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Map of Guinea
Summary

In January and February 2007, Guinean citizens weathered one of the most violent storms in their post-independence history when government security forces brutally repressed a nationwide strike organized by Guinea's most prominent trade unions to protest corruption, bad governance, and deteriorating economic conditions. According to the government’s own figures, the brutal crackdown resulted in at least 129 dead and over 1700 wounded, hundreds of them by gunshot. Although the strike ended with the appointment of a new consensus prime minister in late February, ending the immediate crisis, Guinea’s political stability is tenuous and the possibility of renewed unrest and repression remains very real. To stabilize the situation and to prevent further bouts of violent repression, it is critical that those responsible for the human rights abuses perpetrated during the strike be brought to account.

The third nationwide strike in less than a year, the trade unions' call to strike in mid-January resulted in immediate nationwide paralysis as economic activity in Conakry and all major towns and sectors, including the mining operations that provide a large portion of state revenue, ground to a halt. For the first time since Guinea’s independence in 1958, tens of thousands of people—men and women, old and young, including members of all of Guinea’s major ethnic groups—took to the streets to demand better government, clogging roads as they rallied, marched, and, at times, clashed with security forces. The protestors’ most frequently chanted slogan was a single word: changement, or change.

Change, in the form of a new consensus prime minister with the power to name his own government, would come, but at a heavy cost. Throughout the strike, security forces in Guinea engaged in widespread violations of some of the most basic civil and political rights of their fellow citizens, including the right to life, security and liberty of person as well as the freedoms of expression, association, and assembly.

Human Rights Watch interviewed 115 victims of and witnesses to the violence that took place during the six-week crisis period, and collected detailed accounts alleging involvement by members of the army, the police, and the gendarmerie in murder, rape, assault, and theft. Eyewitnesses to dozens of killings told Human Rights Watch
that security forces fired directly into crowds of unarmed demonstrators, frequently before they had exhausted non-lethal means of crowd control, and gunned down demonstrators trying to flee to safety. Scores of Guineans, many of them mere bystanders to the demonstrations, were severely beaten and robbed at gunpoint by security forces, often in their own homes.

In what appeared to be well-organized operations, union and other civil society leaders, as well as journalists, were threatened with death, attacked, robbed, arbitrarily arrested, and sometimes beaten by Guinean security forces. Security forces ransacked the workplaces of one of the trade unions organizing the strike, along with one of Guinea’s private radio stations. Attempts to silence the trade unions appear to have come from the highest levels of government, including President Conté and his son Ousmane Conté.

The crackdown of January-February 2007, the largest in recent years, was the latest in a series of incidents in which Guinean security forces have used excessive and at times lethal force on demonstrators protesting worsening economic conditions. The Guinean government has failed to hold the perpetrators of these earlier abuses to account. In many instances, the government appears to turn a blind eye to ongoing abuses by security forces.

Putting an end to the brutality and repression must include addressing the impunity that too often allows abuses to continue undeterred. Although the former Guinean Minister of Justice announced the creation of a national commission of inquiry to investigate strike-related human rights abuses, many of those interviewed by Human Rights Watch, from diplomats, to civil society leaders, to victims of human rights violations in Conakry’s suburbs, note that such commissions have a poor track record in Guinea. They note that a previous commission established by the Ministry of Justice to investigate abuses following a previous violent crackdown in June 2006

---

has not resulted in the publication of a report with findings, much less prosecutions of any perpetrators.²

To allow for an investigation in which Guineans may have confidence, and which will act fairly, independently, expeditiously, and transparently, Human Rights Watch believes that it is critical that an independent body charged with investigating the crimes committed by state security forces during the January-February nationwide strike, as well as previous strikes such as June 2006 in which similar abuses were committed, be created.³ Such a body should draw upon the experience and expertise of the international community through the involvement of members of the African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights and the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights.

For its part, the international community has a key role to play in ensuring accountability for strike-related abuses. International donors such as the United States and the European Union, as well as international bodies such as the African Union (AU) and the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR), must press the Guinean government for a prompt and independent investigation that draws upon the experience and expertise of the international community. Such an investigation must be followed by the application of appropriate criminal sanctions against the individuals responsible.

This report is based on Human Rights Watch interviews in Guinea in January, February, and March 2007 with officials from the Guinean Ministry of Justice; the Guinean Ministry of Security; the Guinean military; diplomats; journalists; representatives from the United Nations (UN), international nongovernmental organizations, trade unions, and local civil society organizations; as well as victims of and eyewitnesses to human rights violations in Guinea. The names of victims and other witnesses have been omitted to protect their identity and to ensure their privacy.


Recommendations

To the Government of Guinea

• Publicly acknowledge and condemn excessive use of force on the part of state security forces, including the police, the gendarmerie, and the military, during recent demonstrations, including the June 2006 nationwide strike.

• Create an independent body with full authority to investigate and prosecute abuses that occurred during the January-February strike, as well as similar abuses that occurred during the June 2006 strike. The mandate should include the assessment of all state conduct in accordance with the rights set out in the Constitution and in the international human rights treaties to which Guinea is a party. Such a body should have subpoena power as well as the power to protect witnesses. In particular, the independent structure created should draw upon the expertise of the international community through the involvement of members of the African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights and the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights.

• Immediately investigate via the independent body put in place, and then prosecute in accordance with international standards, crimes committed by state security forces during the both the January-February 2007 and June 2006 nationwide strikes, including murder, rape, assault, and theft. Civilians involved in committing serious abuses—such as the lynching of security forces—should be similarly investigated and punished.

• Thoroughly review—and as necessary revise—the training curriculum for police and other security forces to ensure comprehensive training on human rights issues including legal and appropriate interrogation techniques, crowd control, and appropriate use of force. Provide comprehensive training for police officers on the conduct of investigations. All training must be consistent with international human rights standards, such as the United Nations Code of Conduct for Law Enforcement Officials.

• Compensate victims of strike-related abuses adequately and speedily.

• Provide training to security forces and members of the National Communication Council regarding freedom of the press; Convene a seminar
for dialogue between officers of the police, military, and gendarmerie and local journalists.

- In the event of a declared curfew, take all steps necessary to ensure that the population is aware of the hours of the curfew and that those found in breach of the curfew are treated in accordance with the law.

To the United States, France, the European Union and other International Donors

- Call publicly and privately on the Guinean government to create an independent structure charged with investigating the abuses described in this report. In particular, the structure created should draw upon the expertise of the international community through the involvement of members of the African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights and the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights.
- Maintain pressure on the Guinean government to investigate, and where applicable punish in accordance with international standards, those responsible for crimes during the January-February 2007 and June 2006 nationwide strikes, including, murder, rape, assault, and theft.
- Provide financial and other support to local nongovernmental organizations to improve the monitoring and documenting of abuses committed by security forces and to undertake advocacy at a national level.

To the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights and the African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights

- Continue to provide technical and financial assistance to Guinean civil society organizations currently trying to document strike-related abuses.
- Provide staff, technical assistance, or other resources to ensure the proper functioning of an independent commission or special court put in place by the Government of Guinea to investigate and prosecute strike-related abuses.
Background

Guinea gained its independence from France in 1958, after being the first and only colony to opt for complete independence with no integration into a community of French overseas territories. The campaign for independence was led in part by a charismatic former union leader, Sékou Touré, who first came to prominence in the 1940s and 1950s after leading a post-war strike against the French colonial administration. He would go on to rule Guinea from independence in 1958 until his death in 1984. Embracing a mixture of pan-Africanism and Marxist ideology, Touré transformed Guinea into a one-party dictatorship in which free expression and political opposition were ruthlessly suppressed. As with political parties, union membership under Sékou Touré was restricted to a single, state-sanctioned entity.4

Under Sékou Touré and the state terror and informant apparatus he put in place, thousands of intellectuals, government critics, and perceived political rivals were detained in the notorious Camp Boiro, Guinea’s Gulag, where they were systematically tortured and killed. Their fate was determined not by an independent judiciary, but by the Revolutionary Committee (Comité révolutionnaire), a body consisting of senior political officials and relatives of the president. Due to the atmosphere of paranoia and repression that prevailed in the Sékou Touré era, thousands of Guinean intellectuals fled the country, only to return (if at all) after Sékou Touré’s death in 1984. Some have estimated that as many as one million Guineans fled to neighboring countries such as Côte d’Ivoire, Sierra Leone and Liberia.5 Although it ended nearly a quarter century ago, Sékou Touré’s twenty-six-year reign left an indelible mark on Guinea, and a legacy of fear and mutual distrust for those attempting to call their government to account.

When Touré died in 1984, the army swiftly seized power and Colonel Lansana Conté, Guinea’s current president, emerged to assume control. Within days, the constitution was suspended, Sekou Touré’s PDG party (Partie Democratique de Guinee) and the

---

4 Human Rights Watch interviews with union and other civil society leaders, Conakry, February 5 and March 16 and 17, 2007.

National Assembly were disbanded, and military rule was instituted under the name of the Military Committee for National Redress (Comité militaire de redressement national, CMRM). The new military government declared the protection of human rights to be one of its primary objectives, released political prisoners from Camp Boiro, and encouraged the Guinean diaspora that had fled under Sékou Touré’s rule to return. Effectively continuing Touré’s one-party rule, all activities by political parties were banned. Although there was a decrease in the scale of rights abuses compared to the Sékou Touré era, extrajudicial executions, disappearances, torture, arbitrary arrests of students and government critics, and the killing of peaceful demonstrators continued during the period of military rule that ended in the early 1990s.\(^6\)

Following a referendum at the end of 1990, Guinea endorsed a new constitution guaranteeing a broad range of human rights, and the military government was officially dissolved. However, the process of Guinea’s transition to a multiparty system would not be completed until the first legislative elections in 1995. Lansana Conté won elections in 1993 and 1998 that were regarded by international observers as flawed due to allegations of vote rigging, disruption of opposition party meetings, and arrest and detention of opposition figures.\(^7\) Conté was re-elected for a third term in 2003 after an amendment to the constitution was passed allowing the president to run for an unlimited number of terms.\(^8\) Most opposition parties boycotted the 2003 election, and Conté won against a single, relatively unknown candidate.

---


\(^8\) This amendment, along with an extension of the presidential term from five to seven years, and removal of age restrictions for presidential candidates were approved in a national referendum in 2001.
The human rights record of the Conté regime after the transition to a multiparty system in the mid 1990s has continued to be marked by abuses and repression, including excessive use of force against unarmed demonstrators, torture of criminal suspects, including children, in police custody in order to extract confessions, prolonged pre-trial detention, the arrest and detention of opposition leaders and supporters, and harassment and arrest of journalists. The Conté government has largely failed to tackle the impunity that often accompanies these serious human rights abuses, particularly abuses committed by security forces.

In recent years, Conté’s health has deteriorated. Suffering from acute diabetes, he flew to Switzerland on at least two occasions in 2006 for emergency medical treatment, creating increasing speculation both within and outside of Guinea as to whether his condition allows him to govern effectively.

There has been a parallel deterioration in the state of the Guinean economy. Despite vast reserves of iron, bauxite and precious stones, Guineans are among the poorest people in the world, currently ranked 160 out of 177 on the United Nations development index. Guinea’s economy is plagued by corruption and inflation. In 2006, corruption watchdog Transparency International ranked Guinea as Africa’s most corrupt country. Inflation, which hovered around 4 percent in the late 1990s has skyrocketed, and currently hovers around 30 percent, dramatically eroding the purchasing power of most Guineans. Economic growth, which averaged about 4.5

---


percent in the 1990s, has slowed since 2000 to an average rate of about 2.5 percent a year.\textsuperscript{14} In 2002, the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the World Bank, and the African Development Bank suspended economic assistance to Guinea due to poor economic and political governance.\textsuperscript{15} In 2003, the European Union (EU) invoked Article 96 of the Cotonou Agreement to suspend all but humanitarian assistance to Guinea due to human rights concerns.\textsuperscript{16}

Guinea’s economic meltdown has brought with it political chaos, resulting in sacked prime ministers (the latest is the sixth in 10 years) and chronic cabinet reshuffling (as of April 2007, 172 different individuals have served as minister in Conté’s cabinet).\textsuperscript{17} Many observers attribute the disorder in part to rival clans vying for succession after Conté’s time in office is finished.\textsuperscript{18} Guinea’s military is rumored to be deeply divided along both generational and ethnic lines, and a military takeover is feared in the likely event that President Conté does not finish out his term, set to expire in 2010.


\textsuperscript{15} Ibid.


\textsuperscript{17} Human Rights Watch telephone interview with local civil society leader, Conakry, April 4, 2007.

As Guinea has slid deeper and deeper into economic and political chaos, there have been an increasing number of demonstrations by trade unions and other civil society organizations to protest economic deterioration and poor governance. In 2006 Guinea’s two largest trade unions emerged as significant players in Guinea’s political future by organizing two nationwide strikes—in February and June 2006—that effectively paralyzed the country for several weeks, shutting down schools and businesses. Although the unions formally represent only a small portion of Guinean workers and the Guinean population, the strikes were widely observed by the general population across the country, both in the formal and informal sectors. Most Guineans observed the 2006 strikes not by participating in organized rallies or marches in the street, but by staying home, and refusing to work. During the strikes, nearly all economic activity shut down, and transportation was nearly impossible for ordinary Guineans as most taxi drivers observed the strike.

The union’s demands during the 2006 strikes were essentially economic in nature: lower prices for basic commodities such as rice and gas, and higher salaries for workers. In each instance, the strikes were “suspended” by the unions pending the government’s fulfillment of promises for various economic reforms, promises which, according to union and other civil society leaders interviewed by Human Rights Watch, were never fulfilled.

Though the protests of 2006 were largely peaceful, if at times unruly, security forces responded to these strikes, particularly the June 2006 strike, with excessive and inappropriate use of force. In the course of a June 2006 crackdown, police and other security forces were involved in murder, rape, assault, and theft of both unarmed

---

19 Guinea’s two biggest trade unions are the National Confederation of Guinean Workers (Confédération Nationale des Travailleurs de Guinée, CNTG), led by Rabiatou Serah Diallo, and the Guinean Workers’ Union (Union Syndicale des Travailleurs de Guinée, USTG), led by Ibrahima Fofana. Until the early 1990s, the CNTG was the sole government-sanctioned union under Guinea’s one-party system. For historic reasons, it continues to represent primarily public sector employees. The USTG was created in 1994 with Guinea’s turn to multipartyism, and represents a larger number of private sector employees. However, both public and private sector employees are today free to join any union they choose.

20 Human Rights Watch interviews with diplomats, journalists, and civil society leaders, Conakry, April and June 2006.

21 Human Rights Watch interviews with union leaders, Conakry April and June 2006.
protestors and bystanders alike. According to research by Human Rights Watch, at least 13 protestors were killed by security forces. There have been no prosecutions of members of Guinean security forces for abuses committed during the June 2006 strike.

On December 16, 2006, Guinea’s President Lansana Conté traveled to Conakry’s central prison with his motorcade and personally secured the release of two close allies charged with embezzlement from Guinea’s Central Bank, reportedly telling his entourage, “I am justice.” The first, Mamadou Sylla, is alleged to be Guinea’s richest businessmen and had been arrested at his home earlier that month in connection with his allegedly unlawful removal of millions of dollars from the Central Bank. The second, Fodé Soumah, former Central Bank deputy governor, was also arrested for alleged complicity in the affair.

For the unions, who had “suspended” the 2006 strikes pending government economic reforms, the incident was the final straw. Several weeks later a new strike notice was issued. Citing executive interference in the affairs of the judiciary and the dire economic situation in the country, the unions called for an unlimited general strike as of January 10, 2007 until such time when there was a “return to the rule of law.”

Unlike the strikes of 2006, which focused almost exclusively on economic reforms, union demands in January 2007 were more overtly political, and included the nomination of a consensus prime minister with power to form a consensus government; review and renegotiation of certain mining, fishing and forestry agreements; and putting an end to corruption by prosecuting individuals charged with embezzlement of public funds. Union and other civil society leaders explained that they could no longer ignore the fact that the problems behind Guinea’s economic woes were essentially political in nature.

---


25 Mamadou Sylla is also alleged to hold a multi-million dollar “overdraft” at the Central Bank, an institution where individuals are not, in theory, allowed to hold accounts. Human Rights Watch interview with international correspondent, Conakry, February 8, 2007.

26 Human Rights Watch interviews with union and other civil society leaders, February 5 and March 15 and 16, 2007.


28 Human Rights Watch interviews with union and other civil society leaders, February 5 and 6 and March 15 and 16, 2007. Throughout the strike, leaders of Guinea’s ruling PUP party attempted to use the political nature of the trade unions’ demands to suggest that the strike was directed from behind the scenes by the political opposition parties in an attempt to wrestle power from them. Human Rights Watch interview with PUP member of the National Assembly, Conakry, March 17, 2007.
After the strike began on January 10, 2007, activities in Conakry and major towns across Guinea ground to a halt. The first few days of the strike were relatively peaceful. As schools, shops, and markets were shut, additional police patrols deployed around the streets of the capital Conakry and there were sporadic clashes with rock-throwing “youths.” As the strike wore on, however, and the standoff between the unions—who were increasingly clear that their primary and non-negotiable demanded was that President Conté cede many of his powers to a new consensus prime minister—and the government intensified, security forces engaged in a brutal crackdown on unarmed demonstrators.

During the first four weeks of the strike government security forces, including the police, the gendarmerie, and the presidential guard, also known as the “Red Berets,” would be responsible for the deaths of approximately 100 demonstrators; the wounding of hundreds more by gunshot; the beating, robbing, and arrest of scores of demonstrators and bystanders; and the harassment, arrest, and abuse of union and other civil society members. Human Rights Watch conducted detailed interviews with 79 victims and witness to the brutality that took place during the first four weeks of the strike, a selection of which are provided below.

**Lethal Use of Force**

During the first week of the strike most protestors were peaceful. There were no large-scale organized rallies or marches, and most demonstrators chose to observe the strike by staying at home and refusing to work. However in some locations, demonstrators burned tires and cars, and threw rocks, both at security forces as well as taxis and other commercial vehicles attempting to break the strike. Reports as to

---

29 The term the youths, or “les jeunes,” which appears in numerous instances throughout the report, is often used by Guineans to refer broadly to the “young generation,” and can refer to individuals well into their thirties. In this report, Human Rights Watch uses this word in its colloquial Guinean sense.

30 In Guinea, the gendarmerie is a military body charged with police duties among civilian populations. It falls under the responsibility of the Ministry of Defense.

31 Human Rights Watch interviews with eyewitnesses, Conakry, January 29 and 31 and March 19, 2007. Though most taxi drivers are not formal union members, some demonstrators saw attempts by those few taxi drivers attempting to do business as reflecting a lack of solidarity. Throughout the strike, youth demonstrators attempted to impede their circulation by erecting barricades and throwing rocks.
the manner of the police and gendarmes’ intervention indicate that the response was generally appropriate, and their efforts to disperse demonstrators consisted largely of non-lethal means such as tear gas and shots fired into the air. There were no reports of deployment of the presidential guard. As the strike continued past its first week, however, the intensity of confrontations between protestors and security forces increased, as described by an 18-year-old shoe shiner from one of Conakry’s outer suburbs, who reports being shot by police on January 18, 2007:

The day I was shot, I was going out to try to find some rice to eat. It was around noon. Once I made it to the main road, I saw a group of youths protesting on the street. Some of them were throwing rocks at a large group of nearby policemen, who immediately started shooting at us. I felt a sharp pain and looked down and saw blood flowing from my leg and I fell down. I couldn’t walk. A group of youths picked me up and started to carry me to the hospital. But a group of police started firing straight at them and they panicked, dropped me on the ground, and ran off. The police came up and I heard one of them say they should kill me. Then one of them kicked me in the face and I felt the blood start to run. The police left me there and a little while later another group of youths took me to the hospital.

During the same period, one resident of Conakry’s Hamdallaye neighborhood interviewed by Human Rights Watch described being shot by the police in front of his

---

32 There are two divisions within the Guinean army that have the authority to wear Red Berets—the Autonomous Presidential Security Battalion (Bataillon Autonome de la Sécurité Présidentielle, BASP), or presidential guard, stationed primarily in and around Conakry, and the Autonomous Battalion of Airborne Troupes (Bataillon Autonome des troupes Aéroportées, BATA), an elite group of commandos stationed at Camp Alpha Yaya on the outskirts of Conakry and throughout the interior of the country. Human Rights Watch interview with a former member of the Guinean military, Conakry, July 1, 2006. It is not possible for a civilian to distinguish between a member of the BASP and the BATA based on differences in uniform. Human Rights Watch interview with Colonel Mouniè Donzo, commander of the BASP, Conakry, March 16, 2007.

33 Central and downtown Conakry are located on a long, narrow peninsula. They are home to Conakry’s business district, and the majority of residents come from the Sousou ethnic group, the dominant ethnic group in Guinea’s lower coastal regions. As that peninsula widens at its base, it gives way to Conakry’s suburbs, or banlieue, where neighborhoods are dominated by Guinea’s other major ethnic groups: Peul and Malinké. There are pockets of wealth and large areas of poverty throughout Conakry.

34 Human Rights Watch interview with eyewitness, Conakry, January 31, 2007. Human Rights Watch interviewed this witness as he lay prostrate on the floor of his home, his badly wounded leg braced with shoddy cardboard splints. The witness told Human Rights Watch that the bullet passed through his thigh and shattered his femur.
house as he stepped outside to make a telephone call. The victim reported that a policeman sitting on top of a passing truck fired directly at him, hitting him three times in the hip and thigh. Another witness in the same neighborhood described seeing a rock-throwing protestors shot in the foot as a group of gendarmes fired at the ground in an attempt to disperse protestors.

“The Human Tide”

While as many as a dozen deaths were reported in the first 10 days of the strike, the death toll would rapidly escalate on Monday, January 22, when union leaders, civil society coalitions, and other community groups mobilized tens of thousands of demonstrators for a march from Conakry’s suburbs into central Conakry in which many dozens of protestors were killed by security forces and many more wounded by gunshot. Witnesses interviewed by Human Rights Watch referred to the stream of protestors as a “human tide,” some of them claiming it was the single largest crowd they had ever witnessed in Guinea. Protestors interviewed reported that when leaving their houses that morning, they felt that the day would be decisive, one way or another. As one protestor put it, “When we came out on Monday, we were determined to change the system in place. It’s been 48 years since independence, and we have nothing.”

The goal of most demonstrators was to reach the headquarters of the National Assembly, known as the People’s Palace (Palais du Peuple), a dozen kilometers from Conakry’s suburbs. Though there were sporadic instances of rock throwing in the outer suburbs during the early morning hours, as the marchers coalesced into

39 Human Rights Watch interviews with heath services professionals, Conakry, February 7. Two heath services professionals interviewed by Human Rights Watch maintained that there were over 70 individuals killed on January 22 in Conakry, and at least 150 wounded.
streams flowing down the main arteries towards central Conakry, those interviewed by Human Rights Watch—both protesters and international observers—reported that protestors were unarmed and marching peacefully. Marchers reported that they carried signs and banners bearing slogans such as “Down with Conté,” “We want change,” and “Down with the PUP.” Many protestors told Human Rights Watch that order among the marchers was kept by informal overseers, one of whom explained his role as follows:

During the march on January 22, I was one of the stewards. Our role was to keep marchers from throwing rocks and engaging in acts of vandalism. We wanted to improve upon the marches of January 17, where some youths had thrown rocks and wanted to insult the police. And even that morning, near Bambeto, there had been a group throwing rocks, so it was important to calm things down. Those playing this role were just organized informally, and were older guys from the neighborhood who the youth respected. We didn’t get orders from on high.

As the protestors made their way into central Conakry, there were a number of encounters with large groups of police and gendarmes stationed at various strategic crossroads. Though police and gendarmes did in many instances attempt to disperse the advancing protestors using tear gas and shots fired in the air, eyewitnesses interviewed by Human Rights Watch report that in several instances security forces fired directly into the advancing crowd when non-lethal means failed to stop the advance, resulting in deaths in the neighborhoods of Hamdallaye and Dixinne.

Many protestors interviewed by Human Rights Watch reported that, rather than fleeing the gunfire, crowds of demonstrators picked up the bodies of the dead, and

44 Human Rights Watch interviews with multiple demonstrators, Conakry, January-February 2007. The Party for Unity and Progress (Parti de l’Unité et du Progrès, PUP) is the ruling party to which President Conté belongs.
45 A neighborhood in Conakry’s outlying suburbs.
continued their march towards security forces while carrying the bodies above their heads.48 The following account is typical:

When we arrived in Hamdallaye, there were lots of police and gendarmes and there was lots of firing in the air and lots of tear gas. But it wasn’t frightening people, so they fired straight into the crowd. There was no stone throwing at Hamdallaye. Nothing. Two died there that I saw. One was hit in the forehead, and the other in the chest. I don’t know if it was a policeman or gendarme who fired those particular shots. We took the bodies and put them on a piece of corrugated tin and carried them on our heads. The security agents backed off as we approached with the bodies and we passed forward towards Bellevue.49

Another witness interviewed by Human Rights Watch, a 38-year-old teacher in a Koranic school, described an encounter in Dar-Es-Salam, one of Conakry’s outlying neighborhoods, between police and demonstrators:

That morning, I went down to the main road to see what was happening. When I arrived, there were people on the street chanting, “Down with Conté,” “Down with the regime,” and “Down with dictatorship.” There were no rocks being thrown. I saw a police minibus come up the street. It was loaded with officers, I don’t know how many. There was one sitting in the back. I saw him point his gun at the crowd and fire a single shot. It hit a protestor, a young man in the face and killed him. They did not fire any gas that I saw. At that point, the crowd got really angry and started to move toward the bus, and the policemen in the back fired in the air to scare people, and then they drove off. I saw some of the demonstrators take blood from the dead body and smear it on their faces.50

Ultimately, security forces stationed at many strategic crossroads chose to retreat in the face of the peacefully advancing demonstrators, whose numbers were increasing by the hour.\textsuperscript{51}

\textit{The November 8 Bridge}

Conakry is situated on a long, narrow peninsula, at points barely wider than 500 meters across. To reach the National Assembly from Conakry’s suburbs, nearly all major road arteries pass near a narrow choke point, known as the “November 8 Bridge.” On January 22, 2007, dozens of security forces, including the police, gendarmes, and members of the presidential guard, were stationed in a line across the bridge, creating a barrier to any advance beyond the bridge to the National Assembly building or anywhere else in the city center.\textsuperscript{52}

As groups of protestors reached the November 8 Bridge, security forces attempted to disperse them with tear gas and with shots fired in the air.\textsuperscript{53} When that failed, witnesses told Human Rights Watch that security forces, in particular the Red Berets, fired directly into the crowd.\textsuperscript{54} Journalists and officials from humanitarian organizations interviewed by Human Rights Watch estimate that between 10 and 20 individuals were killed at the bridge, though many more likely subsequently died due to injuries suffered.\textsuperscript{55} One foreign diplomat who was able to view the scene at the bridge, provided the following account:

\textsuperscript{51} Human Rights Watch interviews with eyewitnesses, Conakry, January 29 and 30 and February 6, 2007. During its time in Guinea, Human Rights Watch watched several videos taken by demonstrators on January 22, 2007 that show large groups of police choosing to retreat in the face of peacefully advancing protestors.

\textsuperscript{52} Human Rights Watch interviews with diplomats and eyewitnesses, January 29 and 30 and February 5 and 6, 2007.

\textsuperscript{53} Human Rights Watch interviews with demonstrators, Conakry, January and February 2007.

\textsuperscript{54} Human Rights Watch interviews with multiple eyewitnesses, Conakry, January 29 and 30 and February 5 and 6, 2007. There are persistent reports that some of the soldiers participating in the crackdown, and particularly at the November 8 bridge on January 22, were, in fact, not Guinean, but a combination of troops sent from neighboring Guinea-Bissau, and Liberian mercenaries recruited by the Conté regime. “Fighters Cross Border,” IRIN, January 22, 2007. The governments implicated have denied these allegations. Human Rights Watch interview with Colonel Mounié Donzo, leader of the BASP, Conakry, March 16, 2007. “Bissau Army Denies Sending Troops to Conakry,” IRIN, January 23, 2007. Human Rights Watch was unable to verify these reports. However, a number of witnesses told Human Rights Watch that they had heard soldiers speaking in Portuguese and English on January 22 and saw soldiers wearing uniforms that they had never seen before. Human Rights Watch interviews with eyewitnesses and journalists, Conakry, January 29 and February 1 and 8, 2007.

\textsuperscript{55} Human Rights Watch interview with journalists, humanitarian organizations, and medical personnel, Conakry, February 1, 7, and 8 and March 16, 2007.
From what I saw of the march of the 22, security forces were firing on an absolutely peaceful march. The protestors had no stones or arms of any kind. At first, security forces did use tear gas to try to push people back. But then the first wave of protestors that was advancing toward the bridge got closer and closer. One protestor was carrying a Guinean flag. A group of protestors got down on their knees in a non-threatening position in front of the soldiers. But the soldiers fired at the one in the first row holding the Guinean flag, as he stood there on his knees. They literally fired directly into the crowd. The Red Berets were firing, but so were the police and gendarmes. Several were wounded at the bridge, hit in the stomach, so they couldn’t have been firing in the air. I saw police kick those who were already lying prostrate wounded on the ground, so the security forces were clearly over-excited. Groups of protestors would disperse in all directions only to come back again. I don’t know why the demonstrators kept advancing. Maybe they thought because they weren’t armed, they wouldn’t be hurt.56

As security forces fired into the crowds approaching the November 8 Bridge, many demonstrators attempted to flee. Eyewitnesses interviewed by Human Rights Watch report that security forces stationed at the bridge went so far as to target fleeing unarmed demonstrators who could in no way pose a threat to their safety. One demonstrator described the situation as follows:

As we neared the bridge, we were chanting, “We don’t want Conté anymore.” We also had a Guinean flag. We had no stones, no arms of any kind. Our only weapons were little tree branches that we were waving above our heads. Around 2 p.m., we arrived at the bridge. There were police, gendarmes, and Red Berets there. The police were there in strong numbers. There were fewer Red Berets, but I tried to stay closer to the police because the Red Berets were firing more. I saw the Red Berets firing straight into the crowds and several people fall on the ground. We panicked and tried to flee. As my friend was trying to

climb a wall into a nearby cemetery to get away, someone fired and hit him in the shoulder. He fell down and tried to climb again, and they shot him again in the lower back side. I knew if I tried to climb the wall they’d shoot me too, so I ran towards the police because they weren’t firing as much and they captured me. They struck me with their rifle butts on the back and arrested me.  

Other demonstrators who managed to flee beyond the range of security forces firing from the bridge found themselves trapped as their advance away from the bridge was met by groups of police and gendarmes coming from the other direction. Pinned down between two sets of security forces, many fleeing demonstrators attempted to hide in neighboring houses, Conakry’s central mosque, and even Camp Boiro.  

One witness described being caught by security forces while trying to hide:

After I fled from the bridge, they had barred most of the roads, so lots of people were trapped. Everywhere I looked, the police and gendarmes were beating and arresting people. I ran as far as the great mosque, and then I fled into a house with a small group of protestors to take refuge. The father of the house where we were staying told us to stay there, but later he came back with about fifteen green berets. They started beating the protestors in the house with rifle butts and clubs. They were saying, “Do you want a change or not?” We understood that if we said, “We want change” they would keep beating us, so we said, “No, we don’t want change,” and they let us go.

58 Human Rights Watch interview with eyewitnesses, Conakry, January 29, 30 and February 6, 2007. Camp Boiro is a former notorious gulag-style prison camp where Sékou Touré imprisoned thousands of political dissidents. Today, it is no longer used as a prison facility, but to house members of the Guinean military and other security forces.
59 Both the gendarmes and regular Guinean military wear green berets. When asked, the victim was not sure to which group his assailants belonged, though it is likely that the Green Berets in question were gendarmes as regular Guinean military were confined to their barracks during the first weeks of the strike. Human Rights Watch interview with diplomat, Conakry, January 30, 2007.
60 Human Rights Watch interview with victim, Conakry, January 30, 2007. The victim had large scabs all over his arms and buttocks, which he said resulted from the beating.
“The Day of Hell”; Treating the Wounded in Conakry’s Hospitals

As the body count increased over the course of the strike, scores of dead and wounded flooded into local hospitals. Medical personnel on duty on January 22, 2007, the single heaviest day of deaths and injuries during the entire six-week crisis period, described the difficulties of treating the unexpected influx of wounded:

Monday the 22 was the day of hell. We simply weren’t prepared for it. Early that day we saw smoke near Hamdallaye\textsuperscript{61} and we knew it would be bad. Between 9:30 and 10 o’clock, we saw the first crowds approaching. There were already two bodies being carried by the protestors. We asked where they had been killed, and they said “at Kerfalla’s house.”\textsuperscript{62} Ten minutes later all hell broke loose. Injured were flowing in at three to four per minute. There was a crazy terror throughout the hospital. We were seeing lots of head wounds. Not just simple bullet wounds, but entire heads exploded. The wounds we saw were more serious than I ever saw during the rebel attacks in the forest.\textsuperscript{63} The Red Cross and the youths were sending bodies, especially from the bridge using plastic mats, mostly of wounded young. I saw doctors crying, saying, “Why are they firing on their own people?” We were working on patients laid out on the ground in the hospital. There was literally nowhere else to put people. And there was nothing at the hospital in terms of supplies. Many died before we could even treat them.\textsuperscript{64}

In what was a blatant instance of excessive use of force against injured persons, several witnesses, including medical personnel trying to attend to the wounded, described seeing the security forces in green uniforms firing a tear gas cartridge directly in front of the emergency room as patients were being treated:

\textsuperscript{61} A neighborhood in Conakry’s outlying suburbs.

\textsuperscript{62} General Kerfalla Camara is chief of staff for Guinea’s army. He has a house in central Conakry. Human Rights Watch interviewed several witnesses who report that on January 22, members of the presidential guard, or Red Berets, fired on demonstrators near General Kerfalla’s house, resulting in as many as five killed. Human Rights Watch interviews with eyewitnesses, Conakry, January 30, 2007.

\textsuperscript{63} In late 2000 and early 2001, the Liberian government, assisted by Sierra Leonean rebel fighters and Guinean dissidents, launched a series of cross-border attacks into Guinea, accusing Guinea of hosting and providing support to a Liberian rebel group, the Liberians United for Reconciliation and Democracy (LURD).

\textsuperscript{64} Human Rights Watch interview with a health services professional, Conakry, February 1, 2007.
At one point, they launched a tear gas cartridge into the hospital courtyard, right in front of near the emergency room where we were treating people. A group of doctors went out to confront them and said, “Go ahead, kill us too. Kill all of us.” We told them, “You are Guinean like us. Come in and we’ll show you what you have been doing since this morning.” I wanted them to come in to see the wounded but they lowered their weapons and they refused. There were no protestors in the courtyard when they fired the gas, and it wasn’t a stray gas cartridge. Everyone knows that area is the emergency room where protestors were being treated, so I think it was fired on purpose. Later on, a Red Beret did come into the hospital because his brother, a protestor, had been killed. First he was furious, saying that he was going to avenge his brother’s death. But then he started to cry, saying, “We told them not to fire. We told them not to fire.”

Beating, Arrest, and Robbery of Protestors and Bystanders

Throughout the first four weeks of the strike, as protestors dispersed from major roads into surrounding neighborhoods, police and gendarmes pursued them, at times for up to over a kilometer from the main road where the demonstrations were taking place. Human Rights Watch interviewed dozens of eyewitnesses who allege that, as security forces entered the neighborhoods, they rampaged through the homes and businesses of local residents, beating and robbing not only the protestors, but many others including women, children, and elderly men who had not participated in the protests. One man of 47 told Human Rights Watch that the police broke into his house and robbed him, just as he was planning to leave for eye surgery in Dakar, Senegal:

On Friday, January 26, around 10:30 a.m., I was lying down in my one-room house with no lights on. Around five police broke the door down. One of them said, “Kill him.” I replied, “You’re going to kill a sick person?” They pulled an iron bar off the door and hit me with it on my back. Then they searched the house. They stole eight million CFA [West

65 Human Rights Watch interview with a health services professional, Conakry, February 1, 2007.
African CFA francs, about US $16,000] that I had in a bag to go get eye surgery in Dakar. Then they grabbed me and tried to take the phone from my pocket. I said, “Please leave me this, you took everything else.” Then they hit me on the left temple with a rifle butt. Before this happened, I had been trying to get to the airport to go to Dakar for treatment, but it wasn’t possible to get there due to all the gunfire. Now I don’t know how I’ll ever get treated.66

In the course of their expeditions into the neighborhoods, security forces arrested many individuals having little to do with the protest. Many of those arrested later interviewed by Human Rights Watch said that they were taken to police detention facilities, beaten, held for several days, and freed only after family members bribed police officers to secure their release. A man in Conakry’s outer suburbs who had not taken part in the strikes described his arrest at his home on January 17, 2007:

The day the police arrested me, I was sitting home with my mother, wife, and baby. Around two o’clock that afternoon, my wife screamed, “Get up, soldiers are coming!” Just then, two tear gas cartridges were fired into the courtyard, and about fifteen policemen burst in. I think they were from the CMIS.67 They were all dressed in black. As they burst in, my mother passed out and fell down on the ground from the stress. I heard them saying in Sousou,68 “Let’s go, let’s go, they have money,” and one of them fired four times in the air with his rifle. I stood in the doorway to the house and didn’t want to let them through, but they pushed me and we got into a tussle. One of them hit me from behind with a rifle butt. Another slapped my wife, who fell down holding our one-month-old baby. Then one of them beat me on the

66 Human Rights Watch interview with eyewitness, Conakry, January 31, 2007. Human Rights Watch observed bruises on the victim’s back and head, as well as the victim’s medical file demonstrating a history of treatment for eye problems. The victim’s door showed clear signs of forced entry and the interior of his house had been ransacked.

67 Within the police, there is a dedicated rapid-intervention unit known as the Mobile Intervention and Security Company (Compagnie Mobile d’Intervention et de Sécurité, CMIS), specially trained in crowd control and equipped with riot control equipment. Human Rights Watch interview with then-serving Minister of Security Fodé Shapo Touré, Conakry, February 7, 2007.

68 The Sousou are one of Guinea’s major ethnic groups, representing approximately 20% of the population, and are most numerous in the lower coastal regions of Guinea. It is the ethnic group of President Conté and many key members of both the government and the military.
ground with his pistol. They hauled me down to the main road and threw me in their truck and took me to the police station in Bellevue. The whole way there they were kicking me and slapping me. At the station, they handcuffed my hands under a bench and struck me thirty times or so with their boots and fists. They left me handcuffed like that for two days. My mother called my cousin who is a Red Beret, who came to Bellevue to free me. They paid 400,000 francs [Guinean Francs, about US$67] to free me. I later learned that after my arrest they stole my cell phone, my camera, 100,000 francs [Guinean francs, about US$17], a radio, along with my wife’s clothing and some food from our house. I don’t know why they chose our house, but it’s not the only one in the neighborhood they hit. Since then, I have decided I might as well strike and march with everyone else, because if they are going to kill you, they are going to kill you, even inside your own house.69

A 58-year-old teacher whose son was killed on January 22, described his arrest during his attempt to find his son’s body:

On Monday the 22, around 10:30 a.m., my son’s friend came to tell me my son had been hit by a bullet and was dead. I wanted to get the body, but when I got to the main road I was told that the protestors had taken it away as part of their march, so I decided to follow the march in the hopes of finding my son’s body. At Donka, I was told that my son’s body had been abandoned near Cameroun.70 By this time, many protestors were fleeing in the opposite direction, but I pressed forward. Near the CMIS police station, there was a group of policemen.71 They swept me up with another guy. Then they threw a couple of young kids into the truck like sacks. One policeman they

69 Human Rights Watch interview with eyewitness, Conakry, January 29, 2007. During Human Rights Watch’s visit, the victim’s door showed clear signs of forced entry and the interior of his house had been ransacked.

70 Donka is the name of one of Conakry’s main hospitals, located in central Conakry. Cameroun refers to both a neighborhood and cemetery near both the Donka hospital and the November 8 Bridge.

71 CMIS headquarters is not far from both Donka hospital and Cameroun.
called “Method” came and rapped me on the head and then ripped off my robe. He searched me and stole 200,000 francs [Guinean francs, about US$33] and my cell phone. I arrived at the police station practically naked. It was the CMIS. They took our names, and then put us in a cell. There were twenty-five people in a cell less than six meters squared. It was full of urine and feces. They didn’t interrogate us, but just wanted our name and neighborhood. Later we were transferred into a larger common room with around ninety-five people in it, all as naked as earthworms. The least noise and the police would come in and hit people with batons. There were many wounded and swollen protestors there. They were interrogating the union members next door. I recognized the faces of some of them as we were being transferred. Later we were transferred to a third room where we spent the night. I was freed the next day.

Harassment, Arrest, and Abuse of Members of Civil Society

Throughout the strike, leadership at the highest levels of government, including the executive branch, together with Guinean security forces engaged in what appeared to be an organized attempt to intimidate and silence union and other civil society leaders.

On January 13, members of a civil society coalition, the National Council of Guinean Civil Society Organizations (Conseil National des Organisations de la Société Civile Guinéenne, CNOSCG), were at their headquarters preparing for a march that was scheduled to take place on January 15. Youth members assembled there to paint signs and banners for the march with various slogans such as: “We are fed up, we want change,” “We are hungry, we want bread,” and “We have no water, and no electricity.”

72 For details on the arrests of union members, see below, Harassment, Arrest, and Abuse of Members of Civil Society.


74 A handbill produced for the march of January 15 by the CNOSCG advertised the event as a “gathering of citizens for peaceful public prayer for all religious confessions,” to be held before the National Assembly.

75 Human Rights Watch interview with members of CNOSCG, February 1 and 5, 2007.
CNOSCG members interviewed by Human Rights Watch report how that afternoon, a
group of seven or eight policemen burst into the courtyard, arrested seven of those
preparing the signs, and took them (along with the signs) to the central police
station, where they were interrogated and thrown into a cell with routine criminal
suspects before being released late that night after the intervention of civil society
leaders.\(^76\) The following day, January 14, the governor of Conakry issued an edict
banning all street demonstrations.\(^77\) The march planned for January 15 was canceled,
with the head of CNOSCG, Ben Sékou Sylla, stating that he would not “send the
population to the slaughterhouse.”\(^78\)

On January 17, leaders of the CNTG and USTG trade unions attempted to walk from
CNTG headquarters to the National Assembly building to deliver a letter containing
their demands to the president of the National Assembly.\(^79\) Witnesses interviewed by
Human Rights Watch describe the march as peaceful, with union members marching
in the lead, and youths from downtown Conakry behind them.\(^80\) Approximately
halfway to the \textit{Palais du Peuple}, however, police and gendarmes who had been
following the marchers fired tear gas and warning shots to break up the march, and
arrested union members. One union member described the scene:

\begin{quote}
Suddenly, police were firing tear gas everywhere, and started hitting
people with clubs. Marchers fled in all directions. From what I saw, the
young were the biggest targets of the beatings. In total, seven union
members and one youth were arrested and taken to the CMIS police
station. When those of us who weren’t arrested managed to make it to
the National Assembly, Somparé received us and asked us what the
matter was.\(^81\) We refused to speak until our comrades were released.\(^82\)
\end{quote}

\(^76\) Human Rights Watch interviews with eyewitnesses and civil society leaders, Conakry, February 1 and 5, 2007.
\(^77\) Human Rights Watch interviews with civil society leaders, Conakry, February 5, 2007. See also, “Guinea police break up
demo, arrest union leaders behind strike,” Agence France-Presse, January 17, 2007.
\(^78\) Human Rights Watch interview with civil society leader, Conakry, February 5, 2007.
\(^79\) Located in downtown Conakry, CNTG headquarters, known as \textit{La Bourse de Travail}, is home to one of Guinea’s biggest trade
unions, the CNTG, as well as several smaller unions.
\(^80\) Human Rights Watch interview with union members and other eyewitnesses, February 5 and 6, 2007.
\(^81\) Aboubacar Somparé is the president of Guinea’s National Assembly.
\(^82\) Human Rights Watch interviews with union leaders, Conakry, February 5, 2007.
Those taken by the police reported that they were released several hours after their arrest and driven to the *Palais du Peuple*, allowing the letter to the president of the National Assembly, which was being carried by one of the arrestees, to be delivered.\(^83\)

Later that day, union leaders report that they were summoned to Camp Samory, an army base in central Conakry, where President Conté threatened to kill them.\(^84\) In an interview with Human Rights Watch, one union leader described the encounter between the union leadership and President Conté:

> We were taken into the president’s office. Chantale Cole was there, along with Fodé Bangoura and Kerfalla, but only Chantal Cole was close to the president.\(^85\) She had a list of our demands in her hand. There were Red Berets standing all around the perimeter of the room. Then the president said, “You have taken off my shirt. Only my pants are left. You have humiliated me, but I will kill you. If I kill you, I won’t have to answer for it to anyone, and no one will be able to do anything about it. Should I cut your head off, or just make you disappear? I could make you disappear and no one would ever know. If I lift my little finger, my guards will cut you up and eat you in front of me. Sooner or later, I will kill you. I’m just thinking about how I’m going to do it.”\(^86\)

According to the same union leader, after this speech, President Conté insulted them, and then allowed them to go home.\(^87\)

On January 22—the day tens of thousands of Guineans attempted to march from the suburbs to the *Palais du People*, and the day of the highest casualties—the headquarters of one of the two principal trade unions leading the strike, the CNTG,
was attacked by a combined group of police and Red Berets. According to union leaders and others present in the building, the Red Berets first arrived that morning, led by Ousmane Conté, the son of President Conté. Union leaders told Human Rights Watch that the security forces then broke down several doors on the upper level of CNTG headquarters and arrested six youths upstairs, who were taken to camp Koundara, where union members reported that they were each given 40 blows with a club before being released. Most union leaders were downstairs at the time of the first invasion, several of them observing Ousmane Conté from a window.

Later that afternoon, union members report that Red Berets and police returned to CNTG headquarters in greater numbers, with the police storming the top floor from the front of the building, and the Red Berets storming the lower floor from the back side of the building. One union member described the arrival of the police on the upper floor of CNTG headquarters:

I was on the top floor with one of the union leaders when the police came. Around 5 p.m., we heard lots of noise and decided to shut the door to the office we were in, and then hide in the bathroom connected to that office. There were around ten of us in there. Soon after, the police broke open both sets of doors and found us in the bathroom. Three policemen came into the toilet area. One of them looked like he was going to throw a tear gas grenade in and shut the door. But another policeman held his arm. We lifted our hands to surrender and they searched us. They took my money, 225,000 francs [Guinean francs, about US$37], and my cell phone. Then they beat us with clubs, saying, “You want to make a mess of things, we’re going to mess you up. We’re going to kill all of you.” They took the secretary general and took his glasses off and started to beat him all over with

---

88 In radio interviews and media reports, Ousmane Conté has denied any involvement in the incident, claiming that he was not in Conakry at the time.

89 Camp Koundara is a military base a short distance from CNTG headquarters, and is the headquarters of the BASP, sometimes known as the presidential guard, or Red Berets. Human Rights Watch interviews with union members, Conakry, February 5 and 6, 2007.

90 Human Rights Watch interviews with union members, Conakry, February 5 and 6, 2007.

91 Those interviewed by Human Rights watch did not observe the presence of Ousmane Conté during the second assault.
clubs. Then suddenly the three of them ran out and left us, so we shut the door to the toilet again. But a few minutes later a second group came. Three of them. We raised our hands and they searched us again, and found my second cell phone. Then they beat us again before arresting us and sending us to the truck outside. One of them said, “We’re going to kill all of you today.”

Union members told Human Rights Watch that as the combined police and Red Beret forces proceeded to ransack the building, overturning computers and smashing office equipment, a group of them converged in a room downstairs containing senior union leadership. One union leader reported her experience as follows:

Around 4:30 p.m., the Red Berets came back. We heard cries and insults outside the room we were in. Then ten or so burst into the room. There was one Red Beret and the rest were police. One of them said, “Fofana is here, Raby is here.” Then another said, “It’s here that you are planning your coup d’état. We’ll give you change.” They turned over all the computers and equipment. Seven of them seized Fofana and beat him, hitting him hard in the eye. They handcuffed him with difficulty because of his girth. One of them said, “Stick him with a bayonet, open up his head,” and we all screamed, “Oh God!” Then they emptied money from our pockets. They knocked us with their rifle butts as we were exiting. We were hurrying out of the room to avoid the blows. Then they put us in a pickup outside, but the truck was so loaded with union members, it couldn’t go up the small hill to get out of our parking lot. So they threw us all out and divided us into four trucks. We were first taken to the central police station downtown. We thought they were going to shoot us. One policeman pointed to Fofana and said, “Who handcuffed him?” But no one responded. By this point, Fofana could only see from one eye because the other was so swollen and there was blood on his face. Many of us cried from the sight of him.

92 Human Rights Watch interviews with union members, Conakry, February 6, 2007.

93 Ibrahima Fofana, leader of the USTG, and Rabiatou Diallo, leader of the CNTG, are the two primary union leaders who directed the strike.
We told the police, “He’s sick, he has diabetes.” One of them said, “We don’t care. You wanted change, we’ll bring it to you.” They took our names, and then put us back in the truck. There were police sitting on the rack above the pickup bed with their feet hanging down near our heads. If any of us moved, they kicked our head. Then they took us to the CMIS police station.”

Later that evening, the heads of the CNTG and USTG unions were taken from the CMIS police station to see President Conté at Camp Samory. A union leader interviewed by Human Rights Watch claimed that during this encounter President Conté appeared to have no prior knowledge of the invasion of CNTG headquarters and subsequent arrest of union members, and ordered their release. In all, union leaders reported that approximately 70 union members were taken to the CMIS police station where they were held until around midnight, before being escorted to their homes. A subsequent agreement between the unions, the government, and the Employers’ Association (Conseil National du Patronat) signed on January 27, 2007 officially “deplore[d] the invasion, destruction, and ransacking of the Bourse de Travail [CNTG headquarters]...and the arbitrary arrest of union leaders.”

**Intimidation of the Media During the First Weeks of the Strike**

In late 2006, Guinea became the last country in West Africa to allow private radio broadcasting, ending a 48-year-long state broadcasting monopoly when four private radio stations were granted licenses and began broadcasting. Despite this apparent embrace of freedom of expression guaranteed under both Guinea’s constitution as well as international conventions to which it is party, during the first weeks of the strike, a number of actions were taken by agents of the Guinean government to restrict the free transmission of information by private radio stations.

---

95 Human Rights Watch interview with union member, Conakry, February 5 and 6, 2007.
96 In 2004, the European Union suspended development assistance to Guinea due to human rights concerns. One condition imposed for the resumption of funding was the licensing for the first time in Guinea’s history of privately owned radio stations. Four licenses were granted in 2006 and in late 2006 private radio stations began broadcasting for the first time.
For example, journalists interviewed by Human Rights Watch report that FM transmission of Radio France International was disrupted by the government on at least two occasions during the strike. 98 On January 15, 2007, in separate visits to the various radio stations, then-serving minister of information, Boubacar Yacine Diallo, reportedly prohibited all private and community radio stations from broadcasting any material relating to the strike. 99 An employee for one of Guinea’s four private radio stations that persisted in broadcasting news about the strike told Human Rights Watch that he received a variety of threatening calls from government and anonymous sources during the first weeks of the strike, including from the minister of information himself. 100

The Strike Outside of Conakry

While the neighborhoods of Conakry were a hotbed of both demonstration and repression throughout the strike, demonstrations were not limited to those in the capital city, or to a particular region or ethnic group. Between January 17 and 23 there were sizable protests in nearly all major towns and regions of Guinea, including Télimélé, Koundara, Dalaba, Pita, Labé, Mamou, Sigui, Kankan, Kissidougou and N’Zérékoré, and at least a dozen deaths were reported in Labé, Mamou, Kankan, and N’zérékoré. 101 Although Human Rights Watch was not able to conduct an investigation in each of these towns due to time constraints, it did conduct interviews in the central Guinean towns of Mamou, Dalaba, and Labé.

The Case of Labé

Security forces in Guinea’s central Fouta Djallon region exercised considerably more restraint during the first weeks of the strike than their counterparts in Conakry, resulting in a significantly lower death toll. In Labé, the regional capital, witnesses report that city-wide marches occurred nearly every day of the strike, with some

98 Human Rights Watch interviews with journalists, Conakry, February 1 and 8, and March, 15, 2007.
100 Human Rights Watch interview with journalist, February 1, 2006.
eyewitnesses and government officials claiming that protestors marched more frequently in Labé than in any other town in Guinea. The majority of these marches were peaceful rallies, with no stone throwing, vandalism, or brutality on the part of security forces. However, on January 17, 2007, a group of protestors ransacked the official residence of the governor along with the private home of the prefect of Dubréka. Demonstrators also attacked the residence of the prefect, where one protestor was shot and killed.

Despite the frequent marching and destruction of government and private property, Labé only registered a single death and a handful of wounded during the first four weeks of the strike. Protestors and government officials interviewed by Human Rights Watch attribute the relatively low death toll to a number of factors, including organization by the trade unions and youth groups to prevent vandalism, and an apparent determination on the part of the governor of Labé to minimize the loss of human life. The governor of Labé provided the following account of the ransacking of his residence:

When my guards called me to tell me that the protestors were in front of my house, one of them was crying saying, “The protestors are going to kill us.” I told them not to fire, and to retreat if they had to. As governor, it is my decision to fire or not to fire. Windows and objects can be replaced, but life can’t. The soldiers at my house were armed. If I hadn’t given the order not to fire, demonstrators probably would have died when attacking my house. But I told them not even to fire in the air, because those bullets can come back down and kill people. If a

---

102 Human Rights Watch interviews with Abou Chéri Camara, the governor of Labé, civil society leaders, and demonstrators, Labé, February 3, 2007.

103 Human Rights Watch interviews with the governor of Labé, Abou Chéri Camara, civil society leaders, and demonstrators, Labé, February 3, 2007.

104 Dubréka is a prefecture in lower Guinea near Conakry. The prefect of Dubréka is originally from Labé and had built a large house there. Demonstrators report that they ransacked the home of the prefect of Dubréka because of pro-Conté statements he had made during the first week of the strike. Human Rights Watch interviews with demonstrators, Labé, February 2, 2007.


106 Human Rights Watch interviews with the governor of Labé, Abou Chéri Camara, civil society leaders, and demonstrators, Labé, February 3, 2007.
house like this had been attacked in Conakry, you would have had a lot of deaths.\textsuperscript{107}

Others interviewed by Human Rights Watch attribute the restraint shown by security forces in Labé during the first weeks of the strike, at least in part, to a tract that was circulated by a youth group after a protestor was shot in front of the prefect’s residence, containing a threat to kill three members of military families for every subsequent death of a protestor.\textsuperscript{108}

\textsuperscript{107} Human Rights Watch interview with the governor of Labé, Abou Chéri Camara, Labé, February 3, 2007.

\textsuperscript{108} In an interview with Human Rights Watch, leaders of the youth group referred to this as a “psychological tactic.” Leaders of the movement told Human Rights Watch that they subsequently received a message from an officer with the military in Labé indicating that the military had taken a firm decision that no further protestors would be killed. Human Rights Watch interviews with youth movement leaders, Labé, February 3, 2007.
Response to the Appointment of a New Prime Minister

On January 27, 2007, in a tripartite agreement signed by the Guinean government, the trade unions that called the strike, and the Guinean Employers’ Association (Conseil National du Patronat), President Conté agreed to delegate powers to a new consensus prime minister, who, for the first time in Guinea’s history, was to be head of government. In addition, the government agreed to take measures to reduce the price of rice and fuel; to ban the exportation of food, fishing, forestry, and petrol products; to allow judicial proceedings initiated against those “preying on the national economy” to continue without hindrance; and to establish a commission of inquiry to investigate abuses perpetrated during the strike. In return, the unions suspended the strike, and several weeks of uneasy calm followed as business and activities resumed across the country, and Guineans waited to see whom President Conté would appoint as prime minister, head of government.

The fragile truce would be short-lived. As the days passed, union leaders grew tired of waiting for an appointment and threatened to resume the strike on February 12 if no one was named. On February 9, in place of a “consensus” prime minister, President Conté appointed a longtime member of his cabinet, Eugène Camara, then serving as minister of state for presidential affairs. The appointment was widely perceived to be a betrayal of the agreement Conté had made with the trade unions, and provoked an immediate and violent response by protestors. One demonstrator recounted hearing the announcement:

---

109 The agreement was signed on behalf of the Guinean Government by then-serving Minister of State for the Economy and Finances Madikaba Camara. Union signatures include Hadja Rabiatou Serah Diallo, for the CNTG, Ibrahima Fofana, for the USTG, El Hadj Yamou Touré for the ONSLG, and Abdoulaye Balde, for the UDTG. El Hadj Youssouf Diallo signed on behalf of the National Employers’ Association.

110 Guinea’s constitution provides for a strong presidency and makes no mention of the office of prime minister. Previous prime ministers under President Conté were not head of government and did not exercise any real executive power. Under the tripartite agreement, President Conté agreed to delegate some of his power via presidential decree and letter of appointment to a “prime minister as head of government.” Some observers have noted that such an arrangement does not provide a firm foundation for stable governance, and that only a constitutional amendment can secure the powers of the new prime minister as head of government. See, International Crisis Group, “Guinea: Change or Chaos,” Africa Report N°121, February 14, 2007. Guinea’s last prime minister, Cellou Dalein Diallo, was sacked by President Conté on April 5, 2006 after he clashed with Mamadou Sylla (the wealthy businessman whom Conté freed from prison in December 2006) and then-serving minister for presidential affairs, Fodé Bangoura.
We had all been waiting for this announcement. But when I heard it, I almost could not believe it because it seemed like such a flagrant violation of the agreement between the unions and the government. This guy had been part of all the financial problems of this government, had been at the heart of the Conté regime for the last ten years. I was beside myself with anger. I turned off the radio and couldn’t listen to the rest. That night, the streets of Conakry filled with protestors. People were screaming, “We don’t want him, he’s Conté’s man,” “The government is a liar,” and “Conté doesn’t give a damn about us!”

Infuriated by the appointment, violent unrest ignited across the country. Human Rights Watch interviewed 36 victims of and witness to the chaos and brutality that took place in the two weeks that followed; a selection of those accounts is provided below.

Almost overnight what had begun as an organized, nationwide strike in mid-January transformed into general insurrection and chaos in which rioters attacked government installations, sacked the private homes of government and military officials (including a house belonging to President Vieira of neighboring Guinea-Bissau), burned tires, and, in at least one neighborhood, exchanged gunfire with security forces. In a few neighborhoods, some individuals took advantage of the chaos to rob their fellow citizens at makeshift checkpoints. Rioters raided and ransacked police and gendarmerie stations as well as the private homes of members of the military, seizing scores of arms in the process. In the course of one of these

---

112 Human Rights Watch interviews with eyewitnesses, Conakry, February and March 2007.
113 Human Rights Watch interviews with eyewitnesses, Conakry, February and March 2007.
114 Human Rights Watch interviews with demonstrators, community leaders, and military authorities, Conakry, March 14, 16, and 17, 2007. According the head of Guinea’s presidential guard, no more than one hundred arms were looted by civilians. Human Rights Watch interview with Colonel Mouniè Donzo, commander of the BASP, Conakry, March 16, 2007. Human Rights Watch conducted interviews with residents and community leaders in Conakry’s suburbs who acknowledge that citizens seized arms. One community leader explained to Human Rights Watch that: “During the February incidents we didn’t really have any problems, but we protected ourselves. We erected barriers at the entrance to the neighborhood and along the road. And yes, we had arms. January taught us that rocks were not enough to defend ourselves. Indeed the military knew not to come in here. We even moved around pretty freely during the state of siege.” Human Rights Watch interview with community leader, Conakry, March 17, 2007.
raids in Conakry, one soldier was beaten to death. One witness described the chaos in the Conakry neighborhood of Hamdallaye:

That weekend, after the announcement of Eugène Camara, it was really the law of the jungle. Right after the announcement, I went from my house to a nearby gas station and saw youths who were stealing money and phones, and beating citizens. Around 6 p.m. the next day, I saw local youths take a guy out of a BMW and chase him away in the same place. On Monday morning, the population ransacked the home of a colonel in the military who lives in the neighborhood, Colonel Cherif. In ten minutes they had emptied the entire house. According to what Colonel Cherif said later, they took sixteen combat rifles and ammunition. There were three soldiers guarding the house at the time who fled. Two of them escaped, but one of them was caught by the population and killed. They left his naked body in the street. The population then set fire to Colonel Cherif’s house. On the wall surrounding his house, they wrote “Quitte le Pouvoir”¹¹⁵ and “Fuck Conté.” That day same day, there was an exchange of gunfire between the population and soldiers not far from my house. I don’t know if they used the weapons taken from Colonel Cherif’s house, or guns people already had. I know of several Red Berets who were hit by bullets in my neighborhood, but I don’t know if any of them died. That same day, I also saw a Red Beret squat down, elbow on knee in combat position, fire and hit a boy carrying bread. I think he was twelve or thirteen years old. Most people would flee far off the main road whenever they saw soldiers come, but that kid was unlucky and caught out on the street.¹¹⁶

The unrest ignited by the nomination of Eugène Camara was not limited to Conakry. In the weekend that followed Camara’s nomination, clashes between civilians and

¹¹⁵ Tiken Jah Fakoly is a popular Ivorian reggae star known for his overtly critical lyrics of politicians and corruption. His song “Quitte le pouvoir,” or “Get out of office,” became an anthem to many young Guineans during the strike. Human Rights Watch interviews with demonstrators, Conakry, January and February 2007.

security forces were reported across the country in prefectural capitals such as Kankan, Kissidougou, Faranah, Labé, N'zérékoré, and Kindia. In Kankan, rioters reportedly attacked the city jail and released prisoners\textsuperscript{117} and one soldier was reportedly lynched by a mob after he fired on demonstrators, killing two.\textsuperscript{118} In Kindia, according to reports, protestors ransacked the local governor's office and security forces then fired upon the crowd, killing as many as seven people.\textsuperscript{119} In all, at least 22 civilians were killed in days immediately following the appointment of Eugène Camara.\textsuperscript{120}

In a communiqué, the National Council of Guinean Civil Society Organizations (CNOSCG) condemned the violence and destruction caused by the rioters. In a separate statement, the trade unions called for resumption of the strike starting Monday, February 12. However, it appeared that the unions and civil society were no longer able to control the masses of angry youth. When asked why the rioters did not wait for the trade unions to reactivate the strike and organize a peaceful protest, resorting instead to spontaneous violence and destruction of property, one individual told Human Rights Watch, “The population had gotten ahead of the unions. We weren’t following them anymore. Rather, it was for them to follow us. And we didn’t need the word of the unions to know that Eugène Camara was not acceptable.”\textsuperscript{121} On Sunday February 11, an anonymous SMS message was widely circulated from cell phone to cell phone:

The final assault begins tomorrow until the fall of the general. All together. The strategy is to march without vandalism. But wherever the military kills someone, look around there and ransack homes of military families. Send this message to others. Thank you.\textsuperscript{122}


\textsuperscript{119} “Renewed calls for strike in Guinea after 18 die in clashes,” Agence France-Presse, February 11, 2007.


\textsuperscript{121} Human Rights Watch interview with 32-year-old lawyer and neighborhood leader, March 19, 2007.

\textsuperscript{122} Human Rights Watch interviews with demonstrators, March 19, 2007. One demonstrator had saved a copy of the SMS on his phone and showed it to Human Rights Watch.
On Monday, February 12, youths from Hamdallaye, Bambeto, and other neighborhoods in Conakry's suburbs mobilized with the intention of marching towards the city center. Along the way they threw rocks and clashed with members of the security forces, including police and the military. After nearing the roundabout of Hamdallaye, around which there was a heavy presence of security forces, they were dispersed by security forces who fired tear gas and shot into the air, before turning their guns on the crowd. Victims and witnesses interviewed by Human Rights Watch described the deaths of several marchers during the course of these events. One witness who participated in the march described the events of that day:

We were thousands on the road that day—people were coming from all over. The youths at the front of the march were armed with rocks, but nothing else. When we got into Hamdallaye, the youths started throwing rocks at the police and soldiers, who started firing tear gas and shooting in the air in return. I saw about fifty armed men; police in black uniform, army in camouflage and about fifteen to twenty Red Berets. They were all working together to stop the youths from advancing. The youths and security forces clashed there for about one hour—the tear gas didn’t really bother us because we had cloths soaked and noses packed with gel to absorb the gas. But then, the armed forces started firing into the crowd. When this happened the youths dispersed everywhere to avoid getting shot. I ran into the neighborhood and hid together with about twenty others at the side of a house that was about fifty meters from the main road. We thought we were well hidden and were waiting for things to calm down so we could go back to the road. After about three minutes, we saw a soldier walking into the neighborhood. He was a Red Beret—he was dressed in camouflage and had a red beret. When he saw us he stopped and almost immediately took aim with his gun. As he did this we all bent down. However my friend Thierno was a little slow. I was trying to pull him down. He was right next to me when the Red Beret fired one round
hitting him straight in the right side of the head. Thierno’s blood flew all over me. I later had to wash it from my shirt. He died right there.¹²³

Some protestors were intercepted before reaching the roundabout of Hamdallaye. One witness told Human Rights Watch that his group was forced to flee before reaching Hamdallaye when a group of Red Berets arrived in a truck and fired into the crowd, killing his 26-year-old friend.¹²⁴

Guinea Under Martial Law

In the wake of the violence that exploded after the nomination of Eugène Camara as prime minister, President Conté signed a decree on the evening of February 12, 2007 declaring a “state of siege.” In addition to handing significant powers to the military, the decree banned all demonstrations and public gatherings and imposed at 20-hour-per-day curfew. It also authorized the military to detain or put under house arrest anyone deemed to present a danger to public security; to conduct searches of private property for weapons and monitor all means of communication without a warrant; and to exercise draconian restrictions on the media.

House-to-House Searches

Following the declaration of martial law the military used its search powers to go house-to-house though neighborhoods in Conakry such as Hamdallaye and Bambeto. These searches were ostensibly to recuperate the stolen arms that had been illegally seized from police and gendarme stations and the private homes of members of the military during the chaos that erupted after the nomination of Eugène Camara. However, Human Rights Watch interviewed scores of individuals who alleged that in the course of these searches, the military, most notably the Red Berets, engaged in behavior with no possible military or security justification, including theft, assault, murder, and in isolated cases, rape. One woman from Hamdallaye described the search of her house by soldiers on February 14, 2007:

125 Under Article 74 of Guinea’s constitution, the president may declare a “state of siege” by sending notice to the president of the National Assembly and the Supreme Court. “Law No 91/016/CTRN of December 23, 1991, Relating to States of Emergency and States of Siege,” provides that a “state of siege” may be declared in case “imminent peril to the internal or external security of the State.” Under Article 16 of Law no 91/016/CTRN, when such a declaration is made, “powers normally conferred to civil authorities for the maintenance of public order are transferred to military authorities.” Under most common law jurisdictions, a “state of siege” would be referred to as “martial law.” Conversely, “martial law” is a term that does not exist in the nomenclature of Guinean law. Human Rights Watch interview with the president of the Guinean bar association, Boubakar Sow, Conakry, March 16, 2007.

126 The curfew, which originally allowed circulation only between the hours of 4 p.m. and 8 p.m. was subsequently relaxed in two stages until circulation was allowed for 12 hours per day.

127 Under the decree, the military was permitted “to take any suitable measures to ensure the control of the press and publications of any nature, as well as radio or television broadcasts…”

128 Often counted among Conakry’s “quartiers chauds” or “hot neighborhoods,” Hamdallaye and Bambeto are often seen by residents and non-residents alike as hotbeds of the political opposition. These and other “quartiers chauds” tended to produce robust turnout for marches, rallies and other strike related activities throughout the six-week crisis period.
The ones who did this were dressed in camouflage with red berets. When they arrived we all ran into our rooms. I told my children [12, 13 years] to hide under the bed and then I went back to the door, which I'd locked. Soon, they started banging on the door and saying, “Open up you dogs, you bastards, get out, get out!” They were speaking in French, Sousou and a little Pulaar. My door is quite strong and it took them a while to break it down but they finally did. Then five or six of them rushed inside. I can’t remember the number; I was so afraid I’d kind of lost my head. When they got inside they were really angry and when they saw me hiding in the bedroom one grabbed me, threw me up against the wall and stuck his rifle into my neck. He was leaning against me pushing my head into the wall so that the others could get to work rifling through our things. They opened all the drawers, lifted up the mattresses and eventually found all the money: 400,000 francs [Guinean francs, about US$67] hidden in a mattress, 25,000 francs [Guinean francs, about US$4] in another place and my watch. As I was standing there with the gun at my neck my cloth wrap fell down, exposing my body, but he didn’t touch me. While this was going on I kept saying, “Sorry, please...Allah, Allah.” When the others were done, he let me go and then whacked me hard on the arm with his gun.

A businessman in the same neighborhood told Human Rights Watch that on the morning of February 14, a group of soldiers fired their guns at his front gate, broke into his home, and robbed his family at gunpoint, taking cash, jewels, and electronics equipment valued at approximately $20,000. A foreign diplomat told Human Rights Watch that on February 13, his house was forcefully entered and

---

129 The Peuls, also known as the Fulani, comprise Guinea’s largest ethnic group, forming approximately 40 percent of the population, and are the dominant ethnic group in middle Guinea. The majority of residents in some of the suburbs of Conakry that were hardest hit by security forces during the six-week crisis period, such as Hamdallaye and Dar-Es-Salam, are Peul. The language of the Peuls is called Pulaar. Sousou is the name of both an ethnic group and a language dominant in Guinea’s lower coastal regions.


ransacked by a group of eight Red Berets, who stole three cell phones and beat his nephew with a club in front of him and a Red Beret captain.\textsuperscript{132}

Another victim interviewed by Human Rights Watch reports that on February 13, she was caught by two Red Beret soldiers while cooking rice for her family and was gang raped:

That morning, we had heard shots fired all over, so nearly everyone was shut inside their homes, but I thought that because I am a woman, I could stay outside just cooking my rice without being bothered by the soldiers. Sometime between noon and 1 p.m., though, two soldiers burst in to the yard. They were wearing red berets and green uniforms, and were armed with guns. They were young, less than thirty years old. They told me to give them money, but I explained that I didn’t have any. Then one of them said, “You are the ones opposed to the government. This time, we’re going to kill all of you.” I could hear other soldiers in the vicinity knocking on neighbors’ doors and firing their guns in the air. I offered my rice to them if they would leave me alone, but they said, “We don’t care about rice. We already have that.” At that point, one of them struck me on the nape of the neck with his rifle butt and bent my arm back to push me into a nearby room. Inside, one of them slapped me and I was knocked to the bed. They told me to undress and one of them fired his gun out the window to scare me. Then one of them ripped off my cloth wrap while the other spread my legs apart. One of them raped me while the other one guarded the door. When the first finished, the second came and the first acted as guard. Before the second finished, I had almost lost consciousness. I was terrified, but at a certain point, I just wanted to die. I was exhausted from fear and I was sure that they would shoot me when they finished. But they didn’t. They fired in the air three times before leaving. After they left, I kept screaming until the neighbors came, who immediately went and told the imam nearby what had happened. The imam went out to the main

\textsuperscript{132} Human Rights Watch interview with eyewitness, Conakry, March 17, 2007.
road where a military truck was parked. He told the soldier in charge, “Now they are even raping our daughters!” But the soldier said, “We don’t give a damn.”133

The rape victim told Human Rights Watch that her family reported the incident to a member of the Red Berets, who came to the house to investigate:

The Red Beret who came said they were doing inspections in the neighborhood because there were people wearing military uniforms going around doing bad things, but who were not members of the military. However, I don’t believe that explanation because my neighbors saw the soldiers who invaded our neighborhood arrive that day in a military vehicle on the main road not far from my house. They can’t claim that criminals stole military uniforms and military vehicles.134

At least one other case of rape by security forces appears to have occurred during the state of siege in the same neighborhood.135

Curfew

The curfew imposed by the martial law decree of February 12, 2007 originally allowed circulation only between the hours of 4 p.m. and 8 p.m., but was relaxed starting February 14 to allow circulation between noon and 6 p.m.136 The shifting hours of curfew during the first days under martial law appeared to cause confusion among a number of witnesses interviewed by Human Rights Watch, potentially placing them in a dangerous position vis-à-vis security forces. Although the martial law decree said nothing about the penalty for being found outside during curfew, in practice the consequences for those found outside could be serious. One man suffering from

136 On February 19, the curfew was further relaxed, permitting circulation between the hours of 6 a.m. to 6 p.m.
paralysis in one leg told Human Rights Watch that he was beaten by Red Berets after being found outside during curfew, after all of his neighbors were able to escape on foot.\textsuperscript{137} Human Rights Watch interviewed two victims, girls of 13 and 18, who report that they were shot by security forces on February 13 at approximately 3:30 p.m.\textsuperscript{138}

Other victims interviewed by Human Rights Watch report being shot by security forces even though they were outside during permitted hours of movement. One victim, a boy of 13, described being shot by police on February 15 around four in the afternoon:

There were six of us boys sitting on the rocks outside the house overlooking the main road. Three of them were my age, but the others were our younger brothers. We were telling stories about our recent trips back to the village. We had been going out every day at the same time after curfew ended to get outside after being in the house all day. There weren’t many people out, but there were some people pumping water. We had been sitting out there for a long time when we saw a blue Mercedes coming down the road from Bambeto.\textsuperscript{139} No other cars were on the road. One of the kids in my group yelled, “The soldiers in that car are aiming at us!” I got up to run, but at that instant two of us were hit, both me and my cousin Mamadou. The bullet hit me on my left arm.\textsuperscript{140}

Other witnesses report that the military seemed less interested in whether they were circulating inside or outside of curfew than in robbing them of their valuables. Two witnesses, drivers of a heavy-transport truck making its way to Conakry from N’zérékoré carrying large quantities of palm oil told Human Rights Watch that they were robbed and assaulted by two groups of soldiers, both inside and outside of curfew, on February 13. The military authorized them to leave Coyah, about ninety kilometers from Conakry, at 4 p.m.:  

\textsuperscript{137} Human Rights Watch interview with eyewitness, Conakry, March 14, 2007.  
\textsuperscript{138} Human Rights Watch interviews with victims and eyewitnesses, March 1, 2007.  
\textsuperscript{139} A neighborhood in Conakry’s outlying suburbs.  
\textsuperscript{140} Human Rights Watch interview with eyewitness, Conakry, March 14, 2007.
At around 7 p.m. we arrived at the Cosa roundabout in Conakry and I saw a checkpoint of soldiers dressed in camouflage with camouflage helmets who ordered me to stop. Their white pick-up was parked next to them. A few of them told me they were going to seize my truck and take it to a military camp. They told me that I had to give them 500,000 francs [Guinean francs, about US$83]. After a few minutes, I gave them the money, including the 500,000 francs. I knew that if they took me to the camp we’d lose the merchandise and maybe the truck, so I guess it was a small price to pay. After giving them the 500,000 francs they ordered me to give them five jerry cans [25 litters each] of palm oil, which I did. At that moment, a Nissan Pajero four-by-four that was passing by stopped and the soldiers loaded the palm oil into the car. I didn’t see if the driver was a soldier, but noticed that the license began with “VA” which is what official state plates begin with. Then, three of the soldiers said they would get in the truck so as to escort me a kilometer or so to the car park. They said they wanted to protect me from any other bad soldiers who might want to steal from me. However, about two or three hundred meters down the road they ordered me to stop, pointed their guns at me and ordered me to give them the rest of my money and more palm oil. I gave them another 200,000 francs [Guinean francs, about US$33] and another three jerry cans of palm oil, at which point one of them shoved a gun at me and ordered me out of the truck. Then the two others searched through the cab of my truck and stole my cell phone and another 100,000 francs [Guinean francs, about US$17]. After this, they fired in the air a few times and told me to go to the garage. By that time, it was past the curfew so my two assistants and I decided to spend the night in the truck. But our troubles weren’t over!

The driver and his assistant told Human Rights Watch that around midnight yet another group of soldiers approached the truck, ordered the drivers’ two assistants

141 “VA” stands for Véhicule Administrative, or Administrative Vehicle. Many Guineans joke that it actually stands for Voleur Autorisé, or Authorized Thief.

to hand them 20 jerry cans of palm oil, and then fired a single shot point-blank, hitting one of the assistants, Ibrahim Bah, in the neck, before driving away. Mr. Bah died several minutes later.143

Stray Bullets and Reckless Fire

In the course of the six-week crisis period, security forces shot countless bullets into the air in an attempt to disperse crowds. In many instances, security forces fired bullets into the air even when there were no visible crowds to disperse, presumably in an attempt to frighten demonstrators into remaining in their homes.144 Situated on a narrow peninsula, land in Conakry comes at a premium, and the city is very densely populated. It was therefore entirely predictable that many of the bullets fired into the air would hit unintended targets when they fell back to earth.

Human Rights Watch interviewed several victims of stray gunfire, including a 34-year-old Koranic school teacher who reports that a bullet pierced the roof of his home and hit him in his left breast while he was sleeping on January 17.145 Another victim told Human Rights Watch that she was wounded by a stray bullet that entered her back as she bent over to wash clothes on February 13. She was six-months pregnant at the time.146 One Conakry mother of a 4-year-old child told human rights watch that on February 13 she awoke to find that a bullet had pierced her roof and struck her child in the leg.147 A man in the Conakry suburb of Matoto described the death of his four-year-old niece by a stray bullet:

On January 23, I was sitting down on the porch. My niece ran across the courtyard to another house. About half way across, we saw her fall down. At first, we understood nothing. We thought she was having a

143 Human Rights Watch interviews with truck driver and apprentice driver, Conakry, March 16, 2007. Human Rights Watch saw a photo of the assistant who was killed and an entry/exit wound from the neck through the chin, was clearly visible.
146 Human Rights Watch interview with victim, Conakry, March 15, 2007. The victim showed Human Rights Watch an x-ray in which a bullet lodged between the ribs, about one inch from her spine, was clearly visible. According to the victim, her doctor told her he cannot operate until her baby is delivered.
147 Human Rights Watch interview with eyewitness, Conakry, March 16, 2007. After three attempts, medical personnel were finally able to find and extract the bullet.
seizure or something. But when we lifted her head we saw blood all over the ground. There was a hole in the top of her head and we realized it was a stray bullet.\textsuperscript{148}

Other victims interviewed by Human Rights Watch were injured by what can only be described as undisciplined and reckless fire. One victim, a mother of 41, described how on February 14, she ran inside her house with her children when she saw a group of six to eight Red Berets approaching:

After we entered, the Red Berets started beating the door with their guns, ordering all of us to open the doors. One of them shouted at me, “Open this door or I’ll kill all of you.” I was afraid and said, “Don’t shoot. I have children inside. Don’t shoot, let me open.” The door to my house has four different locks so it takes a while to open all of them up. I guess this angered the Red Beret because as I was opening the locks, he fired a bullet through my door and it grazed my right foot.\textsuperscript{149}

In another incident of reckless fire, two shooting victims described how on February 11, security forces in a white pickup traveling in advance of a passing convoy they believed to be the presidential cortège sprayed bullets as they traveled through the Conakry commune of Ratoma, presumably in an attempt to clear the road of would-be demonstrators before the arrival of the motorcade. Both victims told Human Rights Watch that they were shot while drinking tea at a restaurant located behind a large metal shipping container, some five meters off the main road.\textsuperscript{150}

**Intimidation and Arrest of Journalists**

Immediately before and after the declaration of martial law journalists interviewed by Human Rights Watch report that they were threatened, attacked, arrested, and

\textsuperscript{148} Human Rights Watch interview with eyewitness, Conakry, February 6, 2007.
\textsuperscript{149} Human Rights Watch interview with eyewitness, Conakry, March 16, 2007.
\textsuperscript{150} Human Rights Watch interview with victims, Conakry, March 15, 2007.
beaten by government agents—in particular the Red Berets—while attempting to report the news.

Guinea’s new private radio stations,\textsuperscript{151} in particular, appeared to be singled out for abuse by the military. In the afternoon of February 12, 2007, two of Guinea’s most popular private radio stations were besieged by the Red Berets. Witnesses interviewed by Human Rights Watch described an attack on the studio of FM Liberté by a group of 10 Red Berets who ransacked the station, picking up computers and throwing them to the ground, cutting wires with knives, and smashing other equipment with chairs.\textsuperscript{152} Two of the station’s employees were arrested and held for three days in a military prison before being released.\textsuperscript{153} One of them told Human Rights Watch that Red Berets beat, kicked, and spat on him, and put out a cigarette on his neck.\textsuperscript{154} Both employees told Human Rights Watch that the Red Berets accused them of “inciting the population to rebellion.” FM Liberté employees reported that prior to their arrest they were taking live phone calls from their journalists stationed around Conakry who were reporting on conditions in their area. FM Liberté did not resume broadcasting until late March 2007.

A second private radio station, Radio Familia, reported receiving an anonymous tip that same afternoon to leave the station:

That day, around 11 a.m., we were airing a program featuring listener call-ins. The theme was, “what do you think of the ransacking by the population? Is this the solution?” There were various opinions expressed by people who called in. Some were saying this isn’t the best method, because we all need what is being broken. Others, the majority, regretted the pillage, but said it was the government’s fault. Around 11:45, I received a call informing me that FM Liberté had been ransacked by the presidential guard. I was panicked. I tried to call the

\textsuperscript{151} For more information on the creation of Guinea’s first private radio stations, see above, Intimidation of the Media During the First Weeks of the Strike and Other Restrictions on Communication.

\textsuperscript{152} Human Rights Watch interviews with journalists, Conakry, March 15 and 16, 2007.

\textsuperscript{153} Human Rights Watch interviews with journalists, Conakry, March 15 and 16, 2007.

\textsuperscript{154} Human Rights Watch interviews with journalists, Conakry, March 16, 2007.
director of FM Liberté, but I didn’t get an answer. Then I received an anonymous call from someone who said that I needed to get out of the station and that I was in danger. I told him that I couldn’t leave my employees alone at the station and he said, “This is your life we are talking about. Soldiers have been sent to FM Liberté and you’re next.” After that phone call, my employees told me we had to shut down the station. So we all jumped into action in a panic, disassembling electronic equipment and sticking it in cardboard boxes that we did our best to hide. We turned off the transmitter and stopped broadcasting. Soon after, about twenty or so Red Berets came and stationed themselves around the building below. I heard them firing in the air. I went out on the balcony and looked down at the Red Berets. One of them yelled, “It’s you who are setting fire to the country, you’ll see!” Then they fired in the air again. The soldiers stayed ten minutes or so before leaving. I was later told by a member of the Red Berets that if we hadn’t stopped broadcasting, it would not have been good for us that day.155

Radio Familia did not resume broadcasting until after some days after the state of siege had ended. In the weeks that followed, Radio Familia reports that the National Communication Council (Le Conseil National de la Communication, CNC), the independent regulatory organ for the media in Guinea, accused the station of discussing issues outside the scope of a community radio station and threatened to revoke its license.156 Radio Familia maintains that coverage of the strike is relevant to the community it serves.157

In addition to private radio stations, correspondents for both the international and local news media told Human Rights Watch that they had been physically harassed at the Conakry airport while trying to cover the arrival of different foreign presidents

who came to Guinea in an attempt to mediate the crisis.\footnote{Human Rights Watch interviews with correspondents for international and local news media, Conakry, February 15 and 16, 2007.} An international media correspondent told Human Rights Watch that during the state of siege, a group of Red Berets came and shot at the wall in front of his house in what he perceived to be an attempt at intimidation.\footnote{Human Rights Watch interview with a correspondent for international news media, Conakry, February 16, 2007.}

Even after the martial law crisis ended, Red Berets have continued to harass and abuse journalists. A journalist from one of Guinea’s private radio stations told Human Rights Watch that on March 13, 2007 a Red Beret struck him and tried to prevent him from covering the arrival of President Wade from Senegal, telling the journalist, “Only RTG [state media] is allowed here.”\footnote{Human Rights Watch interview with journalist, Conakry, March 14, 2007. Human Rights Watch also listened to a recording of the soldier’s statement.} On March 27, 2007, a member of the presidential guard reportedly attacked a journalist from a privately owned newspaper and damaged his camera. The journalist had gone to the headquarters of the ruling party, the PUP, to cover a news conference, and attempted to take a picture of President Conté while he was addressing the conference.\footnote{“Guinea: Journalist Attacked By Presidential Guard, Party Supporters,” Media Foundation for West Africa, Press Release, March 30, 2007.}

Resolution of the Martial Law Crisis

Under Article 74 of Guinea’s constitution, while the president may declare a state of siege for up to 12 days, any extension beyond 12 days must be approved by the National Assembly.\footnote{Constitution of the Republic of Guinea (la Loi Fondamentale), Title V, Article 74.} As the state of siege neared its expiry date of February 23, President Conté requested the National Assembly to extend it, and on state radio General Kerfalla Camara, the head of Guinea’s army, ordered Guinean citizens to return to work, putting the military on a possible collision course with the trade unions.\footnote{Saliou Samb, “Guinea on Edge After Army Orders End to Strike,” Reuters, February 24, 2007.} In a surprise move, however, the National Assembly—composed nearly entirely of members of the ruling PUP party,\footnote{Out of 114 members, 95 are members of the PUP. Human Rights Watch interview with member of the National Assembly, Conakry, March 17, 2007.} and usually considered to lack...
independence from the executive branch of government—voted unanimously against the extension.¹⁶⁵ In explaining the historic vote, one PUP deputy told Human Rights Watch that he felt that the state of siege was simply no longer necessary to prevent vandalism.¹⁶⁶ With support within his ranks crumbling, and rumors of discontent and schism in the military,¹⁶⁷ Conté agreed to name a new prime minister from a short-list provided to him by the trade unions several days later. On Feb 27, Lansana Kouyaté, a diplomat, and one of the names proposed by the unions, was named prime minister. Soon after, the trade unions once again “suspended” the strike.¹⁶⁸

---

¹⁶⁵ Human Rights Watch interview with member of the National Assembly, Conakry, March 17, 2007. This was the first time the National Assembly had ever rejected a Conté initiative.

¹⁶⁶ Human Rights Watch interview with member of the National Assembly, Conakry, March 17, 2007.

¹⁶⁷ During this same period of time, a tract circulated within the army threatening that an “unfortunate situation” could arise unless pay and rank increases were given to members of the military. The tract being distributed was entitled “Alert Pacific, negligence des sous-officiers et homes du rang dans les nominations,” and made allusion to the events of 1996, where demands for better pay spawned a mutiny and attempted coup d’état that destroyed Presidential offices and killed several dozen Guineans.

Government Response to Killings and Other Strike-Related Abuses

The Guinean government has legal obligations under several international and African human rights treaties, including the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, and the African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights, which require it to respect the right to life, right to bodily integrity, right to liberty and security of the person, and freedoms of expression, association, and assembly.169 Guinea does have the right to impose legitimate restrictions on many rights including the right to liberty and security of the person and the three freedoms of expression, association, and assembly when it is necessary for public order or security, and indeed enjoys the right to derogate from these rights in times of emergency. No derogation is permitted from the right to life or the right to bodily integrity. Permissible restrictions or derogations must be set out in law and are only legitimate to the extent strictly required by the situation. In the case of restrictions resulting from a formal derogation in time of emergency, they must also only last as long as is absolutely necessary. Therefore while some of the actions taken to curtail full exercise of political rights, particularly after the violence of February 9, may fall within legitimate action, many of the actions of the security forces during the six-week crisis period, in particular the use of violent force resulting in injury and death, cannot be reconciled with Guinea's human rights obligations.

The Guinean Ministry of Health has acknowledged that as of March 19, 2007, the strike and the violent response to it had resulted in the deaths of 129 individuals, and 1764 wounded.170 Civil society leaders attempting to investigate strike-related abuses told Human Rights Watch that the true death toll may never be known because many victims never went to a hospital or a morgue, but were quickly buried by their families in accordance with Islamic tradition.171 In addition, medical

---


170 Human Rights Watch telephone interview with the president of the Crisis Committee, Mr. Diakité, an organ of the Guinean Ministry of Health created to distribute strike-related medical aid received from international donors and monitor the number of dead and wounded, Conakry, March 19, 2007.

personnel interviewed by Human Rights Watch emphasized that victims seriously wounded during the strike continue to succumb to their injuries.  

In the course of its investigation, Human Rights Watch interviewed a number of Guinean civilian and military authorities, and asked them to explain the role of defense and security forces during the strike and address allegations of wrongdoing.

The Police

The primary institution charged with crowd control in Guinea is the police, which falls under the control of the Ministry of Security. In the course of its investigation, Human Rights Watch interviewed dozens of witness who alleged that police officers, under the guise of maintaining public order, were involved in murder, assault, and robbery of civilians. However, despite the high death toll and other abuses described in this report, then-serving minister of security, Fodé Shapo Touré, told Human Rights Watch that he was not aware of any cases of excessive use of force by his agents in the exercise of their duties during the strike, but noted that this will ultimately be for a subsequent investigation to determine. According to the minister, prior to the strike instructions were given to police to use “all conventional means” to maintain order, including “batons, helmets, riot shields, and tear gas,” but not firearms. The minister noted that many police were wounded during the strike due to projectiles thrown by demonstrators, and that a number of police stations and even private homes of police officers were ransacked during the demonstrations.

The Military

In the course of its investigation, Human Rights Watch collected dozens of reports from victims and eyewitnesses alleging the involvement of the military, and particularly the Red Berets, in murder, rape, assault, and robbery. Officially known as

---

174 Ibid. According to the minister, within the police, there are specially trained intervention units, such as the Mobile Intervention and Security Company (Compagnie mobile d’intervention et de sécurité, CMIS), numbered at 300-400 (also known locally as the “anti-gang”), who have all had training in crowd control, and possess riot control equipment. Some 300 additional agents have also received training in crowd control
175 Ibid.
the Autonomous Presidential Security Battalion, (Bataillon Autonome de la Sécurité Présidentielle, BASP), the presidential guard, or Red Berets, is an elite military unit comprised of 200-300 men, charged with presidential security. Like most soldiers in Guinea, members of the BASP do not receive training in crowd control and do not possess riot control equipment.\footnote{Human Rights Watch interview with Colonel Mounié Donzo, commander of the BASP, Conakry, March 16, 2007.} In an interview with Human Rights Watch, the leader of the BASP, Colonel Donzo, denied that members of the BASP were involved in any human rights abuses during the crisis period, and noted that because of this, none of them have been sanctioned for actions taken.\footnote{Ibid.} According to Colonel Donzo, members of the BASP were given the order not to fire on protestors or individuals, and had for primary mission during the crisis to protect public property, such as the national radio and television stations, and to find stolen arms in certain sectors of Conakry.\footnote{Ibid.} Contradicting the testimony of numerous eyewitnesses interviewed by Human Rights Watch, Colonel Donzo maintains that Red Beret soldiers were not positioned at the November 8 Bridge, where tens of protestors were shot during the march of January 22, 2007, and were not involved in incidents involving the arrest and harassment of union leaders and journalists described in this report.\footnote{Ibid.}

Other military authorities maintain that during the unrest, criminals used military uniforms and stolen weapons to perpetrate abuses against individuals.\footnote{Saliou Samb, “Guinea Hunts Army ‘Imposters’ Who Robbed Civilians,” Reuters, March 21, 2007.} Human Rights Watch would note that many of the eyewitness it interviewed alleging involvement of Guinean soldiers in human rights violations saw the perpetrators not only wearing a military uniform, but in close proximity to military vehicles.\footnote{Human Rights Watch interview with eyewitnesses, Conakry, March 14, 15, 16, and 18, 2007}

The Gendarmerie

In Guinea, the gendarmerie is a military body charged with police duties among civilian populations. It falls under the responsibility of the Ministry of Defense. In the course of its investigation, Human Rights Watch collected numerous reports from

\footnotetext[176]{Human Rights Watch interview with Colonel Mounié Donzo, commander of the BASP, Conakry, March 16, 2007.}
\footnotetext[177]{Ibid.}
\footnotetext[178]{Ibid.}
\footnotetext[179]{Ibid.}
\footnotetext[180]{Saliou Samb, “Guinea Hunts Army ‘Imposters’ Who Robbed Civilians,” Reuters, March 21, 2007.}
\footnotetext[181]{Human Rights Watch interview with eyewitnesses, Conakry, March 14, 15, 16, and 18, 2007}
victims and eyewitnesses alleging the involvement of the gendarmerie in the shooting deaths of unarmed demonstrators. Unlike the police and the military, however, gendarmes do not appear to have been involved in assault and robbery of civilians in Conakry’s neighborhoods. Human Rights Watch sought an interview with the head of the Guinea’s National Gendarmerie, General Jacques Touré, but was told that in order to receive an interview, it would be necessary to pass though the minister of defense, a post which at the time was held by President Conté. Human Rights Watch did not attempt to contact President Conté during its stay in Guinea.

The Ministry of Justice

In late January 2007, then-serving Minister of Justice Alsény Réné Gomez announced the creation of a national commission of inquiry charged with investigating the deaths, injuries and destruction of property caused during both the January-February 2007 strike and June 2006 strike. Supervised and staffed by officials from the Ministry of Justice, the work of the commission was to be overseen by a committee of supervision that included representatives from the gendarmerie and the Ministry of Defense, as well as representatives from two trade unions. Guinea’s legal bar association unanimously voted to refuse membership on the committee of supervision due to concerns relating to the commission’s independence, stating that the government in place at the time could not be both “murderer and investigator.” Guinea’s biggest and oldest human rights organization, the Guinean Organization for Human Rights (Organisation Guinéenne de Défense des Droits de l’Homme et du Citoyen, OGDH), similarly declined to participate.

Many of those interviewed by Human Rights Watch concerning the national commission of inquiry, from diplomats, to UN representatives, to victims of strike-related abuses in Conakry’s suburbs, expressed extreme skepticism as to whether

182 Human Rights Watch interview in National Gendarmerie secretariat, Conakry, February 6, 2007. As of March 28, 2007, the post of minister of defense is held by Arafan Camara, formerly vice chief of staff in the Guinean Army.
183 Human Rights Watch interview with the then-serving Minister of Justice, Alsény Réné Gomez, Conakry, February 8, 2007.
184 Ibid. The minister noted that the presence of the unions on the committee of supervision was intended to encourage citizens to testify.
185 Letter from the president of the Guinean bar association, Boubakar Sow, to the Minister of Justice, Alsény Réné Gomez, January 31, 2007.
186 Human Rights Watch interview with the president of OGDH, Dr. Thierno Sow, Conakry, February 8, 2007.
such a commission is capable of operating independently, noting that a previous commission established to investigate abuses from June 2006 has not resulted in any prosecutions, that incidents involving excessive use of force prior to the June 2006 strike have never resulted in prosecutions, and that historically, Guinea’s Ministry of Justice has not been able to operate independently of the executive branch of government.\textsuperscript{187} Some victims and community leaders told Human Rights Watch that they would not testify before any commission that did not have at least some international membership.\textsuperscript{188}

In response to criticisms leveled against the commission created by former minister Gomez, in mid April 2007, newly appointed Minister of Justice and Human Rights Paulette Kourouma dissolved the committee of supervision. In addition, she created a panel consisting of both judges and lawyers, and instructed its members to draft a statute relating to the creation of a new, independent body with special mandate to investigate and prosecute those found responsible for strike-related abuses.\textsuperscript{189} Guinea’s bar association has agreed to participate in the drafting of the statute, and told Human Rights Watch that the goal is to create a “special independent tribunal” with authority to investigate, indict, and prosecute.\textsuperscript{190} Formal membership on the “special tribunal” by non-Guineans has been excluded, as has participation by members of civil society.\textsuperscript{191} According to an official with the Ministry of Justice, it will be for the National Assembly to vote on the draft statute to create “the independent judicial structure that Guineans are waiting for.”\textsuperscript{192}

To allow for a judicial process in which Guineans have the confidence to participate, which is capable of operating independently and following leads as far as they need to go, Human Rights Watch believes that it is critical that an independent body be created and properly funded as soon as possible. This body should be charged with

\textsuperscript{187} Human Rights Watch interviews with diplomats, members of Guinean civil society, and victims, Conakry, January 30, February 8 and 9, and March 15, 2007.

\textsuperscript{188} Human Rights Watch interviews, Conakry, January 31, 2007.

\textsuperscript{189} Human Rights Watch e-mail correspondence with the National Director of Judicial Affairs, Amadou Sylla, April 17, 2007.

\textsuperscript{190} Human Rights Watch telephone interview with the president of the Guinean bar association, Boubakar Sow, Conakry, April 18, 2007.

\textsuperscript{191} Ibid.

\textsuperscript{192} Human Rights Watch e-mail correspondence with the National Director of Judicial Affairs, Amadou Sylla, April 17, 2007.
investigating and bringing to justice those responsible for crimes committed by state security forces and others during the January-February nationwide strike, as well as previous strikes such as June 2006 when similar abuses were committed.\(^{193}\) To assure impartiality, expediency, and thoroughness, the work of any independent commission or special court that is created should draw upon the expertise of the international community through the involvement of members of the African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights and the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights.

\(^{193}\) Human Rights Watch, The Perverse Side of Things.
Response of the International Community

The international community has thus far played an important role by condemning the crackdown, demanding accountability for the abuses that were perpetrated by security forces, and mediating negotiations between the Guinean government and the trade unions. The United Nations has taken preliminary steps to promote an independent investigation of strike-related abuses, including by providing training to members of local human rights organizations in investigation techniques and providing funding for those trained to travel into the interior of the country and document strike-related human rights abuses. A mission by the UN special rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions, originally scheduled for

---

194 The crackdown by Guinean security forces was widely condemned by a host of regional and international actors. In a communiqué issued by its Peace and Security Council, the African Union “strongly condemn(ed) the disproportionate use of force and the repression of the civilian population and...request(ed) the opening of an independent inquiry, with the participation of the African Commission on Human and People's [sic] Rights, in order to identify and bring to justice the perpetrators of the atrocities and other acts of violence committed during these events.” African Union Peace and Security Council, “Communiqué of the 71st Meeting of the Peace and Security Council,” PSC/PR/Comm(LXXI), February 16, 2007. The Economic Organization for West African States (ECOWAS) issued a statement which “condemn(ed) the mounting death of civilians in Guinea” and noted that “[a]ny loss of life is regrettable but the killing of unarmed civilians is particularly unacceptable.” “ECOWAS condemns violence in Guinea, appeals for calm,” ECOWAS press release, No.3/2007, January 24, 2007. In a public statement, the Spokesperson for UN Secretary-General Ban Ki-moon expressed grave concern “at the excessive use of force resulting in the loss of life in clashes in Guinea...and strongly urge[d] the Government to carry out investigations into the killings with a view to bringing those responsible to justice, including members of the security forces.” “Secretary-General gravely concerned by excessive use of force, deaths in guinea, Calls for maximum restraint by government security forces,” SG/SM/10849, AFR/1490, January 22, 2007. United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights Louise Arbour publicly called for an independent investigation into the deaths of demonstrators, emphasizing that “there must not be, in any case, impunity for the human rights violations reported to have occurred in Guinea over the past few weeks.” “Guinea: UN human rights chief calls for probe into reported killings by security forces,” UN News Service, January 24, 2007. The European Union expressed deep regret at the loss of human life during the demonstrations and “ask[ed] the Government for an unreserved explanation of the circumstances of those deaths and of the judicial steps being taken against those responsible.” “Declaration by the Presidency on behalf of the European Union on the situation in the Republic of Guinea,” P/07/9, 6182/07 (Presse 22), February 9, 2007. The United States “condemn[ed] the use of violence by the security forces against the civilian population” and later stated that “”[the Guinean armed forces, security forces, and civilian officials involved in such abuses must be held accountable for their actions.” Press Releases, The Embassy of the United States of America, Conakry, Guinea, January 26 and February 6, 2007. In an official declaration, France condemned “the use of violence by security forces on peaceful demonstrators” and called for an investigation. “Déclaration officielle du Quai d’Orsay (Événements du 22-01-2007),” January 23, 2007.


the week of March 21, 2007, was postponed at the request of the government of Guinea.197

While these are important first steps, it is critical that the denunciations and calls for independent investigations issued in the immediate wake of the strike be followed by vigorous and steadfast pressure on a government that has, to date, expressed reluctance to accept any kind of international involvement in an investigation into strike-related abuses, and which has a history of failing to investigate abuses adequately and independently on its own. If accountability for strike-related abuses is to pass from rhetoric to reality, key international actors with a stake in Guinea’s future and stability—including the AU, ECOWAS, the UN, as well as donors such as the United States, and the European Union—must use all possible diplomatic and political leverage to pressure the Guinean government for a prompt and independent investigation followed by the application of appropriate criminal sanctions against the individuals responsible. To assure impartiality, expediency, and thoroughness, Human Rights Watch believes that an independent commission or special court, which draws upon the expertise of the international community through the involvement of members of the African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights and the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights, is required.

Conclusion

I sometimes ask myself how we accepted all this suffering all these years. It’s as if we were dead. We were afraid. But the new generation has no more fear. The question is how can we maintain it? Will we go back to sleep? ¹⁹⁸

There is no doubt that an enormous change has taken place over the last year in Guinea. Guinean citizens, once famous for their apparent willingness to suffer without complaint, now demand better government and greater accountability from their leaders. Civil society, once thought to be a weak voice for change, is increasingly able to pressure the government for economic and political reforms in a concerted and organized fashion. ¹⁹⁹ Yet, this increased awareness and assertiveness has been met with a parallel increase in violations of civil and political rights.

While the immediate crisis is over, Guinea’s stability still hangs in the balance. The indiscipline and brutality displayed by Guinean security forces in the course of the last three general strikes loom like a heavy finger on the scales of chaos and instability on the one hand, and the rule of law on the other. It is critical that the problem of impunity for strike-related violations and other more chronic forms of state-sponsored violence be understood by the international community, the Guinean government, and Guinean civil society, as a key impediment to building the rule of law and a stable, more prosperous future. Bringing to account those responsible for the violations described in this report and making sure that these violations do not repeat themselves in the future will require concerted and sustained action by all of these actors to end the impunity that gangrenes the

Guinean judicial system, emboldens perpetrators, and sustains abuses. A list of recommended actions to be undertaken is included in this report.
Acknowledgements

Human Rights Watch would like to thank all of the organizations and individuals interviewed for this report for their invaluable assistance and insight, particularly the Guinean Organization for Human Rights (OGDH), The Same Rights for All (Les Mêmes Droits pour Tous, MDT), and the Guinean Bar Association. Many of those who made the research underlying this report possible cannot be named due to security concerns, and we salute their courage and determination in the face of great risk to their own safety. Human Rights Watch extends special gratitude to those victims and eyewitnesses to violence and brutality who overcame their fear and invited us into their homes to share their stories of heroism and suffering. As they say in Guinea’s four primary languages: “I nu wale,” “on jaraama,” “I ni ke,” and “ka ma ma.”