауки России направлены в
субъекты Российской Федерации примерное положение о центре содействия
семейному устройству детей, оставшихся без попечения родителей, подготовки и
сопровождения замещающих семей (письмо от 1 марта 2011 г. № 06-370), и
примерное положение о центре социальной (постинтегрной) адаптации
выпускников организаций для детей-сирот (письмо от 1 марта 2011 г. № 06-369).

К 2015 году планируется обеспечить создание системы таких организаций в
каждом субъекте Российской Федерации.

До 100 тысяч рублей увеличено единовременное пособие при усыновлении
ребенка-инвалида, ребенка старше семи лет, а также братьев и сестер.

В 50 субъектах Российской Федерации введены дифференцированные
выплаты на содержание ребенка в зависимости от формы семейного устройства,
возраста ребенка, количества воспитывающих в семье детей, наличия заболеваний
у несовершеннолетних подопечных и других обстоятельств.

В соответствии с Федеральным планом статистических работ Минобрнауки
России как субъект официального статистического учета обеспечивает выполнение
работ по формированию официальной статистической информации о выявлении и
устройстве детей-сирот и детей, оставшихся без попечения родителей, а также
информации об образовательных учреждениях для детей-сирот и детей, оставшихся
без попечения родителей.

По данным статистической информации о выявлении и устройстве детей-
сирот и детей, оставшихся без попечения родителей, в 2012 г. передано на
воспитание в семьях граждан 1111 детей-инвалидов, что составляет 1,8% от общего числа детей, переданных на воспитание в семьи граждан. В 2013 г. 1539 детей-инвалидов передано на воспитание в семьи граждан, что составляет 2,5% от общего числа детей, переданных на воспитание в семьи граждан.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Численность детей, переданных на безвозмездную форму опеки (попечительства) на конец года</th>
<th>Численность детей, переданных на временную форму опеки (попечительства) по договору о приемной семье на конец года</th>
<th>Численность детей, переданных на временную форму опеки (попечительства) по договору о патронатной семье в случаях, предусмотренных законами субъектов Российской Федерации, на конец года</th>
<th>Численность усыновленных детей на конец года</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>всего</td>
<td>37264</td>
<td>13055</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>9169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>детей-инвалидов</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>всего</td>
<td>34954</td>
<td>17740</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>8245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>детей-инвалидов</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>715</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

В соответствии с законодательством Российской Федерации в вопросах защиты прав детей важная роль отведена комиссиям по делам несовершеннолетних и защите их прав субъектов Российской Федерации, в задачах которых входят, в том числе координация работы государственных органов исполнительной власти и организаций, составляющих систему профилактики безнадзорности и правонарушений несовершеннолетних, разработано положение о Комиссии по делам несовершеннолетних и защите их прав, утвержденное постановлением Правительства Российской Федерации от 6 ноября 2013 г. № 995.

Минобрнауки России направило рекомендации руководителям органов исполнительной власти субъектов Российской Федерации, осуществляющих государственное управление в сфере образования (письма Минобрнауки России от 19 июня 2013 г. № 07-882 и от 26 августа 2013 г. № ВК-363/07), о принятии дополнительных мер, направленных на защиту детей от сексуальных злоупотреблений, сексуальной эксплуатации и профилактику преступлений против детей.

Также Минобрнауки России разработало и направило методические рекомендации по организации служб школьной медико-психологической экспертизы в образовательных организациях и методические рекомендации по организации обучения...
педагогических, медицинских, социальных работников и иных специалистов, работающих с детьми, находящимися в трудной жизненной ситуации, а также методические рекомендации по обучению родителей по вопросам профилактики суицидального поведения обучающихся, употребления психоактивных веществ, распространения ВИЧ-инфекции, жестокого обращения с детьми.

С целью стандартизации деятельности ПМПК в части выбора формы получения образования и формы обучения детей с ОВЗ Минобрнауки России утвержден приказ от 20 сентября 2013 г. № 1082 «Об утверждении положения о психолого-медико-педагогической комиссии, который предусматривает по результатам обследования ребенка формулирование обоснованного заключения о необходимости создания условий для получения ребенком образования, коррекции нарушений развития и социальной адаптации на основе специальных педагогических подходов, а также рекомендации по определению формы получения образования, образовательной программы, которую ребенок может освоить, форм и методов психолого-медико-педагогической помощи, созданию специальных условий для получения образования, в том числе относительно диагностического периода обучения в образовательной организации и проведения государственной итоговой аттестации. Заключение комиссии для родителей (законных представителей) ребенка с ОВЗ носит рекомендательный характер. Вместе с тем, представленное родителями (законными представителями) детей заключение комиссии является основанием для создания органами исполнительной власти субъектов Российской Федерации, осуществляющими государственное управление в сфере образования, и органами местного самоуправления, осуществляющими управление в сфере образования, образовательными организациями, иными органами и организациями в соответствии с их компетенцией рекомендованных в заключении ПМПК условий для обучения и воспитания детей.

По вопросу «образование».

Одним из важнейших аспектов государственной политики Российской Федерации в области образования является обеспечение реализации права на образование детей с ограниченными возможностями здоровья (далее - ОВЗ) и инвалидностью.

Усилия Минобрнауки России сосредоточены на том, чтобы в рамках модернизации российского образования создать образовательную среду, обеспечивающую доступность качественного образования названной категории обучающихся с учетом особенностей их психофизического развития и состояния здоровья.
В соответствии с частями 1 и 2 статьи 43 Конституции Российской Федерации и положениями Конвенции ООН «О правах инвалидов», ратифицированной Российской Федерацией 3 мая 2012 года, каждому гражданину гарантируется общедоступность и бесплатность дошкольного, основного общего и среднего профессионального образования в государственных или муниципальных образовательных и иных образовательных организациях.

Федеральный закон от 29 декабря 2012 г. № 273-ФЗ «Об образовании в Российской Федерации» (далее — Закон) комплексно регулирует отношения в сфере образования, в том числе образования инвалидов и лиц с ограниченными возможностями здоровья, а также устанавливает особенности организации образовательного процесса для названной категории обучающихся.

Кроме того, Законом урегулированы полномочия органов государственной власти Российской Федерации и субъектов Российской Федерации, органов местного самоуправления по обеспечению реализации соответствующих гарантий бесплатности и общедоступности образования, в том числе по созданию необходимого числа государственных и муниципальных образовательных организаций и их финансированию. Введены также дополнительные гарантии обеспечения доступности дошкольного образования, различные формы его получения (в дошкольных образовательных учреждениях, в образовательных учреждениях дополнительного образования детей, в группах краткосрочного пребывания, в семье). При этом закрепляется обязанность органов местного самоуправления по учету детей, имеющих право на получение дошкольного образования, а также по оказанию бесплатной методической, диагностической и консультативной помощи родителям, обеспечивающим получение детьми дошкольного образования в семье, в том числе посредством создания консультационных центров в дошкольных образовательных организациях и общеобразовательных организациях.

Статьей 5 Закона определены обязанности федеральных государственных органов, органов государственной власти субъектов Российской Федерации и органов местного самоуправления по созданию необходимых условий для получения без дискриминации качественного образования лицами с ОВЗ, для коррекции нарушений развития и социальной адаптации, оказания ранней коррекционной помощи на основе специальных педагогических подходов и наиболее подходящих для этих лиц языков, методов и способов общения и условий, в максимальной степени способствующие получению образования определенного уровня и определенной направленности, а
также социальному развитию этих лиц, в том числе посредством организации инклюзивного образования лиц.

В Законе предусмотрена отдельная статья, касающаяся организации получения образования лицами с ОВЗ (статья 79 Закона), согласно которой органами государственной власти субъектов Российской Федерации создаются отдельные организации, осуществляющие образовательную деятельность по адаптированным основным общебюджетным программам, для глухих, слабослышащих, поздноглухих, слепых, слабовидящих, с тяжёлыми нарушениями речи, с нарушениями опорно-двигательного аппарата, с задержкой психического развития, с умственной отсталостью, с расстройствами аутистического спектра, со сложными дефектами и других обучающихся с ОВЗ.

Общее образование обучающихся с ОВЗ осуществляется в организациях, осуществляющих образовательную деятельность по адаптированным основным общебюджетным программам. В таких организациях создаются специальные условия для получения образования указанными обучающимися.

Под специальными условиями для получения образования обучающимися с ограниченными возможностями здоровья в настоящем Федеральном законе понимаются условия обучения, воспитания и развития таких обучающихся, включающие в себя использование специальных образовательных программ и методов обучения и воспитания, специальных учебников, учебных пособий и дидактических материалов, специальных технических средств обучения коллективного и индивидуального пользования, предоставление услуг ассистента (помощника), оказывающего обучающимся необходимую техническую помощь, проведение групповых и индивидуальных коррекционных занятий, обеспечение доступа в здания организаций, осуществляющих образовательную деятельность, и другие условия, без которых невозможно или затруднено освоение образовательных программ обучающимися с ограниченными возможностями здоровья.

Дополнительные гарантии права воспитанников с ОВЗ и инвалидностью на образование установлены Федеральным законом от 24 ноября 1995 г. № 181 «О социальной защите инвалидов в Российской Федерации» (далее — Закон о социальной защите), Федеральным законом от 2 августа 1995 года № 122 «О социальном обслуживании граждан пожилого возраста и инвалидов» (далее — Закон о социальном обслуживании), Федеральным законом от 10 декабря 1995 г. № 195 «Об основах социального обслуживания населения в Российской Федерации» (далее — Закон об основах социального обслуживания).
В соответствии с Законом об основах социального обслуживания к стационарным учреждениям социального обслуживания относятся детские дома-интернаты для умственно отсталых детей и дома-интернаты для детей с физическими недостатками (далее - ДДИ).

Согласно статье 19 Закона о социальной защите органы, осуществляющие управление в сфере образования, и образовательные организации совместно с органами социальной защиты населения и органами здравоохранения обеспечивают получение инвалидами общедоступного и бесплатного дошкольного, начального общего, основного общего, среднего общего образования и среднего профессионального образования, а также бесплатного высшего образования.

В соответствии с пунктом 2 статьи 12 Закона о социальном обслуживании дети-инвалиды, проживающие в стационарных учреждениях социального обслуживания, имеют право на получение образования и профессиональное обучение в соответствии с их физическими возможностями и умственными способностями. Это право обеспечивается путем организации в стационарных учреждениях социального обслуживания специальных образовательных учреждений (классов и групп) и мастерских трудового обучения.

Таким образом, в соответствии с действующим законодательством, все дети-инвалиды, в том числе проживающие в стационарных учреждениях социального обслуживания, независимо от степени выраженности нарушенного развития и здоровья имеют право на дошкольное, начальное общее, основное общее, среднее общее, дополнительное и профессиональное образование.

В настоящее время целью реализации конституционного права на образование детей-инвалидов, проживающих в домах-интернатах, и оказания помощи органам государственной власти субъектов Российской Федерации в сфере образования, социальной защиты населения, руководителям детских домов-интернатов для умственно отсталых детей по вопросу обеспечения образования названной категории обучающихся Минобрнауки России разработало и направило разъяснения о порядке получения образования воспитанниками, проживающими в детских домах-интернатах, в близлежащих образовательных организациях.

Согласно статье 16 Закона Российской Федерации от 2 июля 1992 г. № 3185-1 (ред. от 2 декабря 2013 г.) «О психиатрической помощи и гарантиях прав граждан при ее оказании» (далее – Закон) государством гарантируется получение образования инвалидами и несовершеннолетними, страдающими психическими расстройствами и создаются для этого необходимые условия.
В соответствии со статьёй 37 Закона все пациенты, находящиеся на лечении или обследовании в медицинской организации, оказывающей психиатрическую помощь в стационарных условиях, вправе получать общее образование, в том числе по адаптированной образовательной программе.

Согласно статье 31 Закона об образовании организации, осуществляющие лечение, оздоровление и (или) отдых, организации, осуществляющие социальное обслуживание, вправе осуществлять образовательную деятельность по основным и дополнительным общеобразовательным программам, основным программам профессионального обучения на базе создаваемых специализированных структурных образовательных подразделений. Деятельность такого подразделения регулируется положением, разрабатываемым и утверждаемым организацией, осуществляющей обучение.

Таким образом, в настоящее время создана достаточная нормативная правовая база для обеспечения права на образование лиц с инвалидностью, проживающих в психоневрологических интернатах.

Зачисление в образовательные организации детей с ОВЗ регламентируется Порядком приема граждан на обучение по образовательным программам дошкольного образования, утвержденным приказом Минобрнауки России от 8 апреля 2014 г. № 293 и начального общего, основного общего и среднего общего образования утвержденным приказом Минобрнауки России от 22 января 2014 г. № 32, и осуществляется на основании:

личного заявления родителя (законного представителя) ребенка;
заключения и рекомендаций ПМПК по созданию специальных условий в соответствии с Положением о ПМПК, утвержденным приказом Минобрнауки России от 20 сентября 2013 г. № 1082.

В соответствии с частью 11 статьи 13 Закона Минобрнауки России утверждены порядки организации и осуществления образовательной деятельности по основным общеобразовательным программам различного уровня и (или) направленности - приказы Минобрнауки России от 30 августа 2013 года № 1014 «Об утверждении порядка организации и осуществления образовательной деятельности по основным общеобразовательным программам - образовательным программам дошкольного образования» и от 30 августа 2013 г. № 1015 «Об утверждении порядка организации и осуществления образовательной деятельности по основным общеобразовательным программам - образовательным программам начального общего, основного общего и среднего общего образования».
Приказом Минобрнауки России от 29 августа 2013 г. № 1008 «Об утверждении порядка организации и осуществления образовательной деятельности по дополнительным общеобразовательным программам» устанавливаются требования к образовательным организациям, осуществляющим образовательную деятельность по дополнительным общеобразовательным программам с учетом особенностей психофизического развития обучающихся с ОВЗ и инвалидностью.

В Законе отмечается, что образование обучающихся ОВЗ может быть организовано как совместно с другими обучающимися, так и в отдельных классах, группах или в отдельных организациях, осуществляющих образовательную деятельность.

Развитие совместного образования инвалидов и здоровых обучающихся не означает отказа от лучших достижений российской системы специальных (коррекционных) образовательных учреждений, поскольку для части детей более целесообразным является обучение в специальном (коррекционном) образовательном учреждении. Такие учреждения на современном этапе могут выполнять функции учебно-методических (ресурсных) центров, оказывающих методическую помощь педагогическим работникам общеобразовательных учреждений, психологическую помощь детям и их родителям, координировать работу в этом направлении системы образования субъекта Российской Федерации.

Свою позицию о сохранении и развитии сети специальных (коррекционных) образовательных учреждений Минобрнауки России изложило в письме от 7 июня 2013 г. № ИР-535/07 «О коррекционном и инклюзивном образовании детей», направленном в органы государственной власти субъектов Российской Федерации, осуществляющие государственное управление в сфере образования.

Организация обучения детей с ограниченными возможностями здоровья в общеобразовательных организациях по месту жительства ребенка и его родителей позволяет избежать помещения детей на длительный срок в интернатное учреждение, создать условия для их проживания и воспитания в семье, обеспечить их постоянное общение с нормально развивающимися детьми, что способствует эффективному решению проблем их социальной адаптации и интеграции в общество.

Законом предусмотрена возможность получения образования учащимися в форме электронного обучения, а также дистанционных образовательных технологий.
В субъектах Российской Федерации созданы условия для дистанционного обучения 25 тыс. детей-инвалидов, обучающихся на дому, также оснащены специальным оборудованием и подключены к сети Интернет рабочие места 22 тыс. педагогических работников. Организована работа 82 региональных центров дистанционного образования детей-инвалидов, прошли обучение по вопросам организации такого обучения 22 тыс. педагогических работников и 17 тыс. родителей детей-инвалидов.

В соответствии с нормами, включенными в приказы Минобрнауки России от 25 декабря 2013 г. № 1394 «Об утверждении Порядка проведения государственной итоговой аттестации по образовательным программам основного общего образования» (зарегистрирован в Минюсте России 3 февраля 2014 г., рег. № 31206) и от 26 декабря 2013 г. № 1400 «Об утверждении порядка проведения государственной итоговой аттестации по образовательным программам среднего общего образования» (зарегистрирован в Минюсте России 3 февраля 2014 г., регистрационный № 31205), для обучающихся с ОВЗ предусмотрено:

предоставление государственной итоговой аттестации (далее – ГИА) в форме единого государственного экзамена (далее – ЕГЭ) с использованием контрольных измерительных материалов, представляющих собой комплекс заданий стандартизированной формы (далее – КИМ) для обучающихся по образовательным программам среднего общего образования;

в форме государственного выпускного экзамена (далее – ГВЭ) с использованием текстов, тем, заданий, билетов – для обучающихся с ОВЗ, детей-инвалидов и инвалидов по образовательным программам среднего общего образования.

Для полноценной организации инклюзивного обучения детей с ограниченными возможностями здоровья, детей-инвалидов в общеобразовательных организациях необходимо создание безбарьерной среды, обеспечивающей беспрепятственный доступ инвалидов в здания и помещения образовательной организации.

Создание таких условий, предусмотренных статьей 15 Федерального закона «О социальной защите инвалидов в Российской Федерации», необходимо обеспечивать как при строительстве новых образовательных организаций, так и при проведении работ по реконструкции и капитальному ремонту существующих образовательных организаций.

Эта позиция отражена в рекомендациях по созданию условий для получения образования детьми с ограниченными возможностями здоровья и детьми-
инвалидами в субъекте Российской Федерации, которые были подготовлены Минобрнауки России и направлены руководителям органов исполнительной власти субъектов Российской Федерации в апреле 2008 года.

Органы исполнительной власти субъектов Российской Федерации в рамках предоставленных им полномочий осуществляют меры по созданию в общеобразовательных организациях, находящихся на их территории, необходимых материально-технических и кадровых условий для инклюзивного обучения инвалидов.


На реализацию Программы в 2011-2015 годах предусмотрено 17,4 млрд. рублей, в том числе из федерального бюджета будет выделено 9 млрд. рублей, из бюджетов субъектов Российской Федерации 8,4 млрд. рублей.

К 2015 году будет создана универсальная безбарьерная среда для обучения детей-инвалидов посредством создания условий для беспрепятственного доступа в 9 000 базовых образовательных организаций, реализующих образовательные программы общего образования, осуществляющих совместное обучение детей-инвалидов и детей, не имеющих нарушений развития, что составит не менее 20% от общей численности обычных образовательных организаций.

Начиная с 2011 года осуществляется реализация мероприятия Программы по повышению квалификации специалистов психолого-медико-педагогических комиссий и образовательных организаций по вопросам инклюзивного образования детей-инвалидов. За три года реализации Программы прошли обучение по программе «Механизмы реализации индивидуальной программы реабилитации ребенка-инвалида в части получения детьми-инвалидами образования в обычных образовательных учреждениях» 3 835 учителей, специалистов, руководителей из 70 субъектов Российской Федерации.

В 2014-2015 годах работа по повышению квалификации продолжится с участием региональных институтов повышения квалификации и переподготовки работников образования. В 2014 году будет осуществлена подготовка 400 тысячоров из региональных институтов повышения квалификации всех субъектов Российской Федерации, которые должны будут провести курсы повышения квалификации по
вопросам инклюзивного образования для 9 450 учителей и специалистов в 2014 году и 10 800 учителей и специалистов в 2015 году.

Вопрос о выборе образовательного и реабилитационного маршрута ребенка-инвалида, в том числе об определении формы и степени его инклюзии (интеграции) в образовательную среду, должен решаться психолого-медико-педагогическими комиссиями (далее – ПМПК) исходя, прежде всего, из потребностей, особенностей развития и возможностей ребенка с непосредственным участием его родителей.

В соответствии с частью 6 статьи 11 Закона в целях обеспечения реализации права на образование обучающихся с ОВЗ устанавливаются федеральные государственные образовательные стандарты (далее – ФГОС) образования указанных лиц или включаются в федеральные государственные образовательные стандарты специальные требования.

В настоящее время в рамках государственного контракта Минобрнауки России реализуется проект «Разработка федерального государственного образовательного стандарта обучающихся с ограниченными возможностями здоровья и механизмов его внедрения» (далее – ФГОС), который будет реализовываться как в отдельных образовательных организациях, осуществляющих обучение по адаптированным основным образовательным программам, так и в инклюзивных образовательных организациях.

ФГОС является единичным для каждой категории обучающихся с ОВЗ и, вместе с тем, предусматривает возможность создания дифференцированных образовательных программ с учетом особых образовательных потребностей обучающихся с ограниченными возможностями здоровья. Концепция ФГОС предполагает разработку четырех вариантов образовательных программ, в которых формулируются требования к содержанию образования, условиям реализации образовательной программы и результатам ее освоения с учетом степени выраженности нарушений в развитии.

До конца 2014 г. будут определены необходимые условия получения образования для детей с ОВЗ, разработаны примерные образовательные программы, адаптированные для обучающихся с ОВЗ, требования к результатам освоения программ для каждого уровня образования и к итоговым достижениям обучающихся к моменту завершения школьного образования.

В сентябре 2014 г. более 90 школ (как специальных (коррекционных), так и инклюзивных) из 17 субъектов Российской Федерации перейдут на апробацию ФГОС, в них будут созданы материально-технические условия для его реализации за счет средств федерального бюджета.
Таким образом, на данный момент создана достаточная нормативная правовая база для обеспечения права на образование лиц с инвалидностью, вне зависимости от места проживания, психофизических возможностей и состояния здоровья. В настоящее время Минобрнауки России формирует государственную политику в отношении непрерывной и преемственной вертикали образования: от развития служб ранней помощи детям с ограниченными возможностями здоровья и их семьям, организации комплексного психолого-педагогического, медицинского и социального сопровождения их образования до профессиональной реабилитации.

Осуществление намеченных Минобрнауки России планов в 2014 году и в последующие годы позволит существенно изменить ситуацию по обеспечению прав детей с ограниченными возможностями здоровья, детей-инвалидов на получение доступного качественного образования в соответствии с действующим в Российской Федерации законодательством и создаст реальную основу для более динамичного развития этой сферы образования в рамках региональных программ развития образования.

Начальник отдела образования детей с проблемами развития и социализации

Л.П. Фальковская

Ю.М. Сиико
(499) 237-82-54

07-M01-8363 Human Rights Watch
March 26, 2014

127994, Moscow, GSP-4, Rakhmanovskii per., d. 3

Veronika Igorevna Skvortsova

Minister of Health

Dear Veronika Igorevna,

Thank you for agreeing to meet with us in October regarding access to health care for people with disabilities. We welcome the constructive dialogue between the Ministry of Health and Human Rights Watch.

We are writing to you to learn more about the Ministry of Health’s policies and procedures concerning protection of the rights of children with disabilities, including those living in state institutions. As you know, Russia has ratified both the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) and the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD).

Human Rights Watch has conducted interviews with children with disabilities, their families, and staff of state institutions for children with disabilities in several cities in Russia. Based on our interviews with the abovementioned groups as well as disability and children’s rights experts, we have identified a number of concerns. The purpose of this letter is to share some of these concerns with you and learn about the ways in which the Ministry of Health may be addressing these issues, so that we can reflect information and perspectives from the Ministry of Health in any forthcoming reporting. We have also written to other ministries regarding concerns that relate directly to issues within their competencies.

Specifically, people with whom Human Rights Watch spoke reported the following concerns:

**Linkages to birth families.** Human Rights Watch research identified concerns regarding the ability of children with disabilities to remain with their birth families rather than be placed in institutions, or to maintain contact with their families in the event that they have been placed in...
institutions. In this regard, we would welcome information from the Ministry of Health concerning the following:

- What kind of information does staff of the Ministry and institutions under its supervision provide to parents of children with disabilities in birthing houses and in other healthcare settings regarding the rights of children, including children with disabilities, such as rights to an inclusive education, health care, rehabilitation, and other rights? What kind of information does the Ministry share with parents regarding the nature of the disability (ies) identified in a child and how the disability relates to the development of the child over his or her lifetime? How does it distribute this information?

- Do processes exist through which children in institutions or who may be recommended for placement in institutions can express their views in matters related to their participation in family life and their placement in institutions? What are these processes and how are they implemented?

- What is the Ministry of Health’s role in overseeing or collaborating with local guardianship and custody organs, including in determining whether family separation lies in a child’s best interests? Does the Ministry collaborate with local guardianship and custody organs to oversee children’s placement in families, whether placement involves reunification with children’s biological relatives or in foster or adoptive families? If so, how does it collaborate with these organs?

- What are the official criteria for determining whether institutional placement lies in the best interests of the child? How does the Ministry of Health apply these criteria, including through its role in the psycho-medico-pedagogical commissions (PMPC)? How do PMPCs determine whether continued institutionalization lies in the best interests of children with disabilities who age out of baby houses? In cases when PMPCs decide that institutionalization does lie in a child’s best interests, how do PMPCs determine whether a child will live in children’s homes run by the Ministry of Labor and Social Protection or in those run by the Ministry of Education? In all of these decisions, we would appreciate information on how the Ministry of Health promotes and incorporates respect for the child’s views.

- In what ways does the Ministry of Health act to protect the right of children with disabilities living in state institutions to maintain linkages with their birth families?

- Human Rights Watch would also appreciate more information on Article 155.1, part 1, paragraph 2 of Russia’s Family Code, on the placement of children without parental care. The article states, “In the case that a child is left without parental care in a
subject of the Russian Federation lacking an organization for orphans and children without parental care, where the child can be placed in order to ensure that child’s basic needs and provide that child with an upbringing and an education, that child will be transferred to a guardianship and custody organ located on the territory of a different subject.” In such cases when a child is transferred to an institution located in a different region than that of his or her immediate family or relatives, how does the Ministry of Health act to protect the right of the child to maintain linkages with his or her birth family?

- What steps is the Ministry of Health taking to support the development of federal anti-discrimination legislation to better reflect the principles and provisions of the Convention on the Rights of the Child and Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, and to address the needs of children with disabilities in a nondiscriminatory manner, as recommended in the Committee on the Rights of the Child’s concluding observations on Russia in January 2014?

**Education.** Human Rights Watch is aware that all children living in Russian state institutions are entitled to education. In this regard, Human Rights Watch would be grateful for information from the Ministry of Health concerning the following:

- What specific steps does the Ministry of Health take to ensure that children with disabilities living in institutions under its jurisdiction have access to education in their communities? We would particularly appreciate any information that the Ministry could provide on any community-based inclusive education programs in place or under development for children with disabilities living in institutions.

**Nutrition, health care, and discipline.** According to article 155.1, part 3 of the Family Code, local guardianship and custody organs are responsible for the living conditions, upbringing, and education of children residing in organizations for orphans and children without parental care. Given this, Human Rights Watch would appreciate the Ministry of Health’s input on the following questions:

- What federal policies exist regarding nutrition for children living in state institutions? What policies exist concerning nutrition for children with disabilities that is adequate for their development, including regarding appropriate feeding methods in cases when children are unable to feed themselves? In what ways does the Ministry of Health work with local guardianship and custody organs to ensure that children with disabilities living in institutions under its supervision receive adequate nutrition?
• What federal policies exist to ensure that children with disabilities living in state institutions have access to health care and rehabilitation, including health care specific to their disabilities? How does the Ministry of Health work with local guardianship and custody organs to ensure that children with disabilities living in state institutions under its supervision are provided with necessary healthcare and rehabilitation services?

• What federal policies currently exist regarding the following practices in state institutions for children:
  - the use of restraints to tie children’s hands to their bodies or to tie children to furniture or wheelchairs;
  - the use of sedatives;
  - the use of corporal punishment or other physical force by staff or other children as punishment for behavior deemed to be disruptive;
  - other similar measures, including disciplinary measures?

• In what circumstances are institutional staff authorized to use force against a child?

How does the Ministry of Health work with local guardianship and custody organs to ensure the freedom of all children from violence?

• What federal policies are in place regarding psychiatric hospitalization of children living in state institutions? What policies exist elaborating the conditions under which children with disabilities may be placed in psychiatric institutions? What procedures are in place by which children with disabilities may provide their informed consent to be placed in psychiatric hospitals? How does the Ministry of Health collaborate with local guardianship and custody organs to ensure that psychiatric hospitalization is used only when in the best interests of the child?

• What federal policies exist regarding programs to ensure leisure time and play for children living in state institutions falling under the Ministry of Health’s supervision, particularly for children with disabilities? We would welcome information on what the Ministry does to ensure that children with disabilities living in its institutions enjoy access to leisure and play according to the Ministry’s policies and programs, and information on the number of hours of leisure and play to which children are entitled.

• Does the Ministry of Health carry out any awareness-raising and education programs to promote positive, non-violent, and participatory forms of child rearing and discipline, as recommended in the Committee on the Rights of the Child's concluding
observations on Russia in January 2014? If so, what is the content of these programs, and which audiences do these programs target?

Monitoring and oversight. Human Rights Watch would welcome information from the Ministry of Health on whether and how it collaborates with local guardianship and custody organs to supervise conditions in children’s institutions falling under the Ministry’s jurisdiction, including through any complaint mechanisms that may be available to children with disabilities living in the Ministry’s institutions. In particular, we would appreciate answers to the following questions:

• Can children with disabilities living in state institutions run by the Ministry of Health submit complaints (through an accessible process) regarding their conditions or treatment to competent authorities, without fear of retaliation? What are the mechanisms for children to file such complaints? What safeguards exist against retaliation, in cases when abuses are reported? Is there a standardized set of complaint mechanisms available to children living in institutions falling under the jurisdiction of the Ministries of Health, Social Protection, and Education?

• How does the Ministry of Health collaborate with the Ministries of Social Protection and Education and local guardianship and custody organs to ensure respect for laws and policies in order to protect the rights of children living in institutions, including children with disabilities, in the various institutions where children may live over the course of their lives?

Alternatives to institutionalization. Human Rights Watch would welcome information about existing efforts by the Russian federal government and the Ministry of Health in particular to develop alternatives to institutionalization, including, for example, any efforts to develop Russia’s system of foster care and adoption. In particular, we would welcome information from the Ministry concerning the following:

• How many children are adopted or fostered each year in Russia? We would welcome this data disaggregated by the number of children with disabilities placed in adoptive versus foster families, by gender, age, and type of disability. What is the percentage of children with disabilities adopted or fostered compared to Russia’s total population of children who are adopted or fostered?

• What role does the Ministry of Health have in overseeing or facilitating adoption and fostering, including through involvement with the PMPCs and its potential role in collaborating with local guardianship and custody organs? Does the Ministry have any policies to encourage adoption of children with disabilities? What steps does the
Ministry take to ensure that adoption or fostering is carried out in children’s best interests and with their input?

- Human Rights Watch would appreciate clarification from the Ministry of Health on article 155.2, part 4 of the Family Code, regarding fostering. The legislation states that the temporary transfer of a child to a citizen living in the Russian Federation shall take place for no longer than three months. The law also states that in exceptional circumstances, the term of temporary transfer to a foster family can be extended with the guardianship organ’s consent, and that a continuous period of temporary residence for a child may not exceed six months. Human Rights Watch would appreciate any clarification the Ministry might provide on why a child is permitted to stay with a foster family for only three to six months, what the government considers to be exceptional circumstances, and what role the government may play in determining when exceptional circumstances exist.

**Support for families of children with disabilities and individuals with disabilities to live in their communities.** Human Rights Watch research identified concerns regarding the availability and appropriateness of support services to consistently enable parents to raise their children with disabilities and facilitate these children’s participation and inclusion in their communities.

- What forms of government support are available for children with disabilities and their families, including foster and adoptive families? What role does the Ministry of Health play in developing and providing these services? For example, is the Ministry creating or considering creating programs to support accessible healthcare and rehabilitation services in children’s communities; inclusive and accessible kindergartens and schools for children with disabilities; rehabilitation and psychological support services for children and family members; and parenting guidance for children with disabilities and their families?

- How does the Ministry of Health inform children with disabilities living in state institutions of their right to live in and participate in the community after reaching age 18? What measures does the Ministry take to actively facilitate these children’s self-reliance outside of state institutions, including through job-search assistance, life-skills training, and other forms of education or vocational training?

**Statistics on children with disabilities.** In compiling our report, Human Rights Watch has encountered difficulties locating comprehensive statistics on the number of children with disabilities living in Russian state institutions and the types of disabilities they have, as well as rates of abandonment, adoption, and fostering within Russia. We would therefore appreciate if the Ministry of Health shared the following statistics:
• What is the total number of children with disabilities currently residing in state institutions in Russia disaggregated by disability; age; gender; type of institution; and region (krai or oblast)? Human Rights Watch would also welcome information on whether these institutions are specialized for children with particular kinds of disabilities. Additionally, what is the total number of children living in state institutions run by the Ministry of Health, disaggregated by the same categories?

• What was the total number of children living in state institutions in each of the following years: 2009, 2004, and 1999? What was the total number of children living in state institutions run by the Ministry of Health in each of the following years: 2009, 2004, and 1999?

• Can the Ministry of Health please provide statistics on the rate at which children with disabilities are removed from institutions under its supervision and placed in (birth, foster, or adoptive) families?

• Can the Ministry of Health please provide statistics on mortality rates among children living in state institutions, disaggregated by disability, age, type of institution, region (krai or oblast) and cause of death for the years 2014, 2009, 2004, and 1999? We would especially welcome information on mortality rates among children recently transferred from one institution to another, or who have recently entered institutions for the first time.

In light of our upcoming report on the rights of children with disabilities in Russia, we would welcome your response to our concerns by April 25, 2014 so that we have adequate opportunity to ensure that the report reflects Ministry of Health’s information and perspectives.

We thank you for your attention to these matters and look forward to receiving your responses.

Sincerely,

Rachel Denber
Deputy Director, Europe and Central Asia Division
Human Rights Watch
Министерство здравоохранения Российской Федерации, рассмотрев письмо Хьюман Райтс Вотч, содержащее информацию о положении детей с инвалидностью в Российской Федерации, направляет материалы по вопросу реализации государственной политики защиты детей в Российской Федерации в части, относящейся к компетенции Минздрава России.

Приложение: на 18 л. в 1 экз.

Д.В. Костенников
Материалы
Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации
по вопросу реализации государственной политики защиты детей
в Российской Федерации

В соответствии с постановлением Правительства Российской Федерации от 19 июня 2012 г. № 608 «Об утверждении положения о Министерстве здравоохранения Российской Федерации» Министерство здравоохранения Российской Федерации (Минздрав России) является федеральным органом исполнительной власти, осуществляющим функции по выработке и реализации государственной политики и нормативно-правовому регулированию в сфере здравоохранения, обязательного медицинского страхования, обращения лекарственных средств для медицинского применения, включая вопросы организации профилактики заболеваний, медицинской помощи, медицинской реабилитации, курортного дела, а также по управлению государственным имуществом и оказанию государственных услуг в сфере здравоохранения, включая оказание медицинской помощи, внедрение современных медицинских технологий, новых методов профилактики, диагностики, лечения, реабилитации и др.

Медицинское обеспечение детей в Российской Федерации.

21 ноября 2011 г. принят Федеральный закон № 323-ФЗ «Об основах охраны здоровья граждан в Российской Федерации», представляющий собой базовый нормативный правовой акт для всей сферы здравоохранения Российской Федерации. Закон направлен на решение основополагающих задач национальной системы здравоохранения по повышению качества и доступности медицинской помощи, по реализации прав и свобод граждан в сфере охраны здоровья. В данном Федеральном законе впервые в число основных принципов охраны здоровья граждан в Российской Федерации включен приоритет охраны здоровья детей, который заключается в признании государством охраны здоровья детей как одного из важнейших и необходимых условий гармоничного физического и психического развития детей, а также того факта, что дети, независимо от их семейного и социального благополучия, нуждаются в особой охране и заботе об
их здоровье, включая надлежащую правовую защиту, и имеют равные права при оказании медицинской помощи.

В целях реализации данного принципа Федеральным законом предусмотрено, что органы государственной власти Российской Федерации и органы местного самоуправления в качестве приоритетной задачи разрабатывают и реализуют программы, направленные на профилактику заболеваний у детей, их раннее выявление и лечение, медицинскую реабилитацию, снижение материнской и младенческой смертности, формирование у детей и их родителей мотивации к здоровому образу жизни, а также принимают соответствующие меры по обеспечению полноценным питанием с учетом физиологических потребностей беременных женщин, кормящих матерей, детей, а также по обеспечению детей лекарственными препаратами для медицинского применения, специализированными продуктами лечебного питания, медицинскими изделиями.

Вместе с этим создание условий для реализации права каждого ребенка жить и воспитываться в семье является одним из приоритетов в политике государства в области защиты детства, как на федеральном, так и на региональном и муниципальном уровнях.

Следует отметить, что о состоянии здоровья ребенка родители узнают, начиная с родильного дома и на протяжении дальнейшей жизни, от врача, который наблюдает ребенка.

Медицинское обеспечение детей-инвалидов и детей, оставшихся без попечения родителей.

Дети-сироты и дети, оставшиеся без попечения родителей, которые не устроены на семейные формы воспитания, воспитываются в учреждениях для детей-сирот и детей, оставшихся без попечения родителей, находящихся в ведении Минздрава России, Минобрнауки России и Минтруда России.

В целях реализации Указа Президента Российской Федерации от 28 декабря 2012 г. № 1688 «О некоторых мерах по реализации государственной защиты детей-сирот и детей, оставшихся без попечения родителей» Правительством Российской Федерации принято постановление
от 14 февраля 2013 г. № 116 «О мерах по совершенствованию организации медицинской помощи детям-сиротам и детям, оставшимся без попечения родителей».

В настоящее время проходит процедуру согласования проект постановления Правительства Российской Федерации «Об устройстве детей-сирот и детей, оставшихся без попечения родителей, в организации для детей сирот и детей, оставшихся без попечения родителей», которым кардинально пересматриваются подходы к устройству детей в вышебозначенные организации, к условиям их пребывания, воспитания, охраны здоровья, а также к организации работы по устройству данной категории детей на различные формы семейного устройства.

С целью раннего выявления и профилактики заболеваний в соответствии с приказом Минздрава России от 15 февраля 2013 г. № 72н «О проведении диспансеризации пребывающих в стационарных учреждениях детей-сирот и детей, находящихся в трудной жизненной ситуации» ежегодно проводится диспансеризация детей-сирот, детей, оставшихся без попечения родителей, находящихся в детских учреждениях различной ведомственной подчиненности. Также в соответствии с приказом Минздрава России от 11 апреля 2013 г. № 216н «Об утверждении Порядка диспансеризации детей-сирот и детей, оставшихся без попечения родителей, в том числе усыновленных (удочеренных), принятых под опеку (попечительство), в приемную или патронатную семью» в 2013 г. впервые проведена углубленная диспансеризация детей-сирот, детей, оставшихся без попечения родителей, в том числе переданных на усыновление, которую прошли более 98% детей-сирот, детей, оказавшихся в трудной жизненной ситуации, пребывающих в стационарных учреждениях системы здравоохранения, образования, социальной защиты, в том числе детей-инвалидов, находящихся в этих учреждениях.

По результатам диспансеризации всем нуждающимся оказывается специализированная, в том числе высокотехнологичная медицинская помощь, а также предоставляется санаторно-курортное лечение, включающее в себя
медицинскую помощь. Реализация данных программ осуществляется в том числе в профильных федеральных медицинских организациях Российской Федерации.

Следует отметить, что необходимым предварительным условием медицинского вмешательства при проведении диспансеризации является дача информированного добровольного согласия несовершеннолетнего или его законного представителя.

Вышеуказанными приказами Минздрава России также предусмотрен мониторинг оказания медицинской помощи детям-сиротам и детям, оставшимся без попечения родителей, включающий анализ результатов проведенных лечебно-диагностических мероприятий.

Таким образом, в 2013 году органам управления здравоохранения субъектов Российской Федерации Минздравом России предложен порядок для создания условий, с учетом территориальных особенностей, по организации проведения не только диспансеризации данной категории детей, но и последующего их лечения и медицинской реабилитации, контроля ее доступности и качества.

Оказание квалифицированной медицинской помощи детям-инвалидам, включая лекарственное обеспечение, осуществляется в Российской Федерации бесплатно в соответствии с законодательством Российской Федерации.

Так, гарантированный объем бесплатной медицинской помощи детям-инвалидам обеспечивается в соответствии с Программой государственных гарантий бесплатного оказания гражданам медицинской помощи на 2013 год и плановый период 2014 и 2015 годов, утвержденной постановлением Правительства Российской Федерации от 22 октября 2012 г. № 1074. Лекарственное обеспечение отдельных категорий граждан, в том числе детей-инвалидов, осуществляется в рамках реализации Федерального закона от 17 июля 1999 г. № 178-ФЗ «О государственной социальной помощи», постановления Правительства Российской Федерации от 30 июля 1994 г. № 890 «О государственной поддержке развития медицинской промышленности и улучшении обеспечения населения и учреждений здравоохранения лекарственными средствами и изделиями медицинского назначения».
Одновременно отмечаем, что к учреждениям, находящимся в ведении Минздрава России, в которых воспитываются дети-сироты и дети, оставшиеся без попечительства родителей, относятся дома ребенка. Дома ребенка, которые являются медицинской организацией, находятся в постоянном взаимодействии с органами опеки и попечительства по всем вопросам, касающимся жизнеустройства детей, находящихся в доме ребенка, а также защиты прав и законных интересов детей, в том числе семейного устройства.

В соответствии с приказом Минздравсоцразвития России от 12 апреля 2012 г. № 344н «Об утверждении типового положения о доме ребенка» дом ребенка осуществляет взаимодействие с родителями (законными представителями) детей и иными гражданами в целях восстановления и (или) сохранения родственных связей детей, временной передачи детей в семьи граждан, постоянно проживающих в Российской Федерации, а также оказывает консультативную и методическую помощь законным представителям по вопросам воспитания, обучения и развития детей (при отсутствии судебного решения о запрете).

Вместе с тем надзор за деятельностью органов опеки и попечительства, а также организация деятельности психолого-медико-педагогической комиссии (ПМПК) и порядок освидетельствования детей не относятся к полномочиям Минздрава России.

Статистическая информация о детях, находящихся в домах ребенка.

Ежегодно число домов ребенка в Российской Федерации сокращается, что связано с уменьшением числа воспитанников, находящихся в данных стационарных учреждениях, это, в свою очередь, является результатом планомерной государственной политики Российской Федерации по совершенствованию деятельности организаций системы помощи детям-сиротам, детям-инвалидам, детям, оставшимся без попечения родителей, в целях создания условий воспитания, приближенных к семейным, а также благоприятных условий для передачи детей в семьи граждан Российской Федерации.
В 1999 году в Российской Федерации функционировало 248 домов ребенка с общим количеством мест в них — 21 504. Численность воспитанников домов ребенка составляла 19 289 человек, в том числе 5 453 ребенка-инвалида.

Из 12 800 детей, выбывших из домов ребенка в 1999 году, более 74% составили дети, переданные в семьи, в том числе биологические. Остальные дети по возрасту выбыли в организации для детей-сирот, находящиеся в ведении Минобрнауки России и Минтруда России.

Число домов ребенка в 2013 году составило 194, количество мест в них — 18 427. Численность детей на конец 2013 года составила 13 977 человек, в том числе 9 333 ребенка, оставшихся без попечения родителей, из них сироты — 278 человек. Дети-инвалиды составили 25,7% от общей численности детей в домах ребенка.

Выбыло из домов ребенка в 2013 году — 15 066 детей, из них 10 060 — дети, оставшиеся без попечения родителей, в том числе 208 детей-сирот. Взято родителями — 30% детей, взято для усыновления — 38% детей из числа выбывших.
### Число детей-инвалидов, обратившихся за помощью в медицинские организации Российской Федерации в 2013 г.

#### Контингенты детей-инвалидов

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Возраст ребенка</th>
<th>№ строки</th>
<th>Под ребенка</th>
<th>Число детей-инвалидов</th>
<th>из них проживают в интернатных учреждениях системы:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 - 4 года</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>м</td>
<td>51669</td>
<td>16654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>02</td>
<td>ж</td>
<td>40892</td>
<td>13050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 - 9 лет</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>м</td>
<td>92066</td>
<td>10210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>04</td>
<td>ж</td>
<td>65734</td>
<td>6486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 - 14 лет</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>м</td>
<td>98800</td>
<td>5948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>06</td>
<td>ж</td>
<td>69265</td>
<td>4576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 - 17 лет</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>м</td>
<td>60346</td>
<td>2656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>08</td>
<td>ж</td>
<td>42876</td>
<td>2071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Итого (0-17 лет)</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>м</td>
<td>302881</td>
<td>35468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>ж</td>
<td>218767</td>
<td>26183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>4117</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>3278</td>
<td>1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>4171</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>3279</td>
<td>1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>4179</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>3280</td>
<td>1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>4179</td>
<td>1986</td>
<td>3281</td>
<td>1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>4179</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>3282</td>
<td>1993</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The table contains codes and years, possibly representing a dataset or code system related to human rights or a similar field.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>Description 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>976</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>Description 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>974</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>Description 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>969</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>Description 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>965</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>Description 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>959</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1090</td>
<td>Description 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>953</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>Description 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>939</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1310</td>
<td>Description 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>935</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1420</td>
<td>Description 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>929</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>1530</td>
<td>Description 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** The table above contains data related to various codes and years, each with a corresponding description.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>907</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1827</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>1820</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1827</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>907</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1827</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>1820</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1827</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>907</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1827</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>1820</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1827</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Committee on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW)**

**Article 4 of the Optional Protocol to the Convention of the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) Optional Protocol**

The Human Rights Council, in exercise of its mandate to implement the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) and given the importance of ensuring its effective implementation, decides:

1. To send the present decision to the Committee on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) and to the Governments Parties to the Optional Protocol, together with the views of the United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women (UN Women) and the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA), for their information and guidance.

2. To request the Secretariat of the Human Rights Council to ensure that this decision be spread by all available means and translated into the six official languages of the United Nations as soon as possible.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Виды нарушений и состояния здоровья</th>
<th>№ в обращении</th>
<th>0-4</th>
<th>5-9</th>
<th>10-14</th>
<th>15 лет</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Всего детей - инвалидов</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>В том числе в возрасте (лет):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>15 лет</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Инвалидность по главному нарушению и состоянию здоровья.

**Фото № 90x128 до 522x720**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 2014 | 12/31 | Update report
| 2015 | 01/01 | Update report
| 2016 | 02/01 | Update report
| 2017 | 03/01 | Update report
| 2018 | 04/01 | Update report
| 2019 | 05/01 | Update report
| 2020 | 06/01 | Update report
| 2021 | 07/01 | Update report
| 2022 | 08/01 | Update report
| 2023 | 09/01 | Update report
| 2024 | 10/01 | Update report
| 2025 | 11/01 | Update report
| 2026 | 12/01 | Update report

Note: Reports are updated annually.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>145</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>09 29</th>
<th>10 05</th>
<th>10 20</th>
<th>10 45</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16 17 18</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>23</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>257</td>
<td>09 30</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16 30</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09 41</td>
<td>10 30</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Contaminated Water Infection**

**POCICON ORIFRANIN B 2004 -**

**OFRANARIN**

**HICXO AITH-HIBRINO ORIFRANINO E WIFICHRINCE**

15
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>№</th>
<th>Ф.И.О.</th>
<th>Год</th>
<th>Пол</th>
<th>Статус</th>
<th>Дата</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Иванов И.И.</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>М</td>
<td>Отец</td>
<td>01.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>Петров П.П.</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>М</td>
<td>Отец</td>
<td>02.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>Сидоров С.С.</td>
<td>1986</td>
<td>М</td>
<td>Отец</td>
<td>03.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Примечания:**
- Статус: Отец, Мать, Друг
- Пол: М, Ж
- Дата: Дата смерти

В таблице представлены данные о бывших членах семьи, из которых были отказаны в социальной поддержке.
March 21, 2014

127994, Moscow, GSP-4, Ilinka, d. 21

Maksim Anatolyevich Topilin
Minister of Labor and Social Protection

Dear Maksim Anatolyevich,

Thank you for agreeing to meet with us in September to discuss improving access to employment for people with disabilities.

Human Rights Watch has conducted interviews with children with disabilities, their families, and staff of state institutions for children with disabilities in several cities in Russia. Based on our interviews with the abovementioned groups as well as disability and children's rights experts, we have identified a number of concerns. As you are aware, Russia ratified the Convention on the Rights of the Child and Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. The purpose of this letter is to inquire about additional information concerning the policy and procedure of the Ministry of Labor as concerns defending the rights of children with disabilities, and to share some of our concerns with you and learn about the ways in which the Ministry of Labor may be addressing these issues, so that we can reflect information and perspectives from the Ministry of Labor in any forthcoming reporting. We have also written to other ministries regarding concerns that relate directly to issues within their competencies.

Specifically, people with whom Human Rights Watch spoke reported the following concerns:

**Linkages to biological families.** Human Rights Watch research identified concerns regarding the ability of children with disabilities to remain with their biological families rather than be placed in institutions, or to maintain contact with their families in the event that they have been placed in institutions. In this regard, we would welcome information from the Ministry of Labor concerning:

- **Linkages to biological families.** Human Rights Watch research identified concerns regarding the ability of children with disabilities to remain with their biological families rather than be placed in institutions, or to maintain contact with their families in the event that they have been placed in institutions. In this regard, we would welcome information from the Ministry of Labor concerning:

**Linkages to biological families.** Human Rights Watch research identified concerns regarding the ability of children with disabilities to remain with their biological families rather than be placed in institutions, or to maintain contact with their families in the event that they have been placed in institutions. In this regard, we would welcome information from the Ministry of Labor concerning:

**Linkages to biological families.** Human Rights Watch research identified concerns regarding the ability of children with disabilities to remain with their biological families rather than be placed in institutions, or to maintain contact with their families in the event that they have been placed in institutions. In this regard, we would welcome information from the Ministry of Labor concerning:

**Linkages to biological families.** Human Rights Watch research identified concerns regarding the ability of children with disabilities to remain with their biological families rather than be placed in institutions, or to maintain contact with their families in the event that they have been placed in institutions. In this regard, we would welcome information from the Ministry of Labor concerning:
• Does staff of the Ministry and institutions under its supervision provide parents of children with disabilities information regarding the rights of children, including children with disabilities, such as the right to rehabilitation, for example? What kind of information does the Ministry share with parents regarding the nature of the disability (ies) identified in a child, how the disability relates to the development of the child over his or her lifetime, and potential opportunities for rehabilitation? How does it distribute this information?

• Do processes exist through which children in institutions or who may be recommended for placement in institutions can express their views in matters related to their participation in family life and their placement in institutions? What are these processes and how are they implemented?

• What is the Ministry of Labor’s role in overseeing or collaborating with local guardianship and custody organs, including in determining whether family separation lies in a child’s best interests? Does the Ministry collaborate with local guardianship and custody organs to oversee children’s placement in families, whether placement involves reunification with children’s biological relatives or in foster or adoptive families? If so, how does it collaborate with these organs?

• Ministry of Education Order number 1082, issued on September 20, 2013, “Regarding the establishment of Positions concerning psycho-medico-pedagogical commission” does not propose any sort of role for the Ministry of Labor in the basic structure of the psycho-medico-pedagogical commission (PMPK), but does it retain the possibility of attracting Ministry of Labor specialists? Human Rights Watch would be grateful for a clarification of the Ministry of Labor’s role in the decisions and recommendations of the PMPK, as well as clarifications about the possibilities and precedents for participation of members of the Ministry of Labor in the establishment of the PMPK.

• In what ways does the Ministry of Labor act to protect the right of children with disabilities living in state institutions to maintain linkages with their biological families?

• Human Rights Watch would also appreciate more information on Article 155.1, part 1, paragraph 2 of Russia’s Family Code, on the placement of children without parental care. The article states, “In the case that a child is left without parental care in a subject of the Russian Federation lacking an organization for orphans and children without parental care, where the child can be placed in order to ensure that child’s basic needs and provide that child with an upbringing and an education, that child will be transferred to a guardianship and custody organ located on the territory of a different subject.” In such cases when a child is transferred to an institution located in
a different region than that of his or her immediate family or relatives, how does the Ministry of Labor act to protect the right of the child to maintain linkages with his or her biological family?

- What steps is the Ministry of Labor taking to support the development of federal anti-discrimination legislation to better reflect the principles and provisions of the Convention on the Rights of the Child and Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities; and to address the needs of children with disabilities in a nondiscriminatory manner, as recommended in the Committee on the Rights of the Child’s concluding observations on Russia in January 2014?

**Education.** Human Rights Watch is aware that all children living in Russian state institutions are entitled to education. In this regard, Human Rights Watch would be grateful for information from the Ministry of Labor concerning the following:

- What specific steps does the Ministry of Labor take to ensure that children with disabilities living in institutions under its jurisdiction have access to education in their communities? We would particularly appreciate any information that the Ministry could provide on any community-based inclusive education programs in place or under development for children with disabilities living in institutions.

**Nutrition, health care, and discipline.** According to article 155.1, part 3 of the Family Code, local guardianship and custody organs are responsible for the living conditions, upbringing, and education of children residing in organizations for orphans and children without parental care. Given this, Human Rights Watch would appreciate the Ministry of Labor’s input on the following questions:

- What federal policies exist regarding nutrition for children living in state institutions? What policies exist concerning nutrition for children with disabilities that is adequate for their development, including regarding appropriate feeding methods in cases when children are unable to feed themselves? In what ways does the Ministry of Labor work with local guardianship and custody organs to ensure that children with disabilities living in institutions under its supervision receive adequate nutrition?

- What federal policies exist to ensure that children with disabilities living in state institutions have access to health care and rehabilitation, including health care specific to their disabilities? How does the Ministry of Labor work with local guardianship and custody organs to ensure that children with disabilities living in state institutions under its jurisdiction are provided with necessary healthcare and rehabilitation services?
• What federal policies guide workers at state institutions for children regarding the following practices:
  • the use of restraints to tie children’s hands to their bodies or to tie children to furniture or wheelchairs;
  • the use of sedatives;
  • the use of corporal punishment or other physical force by staff or other children as punishment for behavior deemed to be disruptive;
  • other similar measures, including disciplinary measures?

• In what circumstances are institutional staff authorized to use force against a child?

How does the Ministry of Labor work with local guardianship and custody organs to ensure the freedom of all children from violence?

• What federal policies are in place regarding psychiatric hospitalization of children living in state institutions? What policies exist elaborating the conditions under which children with disabilities may be placed in psychiatric institutions? What procedures are in place by which children with disabilities may provide their informed consent to be placed in psychiatric hospitals? How does the Ministry of Labor collaborate with local guardianship and custody organs to ensure that psychiatric hospitalization is used only when in the best interests of the child?

• What federal policies exist regarding programs to ensure leisure time and play for children living in state institutions falling under the Ministry of Labor’s supervision, particularly for children with disabilities? We would welcome information on what the Ministry does to ensure that children with disabilities living in its institutions enjoy access to leisure and play according to the Ministry’s policies and programs, and information on the number of hours of leisure and play to which children are entitled.

• Does the Ministry of Labor carry out any awareness-raising and education programs to promote positive, non-violent, and participatory forms of child rearing and discipline, as recommended in the Committee on the Rights of the Child’s concluding observations on Russia in January 2014? If so, what is the content of these programs, and which audiences do these programs target?

Monitoring and oversight. Human Rights Watch would welcome information from the Ministry of Labor on whether and how it collaborates with local guardianship and custody organs to supervise conditions in children’s institutions falling under the Ministry’s jurisdiction, including through any
complaint mechanisms that may be available to children with disabilities living in the Ministry’s institutions. In particular, we would appreciate answers to the following questions:

- Can children with disabilities living in state institutions run by the Ministry of Labor submitting complaints (in an accessible process) regarding their conditions or treatment to competent authorities, without fear of retaliation? What are the mechanisms for children to file such complaints? What safeguards exist against retaliation, in cases when abuses are reported? Is there a standardized set of complaint mechanisms available to children living in institutions falling under the jurisdiction of the Ministries of Education, Labor, and Education?

- How does the Ministry of Labor collaborate with the Ministries of Education and Health and local guardianship and custody organs to ensure respect for laws and policies in order to protect the rights of orphaned children or children left without parental care, including children with disabilities, in various specialized institutions?

Alternatives to institutionalization. Human Rights Watch would welcome information about existing efforts by the Russian federal government and the Ministry of Labor in particular to develop alternatives to institutionalization, including, for example, efforts to develop Russia’s system of foster care and adoption. In particular, we would welcome information from the Ministry concerning the following:

- How many children are adopted or fostered each year in Russia? We would welcome this data disaggregated by the number of children with disabilities placed in adoptive versus foster families as well as by age, gender and type of disability. What is the percentage of children with disabilities adopted or fostered relative to Russia's total population of children who are adopted or fostered?

- What role does the Ministry of Labor have in assisting adoption and fostering, including through its potential role in collaborating with local guardianship and custody organs? Does the Ministry have any policies to encourage adoption of children with disabilities? What steps does the Ministry take to ensure that adoption or fostering is carried out in children's best interests and with their input?

- Human Rights Watch would appreciate clarification from the Ministry of Labor on article 155.2, part 4 of the Family Code, regarding fostering. The legislation states that the temporary transfer of a child to a citizen living in the Russian Federation shall take place for no longer than three months. The law also states that in exceptional circumstances, the term of temporary transfer to a foster family can be extended with the guardianship organ’s consent, and that a continuous period of temporary
residence for a child may not exceed six months. Human Rights Watch would appreciate any clarification the Ministry might provide on why a child is permitted to stay with a foster family for only three to six months, what cases constitute “exceptional circumstances”, and what role the government may play in determining when exceptional circumstances exist.

Support for families of children with disabilities and individuals with disabilities to integrate without problems into their surrounding communities. Human Rights Watch research identified concerns regarding the availability and appropriateness of support services to consistently enable parents to raise their children with disabilities and facilitate these children’s participation and inclusion in their communities.

- What forms of government support are available for children with disabilities and their families, including foster and adoptive families? What role does the Ministry of Labor play in developing and providing these services? For example, is the Ministry creating or considering creating programs to support inclusive and accessible kindergartens and schools for children with disabilities; rehabilitation and psychological support services for children and family members; and parenting guidance for children with disabilities and their families?

- How does the Ministry of Labor inform children with disabilities living in state institutions of their right to live in and participate in the community after reaching age 18? What measures does the Ministry take to actively facilitate these children’s self-reliance outside of state institutions, including through job-search assistance, life-skills training, and other forms of education or vocational training?

Statistics on children with disabilities. In compiling our report, Human Rights Watch has encountered difficulties locating comprehensive statistics on the number of children with disabilities or health impairments living in Russian state institutions, the types of disabilities or health impairments they have, as well as rates of abandonment, adoption, and fostering within Russia. We would therefore appreciate if the Ministry of Labor shared the following statistics:

- What is the total number of children with disabilities or health impairments currently residing in state institutions in Russia disaggregated by disability or health impairment; age group; gender; type of institution; and region (krai or oblast)? Human Rights Watch would also welcome information on whether these institutions are specialized for children with particular kinds of disabilities or health impairments.
Additionally, what is the total number of children living in state institutions run by the Ministry of Labor, disaggregated by the same categories?

- What was the total number of children living in state institutions in each of the following years: 2009, 2004, and 1999? What was the total number of children with disability or health impairments living in state institutions run by the Ministry of Labor in these same years?

- Can the Ministry of Labor please provide statistics on the rate at which children with disabilities or health impairments are removed from institutions under its supervision and placed in (biological, foster, or adoptive) families?

- Can the Ministry of Labor please provide statistics on mortality rates among children living in state institutions, disaggregated by disability or health impairment, age, type of institution, region (krai or oblast) and cause of death for the years 2014, 2009, 2004, and 1999? We would especially welcome information on mortality rates among children recently transferred from one institution to another, or who have recently entered institutions for the first time.

In light of our upcoming report on the rights of children with disabilities in Russia, we would welcome your response to our concerns by April 25, 2014, so that we have adequate opportunity to ensure that the report reflects Ministry of Labor’s information and perspectives.

For more detailed information, and in case of other questions or requests, please contact our researcher Andrea Mazzarino (email mazzara@hrw.org, telephone – 212-216-1229, Moscow office telephone (495) 648-29-24).

We request that answers be sent in the form of an emailed letter (mazzara@hrw.org and newsrussia@hrw.org) or as a letter addressed to our office in Moscow – 101000, Moscow, Armyanskiy per. Dom 9/1/1, str. 1, office 516.

We thank you for your attention to these matters and look forward to receiving your responses.

Sincerely,

Rachel Denber
Deputy Director, Europe and Central Asia Division
Human Rights Watch

В соответствии с постановлением Правительства Российской Федерации от 6 июня 2013 г. № 466 федеральным органом исполнительной власти, осуществляющим функции по выработке государственной политики и нормативно-правовому регулированию в сфере образования, а также опеки и попечительства в отношении несовершеннолетних граждан, определено Министерство образования и науки Российской Федерации.

Нормативно-правовое регулирование вопросов в сфере здравоохранения отнесено к полномочиям Министерства здравоохранения Российской Федерации (постановление Правительства Российской Федерации Российской Федерации от 19 июня 2012 г. № 608).

С учетом изложенного сообщаем, что направляемая информация по вопросу о защите прав детей-инвалидов подготовлена совместно с Минобрнауки России, МВД России и Минздравом России в рамках подготовки к защите объединенных четвертого и пято периодических докладов Российской Федерации по реализации Конвенции о правах ребенка на 65 сессии Комитета ООН по правам ребенка в 2014 году.

Приложение: на 12 л.

А.В. Вовченко
ИНФОРМАЦИЯ

по вопросам о защите прав детей-инвалидов.

1. О деятельности психолого-медико-педагогических комиссий

В соответствии с пунктом 10 Положения о психолого-медико-педагогической комиссии, утвержденного приказом Министерства образования и науки Российской Федерации от 20 сентября 2013 г. № 1082, одним из основных направлений деятельности психолого-медико-педагогических комиссий (далее - ПМПК) является обследование детей в целях своевременного выявления нарушений в их физическом и психологическом развитии, организации обучения и воспитания детей с ограниченными возможностями здоровья, а также оказание федеральным учреждениям медико-социальной экспертизы содействия в разработке индивидуальной программы реабилитации ребенка-инвалида (далее – ИПР).

ИПР – это комплекс оптимальных для инвалида реабилитационных мероприятий, направленных на восстановление, компенсацию способностей инвалида к выполнению определенных видов деятельности. В связи с этим каждому гражданину, в том числе ребенку, признанному инвалидом, федеральным учреждением медико-социальной экспертизы разрабатывается ИПР. При этом, учитывая, что для ребенка-инвалида приоритетным является восстановление способности к обучению и получению образования, особое внимание уделется разработке мероприятий по психолого-педагогической реабилитации.

Федеральные учреждения медико-социальной экспертизы подведомствены Минтруду России.

В целях совершенствования деятельности федеральных учреждений медико-социальной экспертизы по разработке детям - инвалидам ИПР в части психолого-педагогической реабилитации Минтруду России издан приказ от 10 декабря 2013 г. № 723 «Об организации работы по межведомственному взаимодействию федеральных государственных учреждений медико-социальной экспертизы с психолого-медико-педагогическими комиссиями».

Указанным приказом предусмотрена организация взаимодействия между федеральными учреждениями медико-социальной экспертизы и ПМПК в целях координации действий по освидетельствованию детей для повышения объективности принимаемых решений по установлению инвалидности и разработке ИПР.

В частности, в соответствии с приказом Минтруда России от 10 декабря 2013 г. № 723 руководители федеральных учреждений медико-социальной экспертизы при необходимости запрашивают от ПМПК сведения из протоколов обследования детей и заключения о состоянии их здоровья (при согласии законного представителя ребенка), приглашают для участия в проведении медико-социальной экспертизы представителей ПМПК с правом совещательного голоса в целях оказания содействия в разработке ИПР ребенка-инвалида, проводят совместные с ПМПК мероприятия по обмену опытом и анализу демографического состава детей-инвалидов.
Данные мероприятия обеспечивают федеральным учреждениям медико-социальной экспертизы возможность разрабатывать детям-инвалидам более качественные ИПР, а ПМПК - своевременно и более эффективно организовывать работу по обучению и воспитанию детей-инвалидов в соответствии с ИПР.

2. О реализации положений Конвенции о правах инвалидов в Российской Федерации.

В целях реализации положений Конвенции о правах инвалидов и установления в Российской Федерации действенных правовых механизмов предоставления инвалидам возможности реализовывать весь комплекс общегражданских прав наравне с другими людьми, в Государственную Думу Федерального Собрания Российской Федерации внесен и принят в I чтении законопроект № 480719-6 «О внесении изменений в отдельные законодательные акты Российской Федерации по вопросам социальной защиты инвалидов в связи с ратификацией Конвенции о правах инвалидов» (далее – законопроект), разработанный Минтрудом России.

Законопроектом вводится норма о недопустимости дискриминации по признаку инвалидности, а также дается определение данного вида дискриминации.

Под дискриминацией по признаку инвалидности понимается любое различие, исключение или ограничение по причине инвалидности, целью или результатом которого является ущемление или отрицание признания, реализации или осуществления наравне с другими всех установленных законодательством Российской Федерации прав человека в политической, экономической, социальной, культурной, гражданской или любой иной области.

Эти нормы в совокупности с определением в законопроекте обязательных условий доступности для инвалидов объектов и услуг, дифференцированных в зависимости от нарушенных функций инвалида и от характерных для каждой отрасли особенностей услуги и предназначения объекта, создают правовую основу для работы всех органов власти, в том числе судебных органов, по правовой квалификации действий, являющихся дискриминационными по признаку инвалидности, а также по их пресечению.

Новое правовое регулирование позволит выявлять и квалифицировать неприятие мер по содействию инвалидам в преодолении барьеров, как проявление дискриминации по признаку инвалидности. Это существенно повысит ответственность всех должностных лиц за соблюдение прав инвалидов.

В то же время законопроект не исчерпывает весь комплекс задач по изменению правового регулирования социальной политики в сфере инвалидности. Эти задачи будут решаться и решаются в рамках целого ряда законопроектов. На это, в частности, направлены 10 уже принятых в 2011-2013 годах законов в сфере защиты прав инвалидов (справка прилагается).
3. О мерах по предотвращению насилия в отношении несовершеннолетних детей, в том числе находящихся в специализированных учреждениях.

В 2009 - 2012 годах в российское законодательство внесен ряд существенных изменений, направленных на приведение законодательства в соответствии с международными стандартами в сфере обеспечения защиты несовершеннолетних от преступных посягательств, в том числе совершаемых в образовательных и иных детских учреждениях, а также на ужесточение уголовной ответственности за совершение преступлений против жизни, здоровья, половой неприкосновенности и нравственности несовершеннолетних.

В соответствии с новелями, внесенными Федеральными законами от 23 декабря 2010 г. № 387-ФЗ и от 1 апреля 2012 г. № 27-ФЗ в статью 22.1 Федерального закона «О государственной регистрации юридических лиц и индивидуальных предпринимателей» и Трудовой кодекс Российской Федерации введены запреты на занятие трудовой деятельностью в сфере образования, воспитания, развития несовершеннолетних, организации их отдыха и оздоровления, медицинского обеспечения, социальной защиты и социального обслуживания, в сфере детско-юношеского спорта, культуры и искусства с участием несовершеннолетних для лиц, имеющих или имевших судимость, подвергающихся или подвергавшихся уголовному преследованию за преступления против жизни и здоровья, свободы, чести и достоинства личности, половой неприкосновенности и половой свободы личности, в том числе против семьи и несовершеннолетних.

Важнейшим событием в сфере законодательной деятельности государства стало принятие Федерального закона от 29 февраля 2012 г. № 14-ФЗ «О внесении изменений в Уголовный кодекс Российской Федерации и отдельные законодательные акты Российской Федерации в целях усиления ответственности за преступления сексуального характера, совершенные в отношении несовершеннолетних».

Данным Законом ужесточено наказание за преступления против половой неприкосновенности несовершеннолетних: за совершение особо тяжких преступлений в отношении детей, не достигших 14 лет, введено пожизненное лишение свободы; за преступления против половой неприкосновенности, исключено назначение условного осуждения и другие.

Предусмотрено назначение судом принудительных мер медицинского характера лицам, совершившим преступление против половой неприкосновенности ребенка до 14 лет и страдающим расстройством сексуального предпочтения (педофилией). Их применение может быть продлено и на период после освобождения лиц, совершивших данные преступления.

Кроме того, в Уголовный кодекс Российской Федерации введены и другие новации, касающиеся в том числе: отнесения к числу обстоятельств, отягчающих наказание, совершение в отношении детей преступления родителем или иным лицом, на которое законом возложены обязанности по
их воспитанию, а равно педагогом или другим работнику образовательного, воспитательного, лечебного либо иного учреждения, а также введение дополнительного наказания в виде лишения права занимать определенные должности или заниматься определенной деятельностью.

К значимым изменениям можно отнести также установление уголовной ответственности за использование несовершеннолетнего ребенка в целях изготовления порнографических материалов или предметов; за распространение порнографии среди несовершеннолетних. За совершение таких преступлений с использованием средств массовой информации и информационно-телекоммуникационных сетей установлены повышенные санкции и введено дополнительное наказание в виде лишения права занимать определенные должности или заниматься определенной деятельностью, связанный с работой с детьми, на срок до 20 лет.

В Российской Федерации сформирована система органов и учреждений, осуществляющих деятельность по оказанию услуг детям, пострадавшим от жестокого обращения и преступных посягательств, к которым относятся социально-реабилитационные центры для несовершеннолетних, центры социальной помощи семье и детям, социальные приюты для детей и подростков. В указанных учреждениях детям и семьям с детьми оказывается необходимая помощь, включая предоставление социально-бытовых, социально-медицинскских, психологических, социально-правовых услуг, проведение мероприятий по социальной адаптации и реабилитации детей, оказание помощи в юридическом сопровождении, в оформлении и подготовке документов в правоохранительные и судебные органы.

Для детей, оказавшихся в критической ситуации вследствие насилия, в том числе домашнего насилия, в ряде субъектов Российской Федерации созданы специальные учреждения либо отделения на базе социально-реабилитационных центров для несовершеннолетних и социальных приютов. Их работа направлена на обеспечение безопасности ребенка, содействие в преодолении нарушений внутрисемейных отношений, на оказание психологической и социально-правовой поддержки.

Важную роль в защите детей от насилия и жестокого обращения играет институт Уполномоченного при Президенте Российской Федерации по правам ребенка, в адрес которого поступают обращения граждан и организаций из субъектов Российской Федерации.

Одним из направлений деятельности Уполномоченного при Президенте Российской Федерации по правам ребенка является проведение инспекционных поездок в субъектах Российской Федерации по вопросу положения детей, соблюдения их прав и интересов в деятельности органов исполнительной власти, детских учреждений, органов и учреждений системы профилактики безнадзорности и правонарушений несовершеннолетних, должностных лиц.

В регионах рекомендовано с учетом международного опыта установить процедуры регистрации и эффективного расследования полученных от детей
жалоб о случаях физического и психического насилия; обеспечить всем жертвам насилия доступ к услугам и оказанию помощи.

В соответствии с законодательством Российской Федерации в вопросах защиты прав детей важная роль отведена комиссиям по делам несовершеннолетних и защите их прав субъектов Российской Федерации.

Наряду с этим ведется работа по организации служб школьной медиации в образовательных учреждениях и методические рекомендации по организации обучения педагогических, медицинских, социальных работников и иных специалистов, работающих с детьми, находящимися в трудной жизненной ситуации.

В рамках профилактики жестокого обращения с детьми Фондом поддержки детей, находящихся в трудной жизненной ситуации, учредителем которого является Минтруд России, совместно с субъектами Российской Федерации реализуется программа «Защитим детей от насилия».

Целями данной программы является формирование в обществе нетерпимого отношения к различным проявлениям насилия по отношению к детям, повышение информированности детей и их родителей о возможных рисках и опасностях, а также обеспечение реабилитации детей, ставших жертвами преступных посягательств в семье, школе и иных детских учреждениях.

Целевыми группами программы являются как социально неблагополучные семьи и дети, пострадавшие от жестокого обращения так и специалисты, работающие в учреждениях для детей.

4. О психиатрической госпитализации детей, находящихся в специализированных учреждениях.

В соответствии с Законом Российской Федерации от 2 июля 1992 года № 3185-1 «О психиатрической помощи и гарантиях прав граждан при ее оказании» (в ред. Федерального закона от 2 июля 2013 г. № 185-ФЗ) все граждане поступают в психоневрологические интернаты на основании лицевого заявления лица, страдающего психическим расстройством, или законного представителя недееспособного; заключения врачебной комиссии; решения органа опеки и попечительства, принятого на основании заключения врачебной комиссии с рекомендацией о типе учреждения (общего или психоневрологического типа).

Прием в детские дома-интернаты производится по путевке органа исполнительной власти субъекта Российской Федерации в сфере социальной защиты населения.

Воспитанники детских учреждений для умственно отсталых детей ежегодно обследуются врачебной комиссией интернатов с участием врача-психиатра с целью решения вопроса о необходимости их дальнейшего пребывания в психоневрологических учреждениях социального обслуживания, постановки перед судом вопроса о дееспособности, а также получения согласия на лечение и пребывание в учреждении.

В целях определения правомерности помещения детей-инвалидов в стационарные учреждения социального обслуживания психоневрологического профиля организована работа по осуществлению
соответствующих проверок. В субъектах Российской Федерации в целях осуществления таких проверок созданы межведомственные комиссии.

Итоги проведенных таких проверок показывают, что отсутствуют случаи необоснованного помещения детей-инвалидов в детские учреждения психоневрологического профиля, права воспитанников на получение с учетом их психофизического статуса и особых образовательных потребностей общего образования по адаптированным образовательным программам соблюдаются.

5. О мерах, предпринимаемых по обеспечению доступности образовательного процесса для лиц из числа детей-инвалидов.

Согласно Федеральному закону от 29 декабря 2012 г. № 273-ФЗ «Об образовании в Российской Федерации» в целях реализации права каждого человека на образование федеральными государственными органами, органами государственной власти субъектов Российской Федерации и органами местного самоуправления создаются необходимые условия для получения без дискриминации качественного образования лицами с ограниченными возможностями здоровья, для коррекции нарушений развития и социальной адаптации, оказания ранней коррекционной помощи на основе специальных педагогических подходов и наиболее подходящих для этих лиц языков, методов и способов общения и условия, в максимальной степени способствующие получению образования определенного уровня и определенной направленности, а также социальному развитию этих лиц, в том числе посредством организации инклюзивного образования лиц с ограниченными возможностями здоровья.

В соответствии с Федеральным законом от 29 декабря 2012 г. № 273-ФЗ под инклюзивным образованием понимается обеспечение равного доступа к образованию для всех обучающихся с учетом разнообразия особых образовательных потребностей и индивидуальных возможностей. Закон отдает приоритет инклюзивной форме образования, предполагающей обучение инвалидов и людей с ограниченными возможностями здоровьем не в специализированном, а в обычном учебном заведении. При этом сохраняется возможность получения образования и в специальных образовательных учреждениях.

Закон содержит отдельную статью «Организация получения образования людьми с ограниченными возможностями здоровья», в которой предусматривается правовое регулирование деятельности образовательных учреждений, в которых создаются специальные условия для получения образования обучающимися с ограниченными возможностями здоровья.

Под специальными условиями определяются условия обучения, воспитания и развития, включающие в себя использование специальных образовательных программ и методов обучения и воспитания, специальных учебников, учебных пособий и дидактических материалов, специальных технических средств обучения коллективного и индивидуального пользования, предоставление услуг ассистента (помощника), оказывающего обучающимся необходимую техническую помощь, проведение групповых и индивидуальных коррекционных занятий, обеспечение доступа в здания
организаций, осуществляющих образовательную деятельность, и другие условия, без которых невозможно или затруднено освоение образовательных программ обучающимися с ограниченными возможностями здоровья.

В целях внедрения инклюзивного образования в систему образования используются профессиональные кадры, имеющие специальные знания по работе с детьми с ограниченными возможностями; медицинский персонал; материально-техническое обеспечение, способствующее сохранению здоровья обучающихся; образовательные стандарты, обеспечивающие развитие, а также получение специальности.

В рамках Государственной программы Российской Федерации «Доступная среда» на 2011 - 2015 годы, утвержденной распоряжением Правительства Российской Федерации от 26 ноября 2012 г. № 2181-р, в регионах проводятся мероприятия по формированию сети базовых образовательных учреждений, реализующих программы общего образования, обеспечивающих совместное обучение инвалидов и лиц, не имеющих нарушений развития (инклюзивное образование).

На организацию данной работы предоставляются субсидии из федерального бюджета.

Также в рамках Программы обеспечивается повышение квалификации специалистов образовательных учреждений по вопросам реализации индивидуальной программы реабилитации ребенка-инвалида в части получения детьми-инвалидами образования в обычных образовательных учреждениях.

Всего за период до 2015 года будет обучено 24 000 специалистов.

6. Об организации деятельности органов опеки и попечительства.

В соответствии с Федеральным законом от 6 октября 1999 г. № 184-ФЗ «Об общих принципах организации законодательных (представительных) и исполнительных органов государственной власти субъектов Российской Федерации» организация деятельности по опеке и попечительству несовершеннолетних граждан отнесена к полномочиям органов государственной власти субъектов Российской Федерации.

Согласно Федеральному закону от 24 апреля 2008 г. № 48-ФЗ «Об опеке и попечительстве» органами опеки и попечительства являются органы исполнительной власти субъекта Российской Федерации.

Контроль за деятельностью органов опеки и попечительства в соответствии с постановлением Правительства Российской Федерации от 15 июля 2013 года № 594 осуществляется Федеральной службой по надзору в сфере образования и науки Российской Федерации.

7. О мерах, предпринимаемых по содействию семейного устройства детей-сирот и детей, оставшихся без попечения родителей, в том числе из числа детей-инвалидов, и находящихся в специализированных учреждениях.

В соответствии с Семейным кодексом Российской Федерации дети, оставшиеся без попечения родителей, подлежат передаче в семью на воспитание (усыновление (удочерение), под опеку или попечительство, в приемную семью либо в случаях, предусмотренных законами субъектов
Российской Федерации, в патронатную семью), а при отсутствии такой возможности временно, на период до их устройства на воспитание в семью, передаются в организации для детей-сирот и детей, оставшихся без попечения родителей, всех типов.

Основным направлением работы по семейному устройству детей в регионах является развитие служб замещающих семей, в деятельность которых входят оказание семьям социальных, педагогических и психологических услуг, формирование позитивного общественного мнения в отношении развития института замещающих семей, поиск и подготовка кандидатов на любую из форм семейного устройства детей и сопровождение замещающих семей.

Сопровождение опекунских, приемных и других замещающих семей осуществляется на протяжении всего времени пребывания ребенка в семье, так как сложности, связанные с адаптацией ребенка и родителей, возрастными кризисами ребенка, а также с последствиями негативного жизненного опыта ребенка в предшествующие годы могут возникать на разных этапах развития ребенка.

На базе комплексных центров социального обслуживания населения создаются отделения подготовки и сопровождения замещающих семей, оказывающие содействие органам опеки и попечительства в профессиональном сопровождении принимающих семей, осуществляющее подбор и подготовку граждан, выразивших желание стать замещающими родителями.

Так, на базе 29 организаций социального обслуживания населения Тюменской области, которым переданы отдельные полномочия органа опеки и попечительства, потенциальные замещающие родители проходят психолого-педагогическое и правовое обучение по специально разработанным программам в рамках «Школы замещающего родителя», где повышается уровень интеграции приемного ребенка в семью.

В Амурской области организована работа по типу «Гостевой режим» - временная передача детей на выходные и праздничные дни в семье, с разрешения органов опеки и попечительства.

При этом проводится работа с несовершеннолетним кандидатом в приемную семью:
- патронат семьи с целью оказания помощи в процессе реабилитации приемного ребенка-инвалида;
- поддержка и доступ по всем существующим услугам, по консультированию родителей после возвращения ребенка в семью, с целью успешного выполнения родителями воспитательной функции, укрепления социальных связей в семье.

В Республике Татарстан разработан и нашел свое применение в учреждениях для детей-сирот и детей, оставшихся без попечения родителей, ряд следующих технологий устройства ребенка в семью:
- Технология «Школа любящих родителей», направленная на установление гуманных отношений между воспитанниками и родителями, повышение социально-правовой и психолого-педагогической
компетентности родителей в вопросах воспитания детей. В рамках данной технологии разрешаются конфликтные ситуации, урегулируются отношения между родителями и детьми, оказывается эффективная помощь семье в вопросах успешной социальной адаптации детей и подростов. Технология включает проведение групповых психокоррекционных занятий, организацию деятельности клуба встреч «Вместе с мамой, вместе с папой».

В организациях для детей-сирот и детей, оставшихся без попечения родителей, действуют службы психолого-педагогического сопровождения выпускников, которые содействуют им в успешной социально-психологической адаптации в социуме.

На базе организаций для детей-сирот и детей, оставшихся без попечения родителей, реализуются программы комплексного сопровождения воспитанников в самостоятельной жизни по типу «Социальная гостиница» и «Социальная квартира».

Целью данной работы является социализация и интеграция молодых инвалидов – выпускников детского дома-интерната для умственно отсталых детей, улучшение качества их жизни, создание им равных с другими гражданами возможностей участия в жизни общества.

В части установления поощрения усыновителей (опекунов, попечителей) ребенка - инвалида с 1 января 2013 года в соответствии с Указом Президента Российской Федерации от 26 февраля 2013 г. № 175-ФЗ «О ежемесячных выплатах лицам, осуществляющим уход за детьми-инвалидами и инвалидами с детства I группы» повыше́ны до 5500 рублей ежемесячные выплаты неработающим трудоспособным лицам, осуществляющим уход за ребенком-инвалидом в возрасте до 18 лет родителям (усыновителям) или опекунам (попечителям).

В соответствии с Федеральным законом от 19 мая 1995 г. № 81-ФЗ «О государственных пособиях гражданам, имеющим детей» с 2013 года в случае усыновления ребенка-инвалида выплачивается единовременное пособие в размере 100 000 рублей на каждого такого ребенка.

8. Об организации предоставления социальных услуг, в том числе о предоставлении социальных услуг детям-инвалидам.

С 1 января 2015 года вступает в силу Федеральный закон от 28 декабря 2013 г. № 442-ФЗ «Об основах социального обслуживания граждан в Российской Федерации».

Одно из ключевых нововведений, предусмотренных данным законом – индивидуальный подход к оказанию социальных услуг, то есть с учетом состояния здоровья, семейного положения, психологического состояния человека. Уточнен перечень принципов социального обслуживания. Дополнительно включен такой принцип, как «обеспечение социального сопровождения».

Социальное сопровождение предполагает предоставление социальных услуг гражданам, в том числе с привлечением на основе межведомственного взаимодействия специалистов различных профилей (специальностей).

Социальное сопровождение получателя социальных услуг предусматривает:
установление обстоятельств и условий, препятствующих самостоятельному удовлетворению основных жизненных потребностей гражданина;
комплексную оценку и межведомственный подход к определению индивидуальной нуждаемости гражданина в социальном обслуживании с учетом способности гражданина преодолеть трудную жизненную ситуацию и (или) ее последствия собственными силами;
предоставление социальных услуг гражданину поставщиками социальных услуг и иными организациями социальной сферы, а также содействие в получении помощи, не относящейся к социальным услугам;
мониторинг жизнедеятельности гражданина - получателя социальных услуг и эффективности предоставляемых ему социальных услуг и (или) иных услуг.

В субъектах Российской Федерации, в том числе при участии Фонда поддержки детей, находящихся в трудной жизненной ситуации, реализуются программы по поддержке семей с детьми-инвалидами, в рамках реализации которых развивается система ранней помощи семьям с детьми-инвалидами – создаются службы раннего вмешательства, внедряются эффективные технологии и методы реабилитации детей с ограниченными возможностями здоровья, проводится обучение родителей методам реабилитации и ухода за детьми, организуется сопровождение таких семей.

Так, создаются межведомственные службы оказания ранней помощи детям до 3 лет, страдающим заболеваниями, приводящими к инвалидности, работают отделения восстановительной медицины для детей с нарушениями развития и группы кратковременного пребывания для детей с нарушениями развития раннего возраста.

В ряде регионов создаются выездные службы для работы с семьями в отдаленных районах, разрабатываются и реализуются программы патронатного обслуживания семей с детьми раннего возраста с нарушениями в развитии.

Помощь учреждений социального обслуживания детям-инвалидам и семьям, имеющим таких детей, оказывается бесплатно.

Региональный государственный контроль в сфере социального обслуживания осуществляется уполномоченным органом субъекта Российской Федерации в порядке, установленном органом государственной власти субъекта Российской Федерации.
Справка о принятых федеральных законах в связи с ратификацией Конвенции о правах инвалидов

В целях приведения законодательства Российской Федерации в соответствие с Конвенцией в период подготовки к её ратификации приняты ряд законодательных актов, обеспечивающих в первоочередном порядке реализацию норм Конвенции по следующим вопросам:

1) улучшения условий реализации инвалидами избирательных прав в части обеспечения доступности процедур, помещений и материалов для голосования инвалидам с учетом ограничений их жизнедеятельности (федеральные законы от 14 июня 2011 г. № 143-ФЗ и от 25 июля 2011 г. № 262-ФЗ внесены изменения в федеральные законы «Об основных гарантиях избирательных прав и права на участие в референдуме граждан Российской Федерации», «О выборах Президента Российской Федерации» и «О выборах депутатов Государственной Думы Федерального Собрания Российской Федерации»);

2) реализации прав граждан (в основном инвалидов), страдающих психическими расстройствами на судебную защиту и установление иных мер к тому, чтобы они не подвергались жестоким или унижающим достоинство видам обращения (Федеральный закон от 6 апреля 2011 г. № 67-ФЗ «О внесении изменений в Закон Российской Федерации «О психиатрической помощи и гарантиях прав граждан при ее оказании» и Гражданский процессуальный кодекс Российской Федерации»);

3) установления ежемесячных выплат в возмещение вреда здоровью для инвалидов военной травмы (установлены компенсации аналогичны возмещению вреда вследствие трудового увечья (Федеральный закон от 7 ноября 2011 г. № 306-ФЗ «О денежном довольствии военнослужащих и предоставлении им отдельных выплат»);

4) повышения размера пособий на содержание и ветеринарное обслуживание собак-проводников инвалидов по зрению и установления механизма их индексации (Федеральный закон от 30 ноября 2011 г. № 355-ФЗ «О внесении изменений в статью 11.1 Федерального закона «О социальной защите инвалидов в Российской Федерации»);

5) усиления государственной, в том числе имущественной и налоговой, поддержки общественных организаций инвалидов (Федеральный закон от 10 июля 2012 г. № 110-ФЗ «О внесении изменений в статьи 4 и 33 Федерального закона «О социальной защите инвалидов в Российской Федерации» и Федеральный закон «Об общих принципах организации местного самоуправления в Российской Федерации»);

6) установления статуса русского жестового языка и улучшения условий предоставления инвалидам услуг по переводу русского жестового языка (сурдопереводу, тифлосурдопереводу), в том числе при получении образования (Федеральный закон от 30 декабря 2012 г. № 296-ФЗ
«О внесении изменений в статьи 14 и 19 Федерального закона «О социальной защите инвалидов в Российской Федерации»;

7) совершенствования правового регулирования реализации особых потребностей инвалидов в сфере образования (Федеральный закон от 29 декабря 2012 г. № 273-ФЗ «Об образовании в Российской Федерации» содержит отдельную статью «Организация получения образования людьми с ограниченными возможностями здоровья», в которой предусматривается правовое регулирование деятельности образовательных учреждений в инклюзивном, коррекционном, надомном, дистанционном и послевузовском режимах, обеспечивающее возможность непрерывного образования для инвалидов на протяжении всей жизни);

8) уточнения механизма и усиления административной ответственности работодателей в отношении создания или выделения рабочих мест для трудоустройства инвалидов в счет установленной квоты (Федеральный закон от 23 февраля 2013 г. № 11-ФЗ «О внесении изменений в отдельные законодательные акты Российской Федерации по вопросу квотирования рабочих мест для инвалидов»);

9) повышения для инвалидов качества и доступности услуг по воздушным перевозкам (Федеральный закон от 7 июня 2013 г. № 124-ФЗ «О внесении изменений в Воздушный кодекс Российской Федерации»);

10) установления основных требований к оснащению рабочих мест для инвалидов (Федеральный закон от 2 июля 2013 г. № 168-ФЗ «О внесении изменений в статьи 4 и 22 Федерального закона «О социальной защите инвалидов в Российской Федерации»);

11) совершенствования механизма квотирования рабочих мест для инвалидов (Федеральный закон от 2 июля 2013 г. № 183-ФЗ «О внесении изменений в статью 21 Федерального закона «О социальной защите инвалидов в Российской Федерации»).

В новом Федеральном законе от 28 декабря 2013 г. № 442-ФЗ «Об основах социального обслуживания в Российской Федерации» предусмотрен ряд норм по совершенствованию правового регулирования мероприятий, связанных с реабилитацией инвалидов в учреждениях социального обслуживания.
ABANDONED BY THE STATE
Violence, Neglect, and Isolation for Children with Disabilities in Russian Orphanages

Nearly 30 percent of all Russian children with disabilities live in state-run orphanages, separated from their families and communities. Children with disabilities living in orphanages may face serious abuse and neglect that severely impede their physical and intellectual growth. Many children with disabilities are institutionalized because doctors tell their parents that children lack prospects for development or that parents cannot care for them.

In recent years the Russian government has developed policies to end this form of institutionalization and offer more community-based services to children with disabilities and their parents in order to facilitate children living with their families. However, these policies do not specify mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

Abandoned by the State is based on research in 10 Russian orphanages for children with disabilities and over 200 interviews with currently and formerly institutionalized children and young people with disabilities and their parents, children’s rights advocates, and orphanage staff. Children with disabilities living in state orphanages face a range of human rights abuses. These include beatings and the use of abusive physical restraints, sedatives, and psychiatric hospitalization to control and punish children; isolation, insults, and denial of contact with family members, among other forms of psychological violence; and lack of access to education, play, adequate nutrition, and health care for children.

Human Rights Watch calls on the Russian government to immediately end violence and neglect towards children living in institutions. Russia should also reduce the number of children in institutions by transitioning them out of orphanages into birth or foster families. In the long term, Russia should make a plan to end institutionalization of children with disabilities so that children can be placed in state care only in limited circumstances that serve their best interest and in compliance with international human rights law.